

ROBBERIES WORK

Attempted to Blow Open Safe in Union Bank.

BURGLARS USED NITROGLYCERIN

Failed to Secure Any Money—Building and Fixtures Badly Wrecked—Officers Have No Clue.

UNION, Or., Oct. 22.—Robbers entered the First National Bank of Union, about 3 o'clock this morning, but were unsuccessful in looting the treasure vault. They entered the building by forcing the front door and going into the bank parlor. They first burrowed through the walls of the vault. Attacks were then made on the safe in the vault, with a view to force it open and secure the contents. Nitroglycerine was used, and three charges were exploded. The double steel door of the vault was wrecked, and the large plate-glass windows in the front of the building blown out by the explosion, but the safe was not opened, although the front was badly damaged and the timbers ruined.

THIAL AGAIN POSTPONED.

Oregon City Trespass Case—Principal in Cutting Scrape Held.

OREGON CITY, Or., Oct. 22.—The hearing of H. S. Moody, Deputy Game Warden, charged with trespassing on the premises of Captain J. T. Apperson, was postponed until next Monday, on account of the illness of the defendant's attorney, Senator George H. Brown, who is charged against J. T. Apperson, Deputy District Attorney, was dismissed about 10 days ago by the Justice.

THIEVES BROKE INTO Falls City Store.

DALLAS, Or., Oct. 22.—News comes from Falls City that on Saturday night the general merchandise store of Emmett & Co. was broken into and a considerable amount in cash and a small amount of merchandise were taken.

CIRCUIT COURT AT ALBANY.

Thirty Cases on the Docket—Two True Informations Found.

ALBANY, Or., Oct. 22.—Department No. 1 of the Circuit Court convened today with 30 cases on the docket, of which most were settled or continued, only three cases being set for trial, and one of these will be settled tomorrow.

Three true informations were found against Arthur Siegler, under the name of William Smith, forged and had cashed three checks on Frank Jackson, the hopman, of this city. He will plead tomorrow. A true information was found against A. W. Hepburn, son of a well-known Salem man, on the charge of the larceny of some wearing apparel from a trunk in the Russ House, and he will plead tomorrow.

P. A. Goodwin, bookkeeper of S. E. Young & Son, returned last night from Europe. He attended the Paris exposition, which he considers much like the world's fair and the impression is that some things being on exhibition. He also saw Emperor William at Berlin. His observation was that the German people are united in their support of him in his policies, and that the impression is that some get of him on account of his peculiarity do not do him justice.

Thirty cars of lumber have been side-tracked at this city, being shipped from the big mill at Wendling, Lane County, suggesting that while a common point is needed in this Valley in the lumber business, it is possible to prosecute it profitably without this advantage.

WASCO COUNTY'S ASSESSMENT.

Summary for Present Year Filed—1800 Valuations Decreased.

SALEM, Oct. 22.—The summary of Wasco County's assessment roll for 1900 was filed in the office of Secretary of State Dunbar today. It shows a decrease of \$1273 in the total taxable property, as compared with last year. The summary is as follows:

ROCK FELL ON MINERS.

One Laborer's Ankle Fractured, Three Others Slightly Bruised.

BAKER CITY, Or., Oct. 22.—Henry Reed, a miner in the Gold Ridge mines, near this city, sustained a fracture of the left ankle today, caused by falling rock. Three other men were slightly bruised and one was slightly injured by the falling rock. The accident occurred in the drift, immediately after a blast. Careful soundings had been made of the walls before resuming work, and no blame can be attached to the men or the management for negligence.

OREGON NOTES.

A case of diphtheria is reported at Weston.

Lakeview is much annoyed by petty thieves.

Seattle City will illuminate its streets with gasolene lamps.

Milton sportsmen formed a Rod and Gun Club last week.

A meeting of the Willamette Poultry Association was held at Eugene, Saturday.

The town of Sparta is looking up. Several new buildings are being erected, old mines are opening, and the city is beginning a new era.

L. F. McManly brought in the largest load of freight Tuesday that ever came over the road, says the Enterprise Chief.

Irwin Berry killed a swan near Milton last week. It was a heavy, and measured 6 feet 8 inches from tip to tip. Swans are very seldom seen in that country.

A number of culverts and bridges along Powder River, on the road between Cornucopia and Baker City, are reported unsafe, and others are badly in need of repair.

A subscription paper was circulated last week in Tillamook for the purpose of establishing a free library and reading-room. It was said to have met with a generous response from the citizens.

H. C. Lewis, who has the contract for hauling freight from Huntington to Mineral, will establish two camps along the road, one at Natick and the other at Speaker's ranch. Workmen are now engaged in erecting the necessary buildings at Natick.

The Enterprise Gun Club was formed last week, with Dr. Temple president, Carl Roe vice-president, and C. H. Zuerch secretary and treasurer. One thousand play pigeons and a trap have been ordered, which will arrive before Thanksgiving. The club will hold a county competition next month.

H. C. Strickland, of Hay Creek, was arrested last week on complaint of L. W. Darling. It appears that Darling fenced off the country road leading down Hay Creek Canyon to Strickland's ranch, and Strickland, on returning from Arlington that evening, removed the structure. In substance, the complaint charges the defendant with willfully and maliciously destroying a fence on land not belonging to him.

GOOD THEATER FOR VANCOUVER.

Auditorium Building Will Be Plotted—Contract for Work Let.

VANCOUVER, Wash., Oct. 22.—Judge A. L. Miller, who recently purchased the Auditorium building for foreclosure sale has announced his purpose of remodeling the interior of the building for a first-class theater. Contract for the work was let today.

County Auditor Cook today completed the canvass of the last district election, which took place throughout the county October 12. In a majority of the districts


WORKMEN WON VICTORY

ORDER NOT REQUIRED TO PAY MONTOUR POLICY.

Case Was Appealed to Supreme Court From Multnomah County—Three Other Decisions.

SALEM, Oct. 22.—The case of Lillie Myrtle Montour, respondent, vs. Grand Lodge, A. O. U. W., of Oregon, appellant, was today decided by the Supreme Court in favor of the Grand Lodge. This action was brought in Multnomah County to recover \$2000 on certificate of mutual life insurance issued by the defendant to Daniel Montour, plaintiff's father. The cause was tried before Judge M. C. Geo. and resulted in a judgment for plaintiff in an ordinary trespass, because the injury generally goes to the immediate deduction of the minerals which constitute the chief value of the estate. But it must appear that the tres-

GALLERY OF NEW MEMBERS OF THE OREGON LEGISLATURE



Spoke to College Republicans Club.

EUGENE, Oct. 22.—Representative Thomas H. Tongue spoke to a large audience at the Courthouse this afternoon, under the auspices of the Oregon State University Republican Club. He spoke for two hours, and the interest of the audience throughout. His address was clear and logical, and thoroughly covered the issues of the campaign. He not only supported the actions of the present Administration, but thoroughly discussed the arguments of the Bryan campaigners in such a manner as to carry conviction to the voters.

Democrat Will Bet Against Bryan.

Lakeview Examiner. Louis Gerber, the stockman, of Klamath, offers to wager 5 to 3 on the election of McKinley and Roosevelt, and will accept an offer on the Republican standard-bearer, Senator George H. Brown, who is charged against J. T. Apperson, Deputy District Attorney, was dismissed about 10 days ago by the Justice.

Democrat Speaker at Medford.

MEDFORD, Or., Oct. 22.—Hon. W. M. Pierce, of Umatilla County, spoke here tonight to a crowded house. His address was mainly on the general policy of the Democratic party. He was frequently applauded and his speech was well received.

NORTHWEST DEAD.

Mrs. Sarah J. Fulkerson, of Monmouth.

MONMOUTH, Or., Oct. 22.—Mrs. Sarah J. Fulkerson, wife of W. H. Fulkerson, died at the family residence here Saturday. She was 82 years old and had been in failing health for several months. She came to Oregon in 1865, with her parents, and had resided in Polk County continuously since. Her husband, two sons and one daughter survive her.

Charles Stevens, of Vancouver.

VANCOUVER, Wash., Oct. 22.—James Stevens, of this place, yesterday received news of the death of his son, Charles, which occurred in California Saturday. The remains are being sent here for burial, and are expected to arrive tomorrow. Deceased was a young man, and well known in this vicinity.

CONVENTION A SUCCESS—GRANT'S PASS THE NEXT MEETING PLACE.

MEDFORD, Or., Oct. 22.—The tenth district Christian Endeavor Convention closed last evening. The meeting was successful in every respect. Sixty-five delegates were present. There were many interesting addresses, including those of Rev. F. G. Strang, of "Better Prayer Meetings"; Rev. I. G. Knott's "Better Church Worship"; Rev. Charles W. Hays, "A Workman Who Needs Not to be Shamed"; Dr. Granville, "Temperance"; Rev. H. L. Rod preached three excellent sermons, and Miss Lettidge contributed a good paper on missionary work.

The convention will meet in Grant's Pass next October.

ROCK FELL ON MINERS.

One Laborer's Ankle Fractured, Three Others Slightly Bruised.

BAKER CITY, Or., Oct. 22.—Henry Reed, a miner in the Gold Ridge mines, near this city, sustained a fracture of the left ankle today, caused by falling rock. Three other men were slightly bruised and one was slightly injured by the falling rock. The accident occurred in the drift, immediately after a blast. Careful soundings had been made of the walls before resuming work, and no blame can be attached to the men or the management for negligence.

OREGON NOTES.

A case of diphtheria is reported at Weston.

Lakeview is much annoyed by petty thieves.

Seattle City will illuminate its streets with gasolene lamps.

Milton sportsmen formed a Rod and Gun Club last week.

A meeting of the Willamette Poultry Association was held at Eugene, Saturday.

The town of Sparta is looking up. Several new buildings are being erected, old mines are opening, and the city is beginning a new era.

L. F. McManly brought in the largest load of freight Tuesday that ever came over the road, says the Enterprise Chief.

Irwin Berry killed a swan near Milton last week. It was a heavy, and measured 6 feet 8 inches from tip to tip. Swans are very seldom seen in that country.

A number of culverts and bridges along Powder River, on the road between Cornucopia and Baker City, are reported unsafe, and others are badly in need of repair.

A subscription paper was circulated last week in Tillamook for the purpose of establishing a free library and reading-room. It was said to have met with a generous response from the citizens.

H. C. Lewis, who has the contract for hauling freight from Huntington to Mineral, will establish two camps along the road, one at Natick and the other at Speaker's ranch. Workmen are now engaged in erecting the necessary buildings at Natick.

The Enterprise Gun Club was formed last week, with Dr. Temple president, Carl Roe vice-president, and C. H. Zuerch secretary and treasurer. One thousand play pigeons and a trap have been ordered, which will arrive before Thanksgiving. The club will hold a county competition next month.

H. C. Strickland, of Hay Creek, was arrested last week on complaint of L. W. Darling. It appears that Darling fenced off the country road leading down Hay Creek Canyon to Strickland's ranch, and Strickland, on returning from Arlington that evening, removed the structure. In substance, the complaint charges the defendant with willfully and maliciously destroying a fence on land not belonging to him.

GOOD THEATER FOR VANCOUVER.

Auditorium Building Will Be Plotted—Contract for Work Let.

VANCOUVER, Wash., Oct. 22.—Judge A. L. Miller, who recently purchased the Auditorium building for foreclosure sale has announced his purpose of remodeling the interior of the building for a first-class theater. Contract for the work was let today.

County Auditor Cook today completed the canvass of the last district election, which took place throughout the county October 12. In a majority of the districts

VERY RICH ORE STRUCK

FIND IN THE BELLE OF BAKER MINE NEAR BAKER CITY.

Estimated that the Vein Will Produce \$750,000—Some of Ore Run into Thousands.

BAKER CITY, Oct. 22.—Manager H. S. McCallum, of the Bald Mountain Mining Company, and the Mammoth Mining Company, operating the Bald Mountain and Mammoth group, reports an extraordinary strike in the Belle of Baker.

A 190-foot shaft and 170-foot tunnel on the Belle of Baker, the previous ore covered. The Mammoth Company excavated in the tunnel and struck the vein within a few feet.

Mr. McCallum says uplifts and cross-veins have already blocked out a 12-foot vein 180x170 feet, which he estimates will easily produce \$750,000. Some of the ore runs into the thousands, and if as extensive as the management confidently believe, means a fortune.

Oregon Mining Stock Exchange.

Following were the quotations at the Oregon Mining Stock Exchange yesterday:

Table with columns: Name, Bid, Ask. Includes entries like Adams Mountain, Buffalo, Gold Hill & Bohemia, etc.

SPOKANE, Oct. 22.—Following are the closing quotations for mining stocks today:

Table with columns: Name, Bid, Ask. Includes entries like Blacktail, Butte & Bonanza, etc.

SAN FRANCISCO, Oct. 22.—The official closing quotations for mining stocks today were:

Table with columns: Name, Bid, Ask. Includes entries like Alta, Alpha Con, Best & Belcher, etc.

NEW YORK, Oct. 22.—Mining stocks today closed as follows:

Table with columns: Name, Bid, Ask. Includes entries like Chollar, Crown Point, etc.

BOSTON, Oct. 22.—Closing quotations.

Table with columns: Name, Bid, Ask. Includes entries like Adventure, Altamont, etc.

Oregon Mining News.

The Columbia mine has sold its telephone line from Sumpter to the Eastern Oregon Telephone Company.

The machinery of the Milton creamery was shipped from St. Paul October 8, and is expected to arrive any day.

Good mineral prospects have been found on Alder Creek, near Sumpter.

One ledge 21 feet thick, assays \$38.80.

It is stated that the Sumpter Valley Railroad has purchased two new Mogul engines for mountain use between Sumpter and Whitney.

The ledge of cobalt on Dixie Creek, Grant County, is showing up a good grade of ore, and has been opened by a 250-foot tunnel, and two crosscuts.

Samples taken at random from the Oregon King mine, in Crook County, recently assayed \$29.14 in gold, and there are tons of ore as good and better now on the dump.

Other samples have run as high as \$23.85 gold, 12 ounces of silver and 16 per cent copper.

W. H. B. Smith, superintendent of the Summit group, located directly west of the E. & E. mine, between Fruit and Crocker creeks, since the property is making a fine showing. The three claims are developed by shafts, open cuts and short tunnels, and assays are said to be from \$14 to \$46.

The Cougar mine is a free-gold property, the ore averaging about \$100, but being rather pocketed. It is long ago two men well known in Prairie City secretly leased the mine for 90 days. They were always in the city when the day's work was done, and the property is making a fine showing. The three claims are developed by shafts, open cuts and short tunnels, and assays are said to be from \$14 to \$46.

OREGON CITY COUNCIL MEETING.

Proposed Sewer Considered—New Contract Will Have to Be Let.

OREGON CITY, Oct. 22.—An adjourned meeting of the City Council was held tonight and an ordinance making the assessments of sewer district No. 2 passed. It is evident that the new assessment of the property in the district will have to be made on account of a change of specifications made since the contract was let.

The contract was let to Mitchell & Hard, of Seattle, at stated rates, under the specifications of a former engineer. A new engineer was employed, who increased the depth of a portion of the sewer, practically changing the original specifications. The contractors ask \$122.00 extra compensation, so far, which matter was referred to a special committee. The contractors were ordered paid \$122.88 on account.

Eva King, the 13-year-old girl taken in custody by Sheriff Cooke last Friday, was returned back to the care of Charles Richardson and was held in custody. It was developed at the hearing before the County Judge today that the Quints, who filed the complaint, were relatives of the Richardsons, and that the trouble was evidently a family row. The girl alleged to have been ill treated is a relative of both families. She stated that she was well treated at the home of the Richardsons, and was desirous of returning there. Richardson testified that the girl's mother was dead, and that her father had taken her from the custody of the San Francisco boys' and girls' aid society and sent her to him last April.

VICTIMS OF TRAINWRECK.

One Runaway Boy Killed, Another Seriously Injured.

LA CROSSE, Wis., Oct. 22.—News reached this city today that Bert Emerson, 12 years of age, and a boy whose name is not known, were killed and another seriously injured in a wreck at Pocatello, Idaho, and the gold was consigned to the corporation.

W. TYLER SMITH, JOINT SENATOR FROM LINCOLN, TILLAMOOK AND TAMIHILL COUNTIES.

SHERIDAN, Or., Oct. 22.—Dr. W. Tyler Smith, Senator from the joint district of Lincoln, Tillamook and Tamihill Counties, was born in London, England. He came to Oregon 25 years ago, and has been actively engaged in the practice of his profession here for 20 years. He is a physician of marked ability and a man of high standing, always in the front ranks of advancement. Joint Senator from Lincoln, Tillamook and Tamihill Counties, the interests of his constituents will receive proper attention.

except those waived by the defendant.

The answer denied the material allegations of the complaint, and alleged that on July 1, 1898, an assessment of 21 cents was duly made upon all the members of the order, which became due and payable from Montour on the 28th of that month; that due notice thereof was regularly served upon him, but he neglected to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1, 1898, he refused to pay the same within three months from that date, and was thereupon suspended; that he applied for reinstatement but was rejected by a majority vote, and acquiesced therein. It was also alleged that by reason of Montour's failure in these respects, plaintiff is stopped to assert that on July 1,