

SCOTT'S SEAT SAFE

Senate Refuses to "Go Behind the Returns."

THE VOTE IN HIS FAVOR 52 TO 3

Denial That Aliens Have Mining Rights in Alaska as Against Citizens of United States.

WASHINGTON, April 27.—The Senate today voted upon the resolution declaring Nathan B. Scott to be entitled to his seat in the Senate of the United States.

Money called up the bill to amend an act to provide for the collection of abandoned property and prevention of frauds in insurrectionary districts within the United States.

Chandler said that half the money which the Government had realized from the sale of seized and abandoned property had been returned in the same past to the people of the South.

Money said he had a doubt of the position of Chandler, and fully expected him to act in accordance with his judgment.

Scott Entitled to His Seat. The bill was then laid aside and consideration was resumed on the resolution declaring Nathan B. Scott to be entitled to his seat as a Senator from West Virginia.

Turner (Dem. Wash.), while saying he knew nothing of the case except what he had heard in the course of the debate, declared that if the facts were as stated by Pettus, Scott was not entitled to his seat.

Chandler, chairman of the committee, read some remarks of Morgan (Dem. Ala.) made yesterday, in which he said the Alabama Senator seemed to lean to the belief that the Indiana case was not decided correctly.

General Merriam stated positively that he had never been in the room where the inquiry was held at Wardner, denying the statement of Attorney Robertson to that effect.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

General Merriam's testimony followed closely the lines of his report. During 13 years of service, he said, he had never known a soldier to strike a prisoner with a musket or jab with a bayonet.

ner, and said he was present at the interview on the train between General Merriam and Governor Stevenson, while they were en route on April 26, the day after the Bunker Hill mine was blown up.

Under General Merriam's direction he had censured all telegrams filed at the telegraph office relating to the movement of troops and the military situation there.

He examined all press dispatches at Wardner. In only one case had he had occasion to object to anything filed. He had seen no rough treatment of prisoners on the part of the soldiers and heard no harsh language.

He was followed by General Merriam, who described in detail his orders from the War Department, and the assembling of troops there by his orders.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

He said that the prisoners at all times were better sheltered from the weather than were the troops. He was, however, a deficiency in bedding. He denied that he had held any conferences with missionaries.

DIRECT PAY DEMANDED

UNITED STATES WILL MAKE NO ROUNDABOUT SETTLEMENT.

If the Porte Wants a Battleship of Us That is a Totally Separate Matter.

CONSTANTINOPLE, April 27.—The American note handed to the Turkish Minister of Foreign Affairs, Tewfik Pasha, on Tuesday, is couched in peremptory terms, demanding immediate payment of the indemnity several times promised to Turkey.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

It is presumed that the Porte's reply will not fix a time limit for an answer, but its tenor is not far from the character of an ultimatum. It has produced a great impression upon the Porte, which, however, shows no disposition to modify the attitude hitherto maintained, namely, repudiating the responsibility and seeking to diminish the importance of the matter.

China and the United States, the question of transportation will not doubt come more and more to the front. In days not very long past the American flag was common sight among the shipping of Hong Kong and other Chinese ports. Now it is conspicuous by its absence.

If an isthmian canal were in operation, connecting the waters of the Pacific with those of the Atlantic, such congestion of traffic at any point on the Pacific Coast would be at once relieved. But, unfortunately, the day is still far distant when steamers are to pass from ocean to ocean across the Central American isthmus.

Without adequate transportation facilities, how is it to be met? After due inquiry, I find that Americans do not control a single line of steamers plying between New York and Shanghai by way of the Suez Canal. I have no hesitation in saying that such a line, if established, will contribute materially to the expansion of trade between the two countries.

Mr. Galloway, your Consul-General at Shanghai, reports that rates of freight from New York to China and Japan via Suez vary from 25 shillings 6 pence to 27 shillings 6 pence to 25 shillings 6 pence for 40 cubic feet, against 45 shillings to 50 shillings (\$10.35 to \$11.15) charged by steamship owners from London and Liverpool to the same destination.

The English are noted for their acute judgment in matters of business. If English shipowners are to be their own masters, for business reasons, to offer cheaper freight rates to American than to English shippers, it is not to be supposed that they cherish a grudge for their American countrymen.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.

It is because they believe it good business policy. Such being the case, it seems strange that you, enterprising men as you are, have made no serious attempt to secure at least a share of the profits arising from carrying your own goods.



NATIONAL LEAGUE GAMES.

Orth Lost the Game for the Philadelphia. PHILADELPHIA, April 27.—Orth was responsible for Philadelphia's defeat today. The locals, however, lost an opportunity in the eighth, when with one out they failed to score.

Brooklyn ... R H E R H E R H E Brooklyn ... 9 15 2 Philadelphia ... 4 11 4 Batteries—McGinnity and McGuire; Orth and Douglass and McFarland. Umpire—Emslie.

Pittsburg, April 27.—Cincinnati was not satisfied with an ordinary defeat of Pittsburg, but tried to break all the league records. The home team has seldom, if ever, scored more than 10 runs.

Pittsburg ... R H E Cincinnati ... 19 22 5 Batteries—Tannehill, Woods, Flaherty and Zimmer and Lattimer; Newton, Scott and Peitz. Umpire—Swarwood.

Chicago Won From St. Louis. CHICAGO, April 27.—The opening National League game of the season in Chicago was won by the home team by a margin of 10 runs.

Chicago ... R H E St. Louis ... 5 19 1 Batteries—Taylor and Chance; Jones and Criger. Umpire—O'Day.

New York Outplayed Boston. NEW YORK, April 27.—The New Yorks outplayed the Bostonians at all points today, and scored an easy victory.

New York ... R H E Boston ... 4 5 1 Batteries—Seymour, Carрик and Gray and Warner; Willis, Pittinger and Clarke. Umpire—Connolly.

The American League. At Cleveland—Cleveland, 8; Indianapolis, 6. At Buffalo—Buffalo, 4; Detroit, 12. At Minneapolis—Minneapolis, 7; Kansas City, 6. At Milwaukee—Milwaukee, 6; Chicago, 2.

THE WORK OF FAST HORSES. Results at the Aqueduct Track, New York. NEW YORK, April 27.—The results at Aqueduct today were:

Selling, five and a half furlongs—Her Ladyship won, Cupidity second, Lady Conroy third, time, 1:28.5. Selling, mile and 70 yards—Rare Perfume won, The Gardener second, Tony Hong third, time, 1:47.

Handicap, about seven furlongs—Gold On won, Doubtless second, Kamastra third, time, 1:27. Selling, mile and 70 yards—Precursor won, Free Lance second, Dutch Comedian third, time, 1:47.5.

Selling, about six furlongs—The Benefactor won, Kimmage second, Christmas Carol third, time, 1:17.2.5. Selling, five furlongs—Screech won, Horace second, Telamon third, time, 1:33.

The Memphis Races. MEMPHIS, April 27.—The results today were:

Six furlongs, selling—Isabinda won, Harry Floyd second, Kilt third, time, 1:35. Half mile—Gibson Girl won, Cynara second, Seething third, time, 0:29.4.

One mile—John Baker won, Duke of Bechen second, Handpress third, time, 1:42. Mile and an eighth, Peabody handicap—Steamer won, Crockett second, Drumburg third, time, 1:56.5.

Mile and a sixteenth, selling—Hood's Brigade won, Schnell Läufer second, Indian third, time, 1:29.5. Six furlongs, selling—Myrtle Van won, Eva Wilson second, Evelyn Byrd third, time, 1:16.

Fine Day at Oakland. SAN FRANCISCO, April 27.—The weather at Oakland was fine, the track fast, and the results were:

Five furlongs, selling—Spoke won, Goldfinger second, Young Morillo third, time, 1:02. One mile, selling—Lou Rey won, Morriga second, Dolore third, time, 1:42.

</