

The Oregonian.

Entered at the Postoffice at Portland, Oregon, as second-class matter.

TELEPHONE: 100.

RECEIVED SUBSCRIPTION RATES.

By Mail (postpaid), in Advance:

Daily, with Sunday, per month, \$1.00

Daily, with Sunday, per year, \$10.00

The Weekly, per year, \$1.00

The Weekly, per month, \$1.00

To City Subscribers:

Daily, per week, delivered, Sundays excepted, 25c

Daily, per week, delivered, Sundays included, 30c

Postage Rates:

United States, Canada and Mexico:

10 to 15 page paper, 10c

16 to 25 page paper, 15c

Foreign rates double.

News or discussion intended for publication in

The Oregonian should be addressed to the

Editor, The Oregonian, 100 N. W. 2nd

Street, Portland, Oregon.

Subscriptions or orders for advertising

space should be addressed to the

Advertising Manager, The Oregonian,

100 N. W. 2nd Street, Portland, Oregon.

For sale in Los Angeles by R. P. Gardner,

204 N. 1st Street, and Oliver & Hart, 106

N. 1st Street.

For sale in Chicago by the P. O. News Co.,

217 Dearborn Street.

For sale in San Francisco by H. C. Cooper,

100 N. 1st Street.

For sale in New Orleans by Ernest & Co.,

111 Royal Street.

For sale in Washington D. C., with A. W.

Dunn, 500 10th N. W.

For sale in Denver, Colo., by Hamilton &

Kendrick, 302 12th Street.

TODAY'S WEATHER:—Increasing cloud-

iness, probably followed by rain; southerly

wind.

PORTLAND, TUESDAY, FEB. 12.

There is a job of the worst character

in House bill No. 45. It is a bill to

create in counties of 50,000 or more

inhabitants the office of Public Admin-

istrator. The bill is not for protection

of estates and heirs, of course, but has

for its object the enrichment of grafters

and looters, who intend to prey on es-

tates. After this bill, if, within thirty

days after the death of an intestate, the

widow or next of kin shall not have ap-

plied for letters of administration, this

happily, to be called the Public Adminis-

trator, is to get in and begin his work

of sucking the estate dry; and there

will be no possibility of getting rid of

him but by paying the full fees of ad-

ministration, whether he may have done

anything or not. This is but one feature

of the bill, which has been shrewdly

drawn up by the system, for the single

purpose of looting estates and robbing

heirs. When the Public Administrator

who will be in wait for every opportu-

nity, shall once succeed in getting his

"flippers" into an estate, revocation of

his administration "shall not impair his

right to receive from the estate his legal

charges and disbursements, to be

computed in the same manner and to

the same extent as the charges and

disbursements of an executor, and,

as fees of other administrators," and,

moreover, such Public Administrator is

to have the guardianship of all minor

heirs. The Oregonian dislikes to use

harsh terms, but it is compelled to say

that this is a scheme of robbery and

infamy, worked up in the interest of

rascals. It begs the Legislature to

reject it. The present law as to ad-

ministration and settlement of estates

is good enough. The office of Public

Administrator, whenever it has been

created with considerable powers, has

become a synonym for corruption and

apportionment; and this would be equal

to the worst.

We cannot suppose the Tacoma

Ledger intentionally misrepresents the

Oregonian. It is evident, however, that

it has carefully read the Oregonian.

Else it would not say that the Ore-

gonian urges that the pledge of inde-

pendence to Cuba, given by the United

States, shall be broken. On the con-

trary, the Oregonian has distinctly

said, many times, that the pledge

must be kept. But it has said, many

times, and as plainly as possible, that

it was a pledge that was wholly un-

necessary, that it never had to be

kept, and that it was certain to

the world, but whether he can make steel as successfully as Mr. Carnegie did is not to be admitted without demonstration. So in the railroad field. Who is going to decide whether the Northern Pacific shall build into the Clearwater country or abandon the territory to the O. R. & N. P. If the officers of the road can't decide it, or have their advice taken, the owners will shortly be looking for a president at \$2000 or so a year. And if the design is to abolish discriminative freight rates so that the humble shipper is to get the same figure per ton as his great rival gets on his thousand carloads, who is to nullify the acumen of the big shipper who plays one traffic bureau against another and gets rates, as it were, at wholesale? These great stock operations are easily engineered through Wall street, but the actual management of the roads is yet in the hands of railroad men. Their part in the matter is yet to be ascertained, and how great a transformation has come over them must develop before the community of ownership has any practical meaning.

After all the Northern Pacific and Great Northern have done for Puget Sound cities in the business of foreign trade, it is a trifle wearisome to read in Seattle and Tacoma papers that the blessings those bumptious towns enjoy are wholly due to their own physical and intellectual superiority. The reason why trans-Pacific steamships unload tea and rice and load up cotton and steel rails at Puget Sound docks is because the transcontinental railroads with terminals there are determined on that proceeding. Even then a large part of the outbound cargoes are derived from Oregon farms, mills and lumber camps, and a large part of the inbound cargoes are brought by rail to Portland consumers. The railroads do this work for the benefit of their Puget Sound terminals and for the sake of the hauls they get on the main lines that cross the country. The superior wit and environment of Tacoma and Seattle are not in evidence in the matter, or at issue. So with Government transport outfitting, which has made business lively on Puget Sound this last year or two. The Government ships horses and forage from Seattle, but they are Oregon horses, hay and oats, which the Government, in its desire to do something for the railroads, hauls over to Puget Sound from Portland, or else they are Eastern supplies, which are also obtained through railroad influence for the long haul across the country. These same vessels are frequently in port in the Columbia River, the Government's diverting factor being, first, that the ships cannot come here, though at times they are actually chartered while in Portland harbor and taken elsewhere to load, and second, that the public cost so much at Portland that the Government is compelled to buy them here and reship them to Puget Sound. In all this untoward record, the teeming resources of Puget Sound and the high business acumen of its men stand out as clearly as a sunken reef on a dark night. We trust the Puget Sound papers will continue to expatiate upon the prowess of their cities in earning the property their railroads have been forced upon them. Otherwise, the gaps that would be left in their instructive columns might require some intellectual exertion to fill.

WE HAVE TARRIED.

It is evident that Congress will take no step this session for the Nicaragua Canal. We cannot, indeed, in decency go forward without first completing with Great Britain an arrangement for supercession of the Clayton-Bulwer treaty, or giving her formal notice that we shall consider that treaty at an end, since the purposes for which it was negotiated have lapsed and therefore we must go forward now and construct the canal ourselves. But we cannot act abruptly, and the influences in the Senate that would put the canal off indefinitely, or forever, are glad to have opportunity to hide behind the obstacles which the Clayton-Bulwer treaty presents.

The Oregonian never has thought it necessary, or even important, that we should assert our right to fortify the canal. We cannot ignore the relation of the canal to the commerce of the world, nor overlook the precedent of the neutralization of the Suez Canal, nor be indifferent to the fact that authorities of our Army and Navy do not urge the construction of the canal as a defensive measure or think the fortification of it a strategic or military necessity. The canal would be of small value, difficult of defense and practically impossible of protection against damage. Its value must be almost exclusively commercial, and the only efficient protection it can have is an agreement by all maritime nations to respect its neutrality. It would be so easy temporarily to disable the canal that access to it by all nations at all times must be conceded in order to protect it. Its protection, therefore, is not in its own right, but in the thing which would be maintained and understood with the nation most powerful at sea; for protection of it will be a naval problem.

It seems not to be expected that Great Britain will accept the amended Hay-Pauncefote treaty in the form in which it has been presented to the British Government. Counter propositions undoubtedly will be forthcoming. We suppose the small amount will be a disruption of the partnership between the United States and Great Britain for construction of the isthmian canal, that has existed more than fifty years; yet we of the Pacific Coast are tired of being told that we must yet for an indefinite time sprinkle cold patience on our desire to have the canal. We know that he who would have his cake must tarry the grinding and the baking; but have not we tarry?

DEMOCRATIC HARMONIZATION.

In the February North American Review Mr. Perry Belmont explains the desired reorganization of the Democratic party. He goes back to '72 and '74, and it is his idea that from the demoralization of '72, like that of 1890, the party should adopt the principles of 1876 for a victory in 1904. Now the platform of 1876 was for honest money. That is, it averred "reform is necessary to establish a sound currency, restore the public credit and maintain the national honor." Speedy resumption of specie payment was urged, and the Republican party was criticized for failing to make good the promise of the legal-tender notes, the non-payment of which is a disregard of the pledged faith of

the Nation." Such a plank in the platform of 1904 would doubtless be acceptable to the Gold Democrats, but it needs no argument to show that it would not in itself be sufficient to hold the Bryan Democrats.

The platform of 1876, moreover, declared for free trade. The existing protective system, it said, had "imposed upon many industries the subsidies of a few." Then it went on with this arraignment: "It prohibits imports that might purchase the products of American labor. It has degraded American commerce from the first to an inferior rank on the high seas. It has cut down the sales of American manufactures at home and abroad, and depleted the returns of American agriculture—the industry followed by half our people. It costs the people five times more than it produces to the Treasury." But Mr. Belmont conceives "anti-imperialism" as a corollary of tariff reform, whereas free trade and expansion are entirely consistent, expansion being, in fact, a great destroyer of economic isolation. Numbers of Democrats, also, are expansionists, though they insist, with independent Republicans, that the islands be justly administered. What the Democratic party needs, evidently, is a platform about like this:

We demand the maintenance of the gold standard, the parity of all the currency, and the inviolability of the National credit. To this end we advocate the free and unlimited coinage of silver, unlimited issue of paper, and payment of bonds in silver.

We are in favor of territorial expansion, and to this end we demand abandonment of Porto Rico and the Philippines.

We are in favor of asserting this National dignity and honor, and to this end we demand the discontinuance of the withholding of customary appropriations for its support.

We demand law and order, and to this end we disapprove all efforts of our National authorities to suppress rioting by either police or judicial power.

The fact is that Mr. Belmont does not go far enough back, by some twenty years, for his remedy. Let the party adopt the plan in use before the war on the slavery question, when it had a free-state policy in the North, a slave-state policy in the South, and let the territories' inhabitants fight it out with knife and musket. If Mr. Belmont really wants harmonization, there's his model.

LINCOLN'S BIRTHDAY.

The birthday of Abraham Lincoln is a legal holiday in Connecticut, Illinois, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Pennsylvania and Washington. Full justice has long ago been done to the idealized Lincoln; to Lincoln, the philanthropist; to Lincoln, the tender-hearted, who has been pictured as sinking public justice in private mercy, but full justice has not been done to Lincoln, the great conservative force in the statesmanship that guided through the red sea of civil war. The wooden Lincoln, the popular idol, the insidious enemy that is preying upon their tissues. Beyond this, the promise of the newly discovered cure does not as yet extend, though intelligent people everywhere, unwilling to admit that science can be altogether baffled by any disease the germs of which it has discovered and the course of which is so plainly marked, join the pale host of sufferers in the hope that a reliable cure for the most common and dreaded of all maladies has at last been discovered.

Spain, rent by factions, her people quarrelsome and distrustful of each other, cannot even be happy and make merry over the marriage of a Princess of the reigning dynasty.

When Lincoln was elected in 1860, he had disclaimed all intention to bring about social and political equality between the white and black races. He believed that by our form of government the states which have slavery are enabled to retain it or surrender it at their own pleasure, and that all others—individuals, free states and National Government—were constitutionally bound to leave them alone about it. He believed with Henry Clay that Congress had and should exercise the right to oppose the incorporation of slavery in our new free territories. But Lincoln also declared that "it does not follow that social and political equality between whites and blacks must be incorporated because slavery must not." In his last

Whip speech, delivered at Urbana, Ill., October 24, 1854, Lincoln attacked the fugitive slave law as a finality "to be as fully and honestly obeyed as any other," and denies any intention of seeking the abolition of slavery in the District of Columbia. The same speech forebade Lincoln's attitude toward slavery in the civil war. "If I extend slavery, I would consent to its extension rather than see this Union dissolved."

Lincoln firmly held to the doctrine of the inferiority of the negro and his inability to live as a free man among white men. Before and after emancipation was a revolutionary alternative which he abhorred, and was forced upon him as a military necessity. He was the unwilling instrument of emancipation; he sincerely hated slavery and he intensely loved the Union, but he did not want to emancipate the negro until he could colonize him in some country like Brazil. So conservative was Lincoln in his anti-slavery attitude that Wendell Phillips more than once in his public speeches after Lincoln's election stigmatized him as the slaveholder of Illinois, because of his subscription to the fugitive slave law. Sumner and Wade, after a very hard parliamentary contest, defeated Lincoln's reconstruction plan for the government of Louisiana, proposed in February, 1865. If Lincoln's plan had prevailed for Louisiana and the other insurgent states, it would have resulted in the permanent exclusion of the colored people from the suffrage in all the old slave states. To the radical, sentimental Charles Sumner and not to the conservative, statesman Lincoln, do we owe the fact that suffrage irrespective of color or race became fixed and universal in the American system.

His last public speech, made in Washington City April 11, 1865, shows that Lincoln did not think the franchise should be at once conferred upon any negroes except those who were very intelligent or had served our cause as soldiers," and he intimated that, so far as sustaining the new state government of Louisiana, he would not keep his promise to uphold it whenever he became convinced that keeping it was bad public policy.

This latest public deliverance of Lincoln, uttered three days before his murder, inspires the conviction that he would have opposed the immediate and sweeping enfranchisement of the colored people, and his plan of reconstruction whose outcome was the carpet-bag governments. Not only was Lincoln's attitude regarding negro suffrage sure to have been marked by Cleveland, but above all other leaders of the Union cause Lincoln's moderation of language and his humane temper had moved the admiration of many influential leaders among the insurgents. Lincoln had offered the South peace with full payment for their slaves after Gettysburg, and again at the famous Hampton conference, and finally, as late as February, 1865, before the final campaign of Grant, Lincoln read a message to his Cabinet, again urging upon Congress a renewal of the offer of peace to the South with payment for their slaves. As the first and the last man during the Civil War to lift the olive branch and offer it to the South at the eleventh hour when the occupation of Atlanta and Savannah and the great disaster of Nashville had settled the fate of the Confederacy, Lincoln had impressed the strong mark among the leaders of the wrecked Confederacy with the conviction that they could safely trust their future to the conservatism and humanity of his statesmanship. His heart had been full of compassion, and his face had glowed with kindness, born out of his broad human sympathy, when other faces had grown stern through the long vigil of the war.

Lincoln was at once the great statesman and the courage of his admiration. He completely rewrites Seward's dispatch in the Mason and Silld case with his own hand. He writes every line of the order removing General McClellan with his own hand. His letters of stern rebuke to General Hooker and his letters of earnest remonstrance to General Meade are those of a determined, masterful man, whose personal dignity and honor, and to this end we demand the discontinuance of the withholding of customary appropriations for its support.

We are in favor of asserting this National dignity and honor, and to this end we demand the discontinuance of the withholding of customary appropriations for its support.

THE SPIRIT OF CONQUEST.

It is, in Other Words, the "Ascent of Man."

Chicago Item Ocean.

"If the world powers have any recognized creed," says ex-President Harrison in the current North American Review, "it is that it is their duty as trustees for humanity to take over the territories of all the weak and decaying nations." He finds that "there has been an attempt to associate the United States with this programme of civilization, upon the theory that the Anglo-Saxon race has a divine mission to cover the earth."

"The argument," he adds, "runs thus: Major premise—God's purpose is that man shall make a full and the best use of all his gifts; minor premise—dominion is one of his gifts, and the Anglo-Saxon makes a better use of dominion than the Latin, the Hindoo, the Chinese, the Mohammedan, therefore, executes a divine purpose when he subdues these peoples and takes over the earth."

Mr. Harrison, of course, meant to be sarcastic when he formed the syllogism, but he is not the first who in sarcasm has expressed a fundamental truth. His protest, although he does not perceive it, is a fact, is really directed against conquest of any kind, whether material, moral, or mental. We have but to substitute for "Anglo-Saxon" in his syllogism every progressive race, and we have a statement which expresses the central fact of all human history.

Let us make this substitution. His major premise may stand. His minor then becomes: "Every progressive race makes a better use of dominion than the unprogressive races." The conclusion is obvious. The progressive races do, indeed, execute a divine purpose when they conquer and elevate the unprogressive. This is what they always have done.

The truth is, "the ascent of man" is a continuous history of conquest, physical, mental and moral. The waves of conquest overlap. Caesar conquered Gaul, not so much by the sword as by the mental superiority of his legionaries. It was the triumph of mind over matter. The moral strength had its turn, and the despised and rejected Jewish teacher led captive Judea's conquerors. Though made prisoner, the conquering Jesus was the victor, because the meek inherited the earth simply because of their superior moral strength.

The American people conquered their continent, and swept aside less progressive races, not so much by their physical as by their mental and moral strength. They were animated by that spirit of conquest which sprang from the very conviction of superiority of which Mr. Harrison complains. The same spirit animates the Christian missionary. He is absolutely convinced that his religion is better than all others. He wishes others to have it because it is superior. So he goes forth upon his persuasive campaigns of conquest.

We have gone into the Philippines because our duty carried us there. We believe we can help the Filipinos as well as ourselves. Why should Mr. Harrison bewail our conquest for civilization?

Public Ownership in Vogue.

New York Evening Post.

The notion is rather generally held, especially in regard to the railway, that these large public enterprises of affiliated companies are made by the millionaires concerned wholly from their own resources; are paid for, so to speak, out of their own pockets. No idea could be more delusive. Purchase of a corporation, involving a dozen or a score of millions, is almost invariably settled through the resources of the investing public. Twenty years ago, the famous mode of payment was through the issuing of new stock or mortgage bonds by the purchasing corporation. These securities were sold to the outside public; the proceeds of the sale were used for settlement of the properties acquired. What the millionaire syndicates did, with the assistance of the outside public, was the operation, and advance temporarily needed capital, between the time when the purchase money was paid over and when the public assumed the resulting investment burden. The modern operation is almost precisely the same today. The chief difference is the more liberal use, nowadays, of the "collateral trust bond" device, whereby the purchasing company is enabled to acquire and hold control without too irrevocably pledging its own perpetual credit. Whether this contrivance is as advantageous to the investor as it was in the older practice of issuing a bond on the purchaser's whole property, may be an open question. That it is a safer and wiser recourse for the purchasing corporation, however, cannot be doubted.

A Rich Beggar.

Kansas City Star.

Another proof of the needlessness of encouraging American shipbuilding with subsidies is furnished by the action of the Atlantic Transport Company last week in putting orders for four more large freight and passenger steamers with the New York Shipping Company. These vessels are to be built at New York, Philadelphia and Baltimore to London. The company is an American concern which owns a large fleet of steamers. It cannot be said that the United States flag is because they were built abroad. The Atlantic Transport stock is quoted at about 30, it is to be supposed that the company is looking for good business principles, and that it bought its ships abroad for reasons of economy. It would be presumable not to be ordering vessels built in the United States unless conditions had changed so that the burden of shipbuilding Company had underbid its foreign competitors. Mere sentiment would not induce a corporation organized to make money to pay more than the market price for steamers simply for the privilege of sailing them under the Stars and Stripes.

Here is American Spirit.

There is an American journal called "Prometheus" published at Manila. It rings with patriotism and gives forth no uncertain sound concerning American policy in the Philippines. Referring to the rapid overthrow of the late insurgents to take the oath of allegiance, and to the deportation of recalcitrant prisoners of war—who have violated their oaths—to the Island of Guam, Freedom wittily says:

To sit in idleness, unable to attract attention, would be to the Filipino a disgrace. For him death has no more horror as oblivion. To him the most comfortable home would sooner or later—probably sooner—be a kind of political prison in Manila, in the sunshine of evils, gained by taking the right side and leading their followers to a better American revolution.

Autism in the Philippines is deeper than Bryanism in the United States. Now is the time for the Filipino "patriot" to get into his hands the book which would make Manila a political Napoleon in Guam.

Better than all, to be a prosperous, peaceful man on the American plan, with family and friends about you, safe in the possession of life, liberty and property, a part of the greatest Nation on earth.

For Sport on Sundays.

Wallawa News.

The barbers who are pushing the Sunday bill through the Legislature are not doing so on account of any holy reverence for the Sabbath, but they are going to duck-hunting, etc., on that day. In other words, they wish to make it a day of sport and recreation, and are afraid they will be the custom of their more diligent brethren, and so wish to compel them to close up also, whether they wish to go fishing or not.

We Should Have Trouble.

Boston Transcript.

A Cuban Government which should run up an enormous debt; which should frequently in the Latin-American manner constantly irritate European powers, would sooner or later—probably sooner—be seeking our friendly offices to save it from the consequences of its acts. To prevent such resort to us at the very outset, some degree of oversight by us should be established.

RESTORATION OF EGYPT.

Appropriate Place for the Renewal of Civilization.

Kansas City Star.

The rehabilitation of Egypt suggests the possibility of the restoration of the ancient lands of Babylonia and Palestine and Assyria. It seems inevitable that nations and lands shall become degenerate and dead. Asia is filled with the ruins of extinct empires. In Europe what was once glorious is now the seat of decay. Civilization having traveled Westward, may be expected to turn Eastward again. Where could the revival of activity more properly begin than in the ancient land of Egypt?

This unique country occupies a curious position among the governments of the earth. Its sovereign is a Viceroy of the Khedive or Turkish Viceroy of Egypt occupies a hereditary princely seat. At the same time everything he does must be scrutinized and approved by the British agent, who is Lord Cromer, a man of great achievements and the real master in the rejuvenated land of the Pharaohs.

Beginning as Major Evelyn Baring, a member of the International Commission sent in 1877 to solve the financial puzzles of the government of Egypt, Lord Cromer has gradually made himself the great Egyptian expert. With the power of England behind him his diplomacy has worked one of the wonders of modern times.

To young Major Baring, since created Lord Cromer, is credited the complete failure of the powers to counteract British influence and certain it is in the man who discovered Kitchener and restored the Sudan to Egypt. Now he is building a dam across the Nile, at Assuan, to help navigation and to prevent the recurrence of famine. Taxation has been reformed; life and property are safe; there is a well-conducted army and the police of Cairo and Alexandria are efficient. Twenty years ago Egypt was regarded as a hopelessly effete country for which nothing could be done.

Today the ancient cities of Cairo and Alexandria team with life, commerce and gaiety. The bonds of Egypt, quoted 20 years ago at 48 cents on the dollar, are now at par. Trade has expanded to such a degree that the land of the pyramids is enjoying the biggest boom in its history. A modern society is growing up upon the ruins of ancient Egypt.

A Cape to Cairo railway would be the final guarantee of the old land's transformation into the leading commercial district of the Levant.

Century Dispute in Advertisements.

Pall Mall Gazette.

It is all to the good that whatever controversy still remains with regard to the new century should be banished to the advertisement columns. Here are two from today's Times:

TO THE ENGLISH PUBLIC AND THE PUBLIC ABROAD.

A widespread business about the beginning of the Century should be dispelled. A Century is a series of one hundred consecutive years and must therefore begin with a year and end with a year. The 20th Century could not begin on this 1st of January, 1901, because the tenth decade ended with the end of December, 1890, and so terminated the 19th Century, and its first birthday will be on the first of next month, Dec. 31, 1900.

A widespread business about the beginning of the Century should be dispelled. A Century is a series of one hundred consecutive years and must therefore begin with a year and end with a year. The 20th Century could not begin on this 1st of January, 1901, because the tenth decade ended with the end of December, 1890, and so terminated the 19th Century, and its first birthday will be on the first of next month, Dec. 31, 1900.

Could any greater evidence of national wisdom be imagined than that two contraverted should in this way pay the price of their emotions?

Lincoln's Favorite Hymn.

Lincoln's favorite hymn has been determined by Secretary John Hay, who as his private secretary might know, and who says that "there was one which he particularly liked." It was that beginning, "Rock of Ages, how I need thee now." The religious poem, rather than the hymn, which Lincoln most often repeated was the melancholy strain of William Knox, "Oh, why should the spirit of mortal be proud?"

The hymn which remains in Mr. Hay's memory is Anne Steele's, and is always sung to Lowell Mason's tune "Naomi." The verses are but a small part of the whole hymn as Miss Steele wrote it. As used, it is as follows:

Father, what'er of earthly bliss
Thy sovereign will denies,
Accept, O Father, this,
My humble prayer, arise.

Give me a calm, a thankful heart,
From every murmur free;
The blessings of thy grace impart,
And let me live to thee.

Let the sweet hope that thou art mine
My life and death attend;
Thy presence through my journey shine,
And crown my journey's end.

"Something Too Much of This."

Pendleton East Oregonian.

To encourage the building of bridges that are not needed and that could accomplish no good, with the aid of state money in Eastern Oregon, as has been proposed in the Legislature, would not be a waste of the people's back, placed there by the establishment of these institutions in other parts of the state. An industrial school in Eastern Oregon would accomplish nothing for the people of the state. It would provide several safe places for a few of the army who clamor for such jobs, and give a kind of free education to a few sons and daughters who should be educated at the expense of their own parents. The institutions of this character now being supported with a liberal supply of state money are too numerous to be counted, and are able to do a work that can be better done by private institutions. The state is undertaking too much in the educational line, while doing nothing well.

Mr. Rosewater's Megaphone.