

A DAY OF TURMOIL

Calculations of Senate Leaders Upset by the House.

WRESTLED WITH ARMOR QUESTION

Speeches by Senators Carter and Mason on the Oregon Election—Penrose's Proposition.

WASHINGTON, June 6.—Inability to reach agreement on the naval appropriation bill forced the Senate to abandon the adoption of the House resolution for final adjournment today.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

At 10 A. M. the Senate reconvened to prepare for final adjournment. The naval and sundry civil appropriation bills remained in conference, all others having been agreed on.

ing business on sound business principles. The party did not propose to be driven into a plan for the armory plant simply to please its critics.

Tillman said that if the bill should fail, the responsibility would be on the House of Representatives.

Hawley (Rep. Conn.) entered a protest against the criticism of public men, characterizing it as "treasonable" and as "wickedness."

Daniels (Dem. Va.) opposed the Penrose amendment and urged the Senate to stand up to the fight which had been on for five years.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

body have been perverted. It has become a forum. Not only that, but it has become a platform for the utterance of all and sundry political fulminations hurled at men in public life.

Carter said much of the abuse suffered by Washington, Oregon and Oregon had been very like the abuse heaped by innuendo and insinuation upon the present President, Washington, Lincoln and Grant.

Hawley (Rep. Conn.) entered a protest against the criticism of public men, characterizing it as "treasonable" and as "wickedness."

Daniels (Dem. Va.) opposed the Penrose amendment and urged the Senate to stand up to the fight which had been on for five years.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

At 2:30 P. M. Hale asked for a vote upon the pending proposition, but Butler advised the Senate in opposition to surrender by the Senate when it was on the verge of victory.

Penrose asked permission to reply to this inquiry, and began by referring to Daniels' speech as a "reckless statement and a derogatory appeal."

Daniels repeated this characterization, and refused to yield further. Such language, he considered, was unbecoming the Senator and the Senate.

THE MACHINE DOWED

DOINGS OF THE MISSOURI DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION.

Full State Ticket Put in the Field—Indiana Democrats Also Made Nominations.

For Governor—Alexander M. Decker, of Gallatin.

For Lieutenant-Governor—John A. Lee, of St. Louis.

For Secretary of State—E. Cook, of Mexico.

For State Auditor—Albert O. Allen, of New Madrid.

For Attorney-General—E. C. Crowe, of West Plains.

For State Treasurer—R. P. Williams, of Fayette.

For Railway and Warehouse Commissioner—Joseph Herrington, of Jefferson City.

Presidential Electors at Large—James A. Reed, of Kansas City, and William A. Rothwell, of Moberly.

KANSAS CITY, June 6.—The Democratic National platform adopted at Chicago, adopted a platform for the coming campaign and named full state tickets.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

They were in session continuously from 9:30 in the morning till 8:30 in the evening, holding up to 1,000 delegates in the hall.

Bryan for the Presidency; reaffirmed the Chicago platform and omitted mention of the tariff of 1890. His reading was followed by a unanimous approval of the platform and the platform was unanimously adopted.

The convention was called to order by Charles Martin, of the State Central Committee, who introduced as temporary chairman, Samuel M. Ralston.

Ralston spoke at some length, in part as follows: "I accept your gavel in obedience to your command, shall be my pleasure, and my ambition to be absolutely fair in all I shall do as your presiding officer."

The all-absorbing and dominating issue of the great National convention of 1896 was the financial question. Our opponents rode into power by methods of corruption and intimidation, pledged to relieve without delay the financial straits of the then distressed condition of the country.

The Dingley law was not framed to bring relief to the Government and the streets of business men, but to put in the pockets of the people the silk-stocking brigade of political extortionists, who furnished the money to purchase the Presidency for McKinley.

"The Wilson law had brought more money to the Government the first year it was in force than did the notorious McKinley law. It has not only increased the interest of the public service, and to vindicate the honor and manhood of the House. Amid great applause, he asked the House to send the bill back to conference with three conferees in sympathy with the sentiment of the House.

The debate grew more and more excited as it proceeded. Grover (Rep. O.) said he believed it would be better for the House to send the bill back to conference with three conferees in sympathy with the sentiment of the House.

"The gentleman is a good quitter," cried Cannon, sarcastically. "He does not know what he is talking about."

Burton (Rep. O.) indignantly repudiated the charge in Commanding General, and said that he and others was in a secret combine against the Navy Department in this controversy. Dayton (Rep. Va.), one of the naval conferees, in widely excited tones, denounced as "false and untrue" the statement that the conferees had betrayed their trust.

Foss also denounced in harsh words the statements of the conferees had not stood by the House instructions. Foss then moved to concur in the report, and demanded the previous question. He declined further to serve on the conference committee.

The Speaker put the question. The previous question was voted down, 10 to 12, and the debate was reopened.

Hopkins (Rep. Ill.) defended the conferees, and Cannon closed with a vigorous speech. In a rising vote, the House declined to concur in the conference report, 83 to 121. The Speaker announced that as Foss had declined to serve, he would nominate Cannon on the subject. Cannon announced that he would accept the nomination, and sent them back to conference, which was agreed to.

There was a buzz of surprised comment as the Speaker announced an entirely new list of conferees on behalf of the House. Cannon, Moody and Shafer—representing the most determined opposition to the Senate's action. It was evident that business of the House would be interrupted. Grover moved an adjournment, but this was voted down. After a minor bill had been passed, Payne moved a recess until 10 A. M. tomorrow. The vote was very close, 10 to 12, and the debate was reopened.

"We reaffirm and pledge our allegiance to the principles of the Chicago platform of 1896 and commend its distinguished expositor, William B. Bryan, to the people of the United States as an able, patriotic, sincere patriot and honest man, who can safely be trusted to stand at all times for the people, and against their foes."

"The country is far advanced in the policy of arbitrary rule which has caused an encroachment on the rights of the people at home and liberty abroad and subversion of the principles of the Constitution. No people can exist at the present time, who are not part subject, part republican, and part empire. We submit that the corrupting influence of colonial dominion has already been felt in the Hawaiian Islands, and that usurped and dictatorial power has already reached the danger line; the Constitution and pledge of the Republican party have been violated in the Hawaiian Islands; independence is withheld from the Cubans in defiance of the law and National promises; slavery is recognized and protected in Sulu and involuntary servitude in Hawaii in violation of the Constitution."

"After condemning the present Administration the platform demands the repeal of the stamp tax; declares for the election of United States Senators by direct vote; extends sympathy to the people of the Transvaal and Orange Free State; demands strict enforcement of the Monroe doctrine; and condemns the Hay-Pauncefote treaty as an abject surrender to England; denounces the Dingley tariff law and declares opposition to the protection of the tariff. Resolutions were unanimously adopted.

The committee on permanent organization submitted its report, naming for delegates-at-large Samuel E. Morris, of Indianapolis; H. D. Brough, of Burlington; James M. Murdoch, of La Fayette; George B. Menefee, of Mount Vernon.

The report was adopted. The district delegates elected to the convention last night were included in the report.

The names of John W. Kern, Frank B. Burke, of Indianapolis, and Nelson J. Shively, of Portland, were announced as candidates for the nomination of Governor. A letter was read from B. F. Shively, declining to permit his name to be placed before the convention. A shout went up from the delegates in honor of Shively, and the nomination of Kern was restored, and cheering for Shively was again repeated when the balloting proceeded.

Kern was nominated for Governor on the first ballot, which stood: Kern, 194; Burke, 264; Booth, 41; complimentary vote for Shively, 224; G. C. Cook, 18; B. R. Ralston, 2. The whole number of delegates present was 127, necessary to choice, 76.

When the result was announced the nomination was made with a unanimous vote. Kern was ushered to the platform amidst tremendous cheers. He made a brief address, thanking the convention.

SOUTH DAKOTA DEMOCRATS. Indorse Senator Pettigrew for Re-election. CHAMBERLAIN, S. D., June 6.—The Democratic State Convention for the election of eight delegates to the Kansas State Convention, opened here last night. The delegates were instructed for Bryan. The resolutions contain paragraphs eulogistic of Charles A. Towne, President of the State; and commend the course of Senator Pettigrew and recommend that the Democratic convention to be held at Yankton July 11 indorse Pettigrew for re-election, that McKinley every Democratic member of the Legislature to his support. After a prolonged debate, the resolutions were adopted. Sympathy is extended to the Boers, and imperialism and trusts are denounced.

West Virginia Democrats. PARKERSBURG, W. Va., June 6.—After a tumultuous session, the Democratic State Convention nominated Judge John H. Holt, of Huntington, for Governor, and adjourned until tomorrow, when the ticket will be completed. During the day the convention held three sessions. A platform was adopted indorsing Bryan and reaffirming the Chicago platform. It renounces trusts, imperialism, the Porto Rican tariff, the Philippine War, militarism, the recent financial act of Congress, the increase of the standing army and the Administration of President McKinley and Governor Atkinson. Sympathy was expressed with the Boers. The Nicaragua Canal was strongly favored.

DEMOCRATS OF INDIANA. A Full Ticket Nominated by the Indiana Convention. INDIANAPOLIS, June 6.—The Democratic State Convention today was harmonious from beginning to end. The following ticket was placed in the field: Governor, John W. Kern, Indianapolis; Lieutenant-Governor, John G. Lawler, Salem; Secretary of State, Adam Heilbroner, New Albany; State Auditor, John W. Miller, Indianapolis; State Treasurer, Jerome Hart, Peru; Attorney-General, C. P. Drummond, Plymouth; Reporter of Supreme Court, Henry G. Yergin, Newcastle; Superintendent of Public Instruction, Charles A. Greenhouse, Mount Vernon; State Board of Education, James H. Hays, Indianapolis; State Board of Charities, George L. Rehnart, Bloomington; Fourth District, J. M. Adair, Columbia City; delegates-at-large, Samuel E. Morris, Indianapolis; H. D. Brough, Burlington; James M. Murdoch, La Fayette; George B. Menefee, Mount Vernon; electors-at-large, Allen Zollers, Fort Wayne; Nicholas Corbin, Greensburg.

The platform indorsed William Jennings Bryan for the Presidency; reaffirmed the Chicago platform and omitted mention of the tariff of 1890. His reading was followed by a unanimous approval of the platform and the platform was unanimously adopted.

The convention was called to order by Charles Martin, of the State Central Committee, who introduced as temporary chairman, Samuel M. Ralston.

Ralston spoke at some length, in part as follows: "I accept your gavel in obedience to your command, shall be my pleasure, and my ambition to be absolutely fair in all I shall do as your presiding officer."

The all-absorbing and dominating issue of the great National convention of 1896 was the financial question. Our opponents rode into power by methods of corruption and intimidation, pledged to relieve without delay the financial straits of the then distressed condition of the country.

The Dingley law was not framed to bring relief to the Government and the streets of business men, but to put in the pockets of the people the silk-stocking brigade of political extortionists, who furnished the money to purchase the Presidency for McKinley.

"The Wilson law had brought more money to the Government the first year it was in force than did the notorious McKinley law. It has not only increased the interest of the public service, and to vindicate the honor and manhood of the House. Amid great applause, he asked the House to send the bill back to conference with three conferees in sympathy with the sentiment of the House.

The debate grew more and more excited as it proceeded. Grover (Rep. O.) said he believed it would be better for the House to send the bill back to conference with three conferees in sympathy with the sentiment of the House.

ANOTHER DAY OF IT

strong language, but I afterward was able to prove that the Secretary, as well as the House, had been imposed upon by the bureau of equipment."

Cannon then related how subsequently Secretary Lott had ascertained that the hydrographer, Commander Todd, had sent out a circular letter without his (Long's) knowledge, and for that act had been suspended. He read the circular, which had gone to commercial bodies, boards of trade and maritime exchanges, appealing for information and aid for forcing the appropriation bill into the naval appropriation bill. He had kept all these facts secret, he said, because he knew the Secretary of the Navy was an honorable man and had been imposed upon. He had been compelled to disclose the matter, he said, in the interest of the public service, and to vindicate the honor and manhood of the House. Amid great applause, he asked the House to send the bill back to conference with three conferees in sympathy with the sentiment of the House.

The debate grew more and more excited as it proceeded. Grover (Rep. O.) said he believed it would be better for the House to send the bill back to conference with three conferees in sympathy with the sentiment of the House.

"The gentleman is a good quitter," cried Cannon, sarcastically. "He does not know what he is talking about."

Burton (Rep. O.) indignantly repudiated the charge in Commanding General, and said that he and others was in a secret combine against the Navy Department in this controversy. Dayton (Rep. Va.), one of the naval conferees, in widely excited tones, denounced as "false and untrue" the statement that the conferees had betrayed their trust.

Foss also denounced in harsh words the statements of the conferees had not stood by the House instructions. Foss then moved to concur in the report, and demanded the previous question. He declined further to serve on the conference committee.

The Speaker put the question. The previous question was voted down, 10 to 12, and the debate was reopened.

Hopkins (Rep. Ill.) defended the conferees, and Cannon closed with a vigorous speech. In a rising vote, the House declined to concur in the conference report, 83 to 121. The Speaker announced that as Foss had declined to serve, he would nominate Cannon on the subject. Cannon announced that he would accept the nomination, and sent them back to conference, which was agreed to.

There was a buzz of surprised comment as the Speaker announced an entirely new list of conferees on behalf of the House. Cannon, Moody and Shafer—representing the most determined opposition to the Senate's action. It was evident that business of the House would be interrupted. Grover moved an adjournment, but this was voted down. After a minor bill had been passed, Payne moved a recess until 10 A. M. tomorrow. The vote was very close, 10 to 12, and the debate was reopened.

"We reaffirm and pledge our allegiance to the principles of the Chicago platform of 1896 and commend its distinguished expositor, William B. Bryan, to the people of the United States as an able, patriotic, sincere patriot and honest man, who can safely be trusted to stand at all times for the people, and against their foes."

"The country is far advanced in the policy of arbitrary rule which has caused an encroachment on the rights of the people at home and liberty abroad and subversion of the principles of the Constitution. No people can exist at the present time, who are not part subject, part republican, and part empire. We submit that the corrupting influence of colonial dominion has already been felt in the Hawaiian Islands, and that usurped and dictatorial