

The Oregonian

Entered at the Postoffice at Portland, Oregon, as second-class matter.

REVISED SUBSCRIPTION RATES: By Mail postage prepaid in Advance: Daily, with Sunday excepted, per month, \$1.00; Daily, with Sunday excepted, per year, \$10.00; Sunday, per year, \$2.00; The Weekly, per year, \$1.50; The Weekly, three months, \$0.50.

DAILY METEOROLOGICAL REPORT: PORTLAND, Feb. 14, 9-5 P. M.—Maximum temperature, 44; minimum temperature, 36; height of river at 11 A. M., 4.6; change in the past 24 hours, 0.2; precipitation today, .00; precipitation from September 1, 1894, to season closed, 19.78; average, 21.18; deficiency, 11.42; number hours of sunshine Wednesday, 2304; possible number, 10-24.

WEATHER SYNOPSIS: Night changes having occurred in the barometric pressure on the Pacific coast and east of the Rockies, fair and pleasant weather resulted throughout the country west of the Rocky mountains. East of the Rockies the temperature continues to recede below zero. With exception of fresh westerly winds blowing off the Washington coast, there are no indications of the storm which prevailed on the North Pacific coast.

WEATHER FORECASTS: Forecasts made at Portland for the 24 hours ending at midnight February 15: For Oregon, Washington and Idaho—Fair weather and nearly stationary temperature, with fresh east to west winds. For Portland—Fair weather and nearly stationary temperature, with light easterly winds.

PORTLAND, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 1895. A STRANGE DEPARTURE. The letter written by Representative Lester, of Clatsop, published last April, when he was a candidate for the legislative assembly, republished by The Oregonian yesterday, fairly expresses the thought and purpose of the republicans of Oregon in the campaign that ended in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

Repudiation of such a letter has value because it recalls us to the spirit and purpose of the contest of last year, which resulted in the election of the present legislature. The spirit of the republicans on the money question could not be more clearly set forth than in that letter. Yet now we are told there must be free coinage of silver, and Mr. Lester is acting with those who refuse to vote for Mr. Dolph because he adheres to sound money and continues to oppose the demands of "the silver inflationists and monometalists," as Mr. Lester then called his present associates.

letter, we remark again that nothing could more clearly show the spirit of the republican campaign of 1894 in Oregon. What a departure from it is that spirit which now, a few months later, holds up the legislature! With the spirit of this departure, with this factional divagation, with this movement toward populism, the republican masses never will fall in. They stand on this subject just where they stood when they voted last June. They stand on the ground which their party, as a national organization, has steadily held heretofore, and will as steadily hold heretofore.

IN THE HANDS OF USURERS. When a man allows his private affairs to fall into such state that he can save himself from ruin only by borrowing a large sum of money; when, moreover, his situation and necessities are known to all persons who have money to lend, he must expect to submit to hard terms and to pay usurious interest.

It matters not how much property he may have, if he cannot turn it into money on short notice. It matters not how high his commercial credit may be. It matters not what positive assurance he can give of power to repay the loan upon maturity. It matters not how good security he can place in hands of the lender. If he must have the money, he must pay for it whatever the lender may demand. Exorbitant interest is not claimed on ground of poor security or doubt about repayment. It is extorted just because it can be; because the necessities of the borrower put him in power of the lender and compel him to pay whatever the latter may demand, in way of interest, bonus, premium, commission or what not. This is what is called business.

There is no way for the borrower to avoid the alternative. The only thing for him to do is so to manage his affairs that his commercial salvation will not depend upon borrowing money. This is precisely the situation of the United States treasury. They who are in control of the government have allowed its affairs to fall into such state that there is no alternative but borrowing money. It matters not what the United States treasury has in its hands on earth. Reckless legislation has cut off revenue by reducing the tariff till the receipts are from \$5,000,000 to \$10,000,000 per month less than the expenses. It matters not that the commercial credit of the nation is the highest. A senseless congress has refused the treasury authority to make ordinary provision for an inevitable emergency at a time when it could have been easily refused even now to authorize the secretary to make loans at terms which would command money on ordinary and reasonable rates. It matters not that repayment is certain, since congress will not guarantee it in positive terms.

The whole conduct of the government for the past two years has tended first to exhaust the resources of the treasury, and second, to exhaust government out from all ordinary and legitimate means of obtaining money on credit. The result is exactly the same as when a rich man, whose credit ordinarily is unlimited, puts himself, by imprudent management of his affairs, in a state where he must borrow a large sum of money or suspend. The United States is in just that condition. It must borrow a large amount of gold or suspend gold payment soon. Its necessities are known to everybody, and when it goes into the market to borrow, hard terms are made, usurious interest is exacted, an enormous bonus is extorted. It must sell bonds for 104 which the buyers will immediately sell again at 112, pocketing the difference. The government has put itself, by its imprudence and ignorant stupidity, into the hands of the usurers, and it must pay the penalty. It doesn't help matters to call the lender a rascal, when he has the opportunity to do so only because the borrower is a fool.

LOVE'S LABOR LOST. The proposition to reform impetuous drunkards by law, through giving them the gold-cure treatment at public expense, is one of the vagaries of modern legislation. Practical men who have observed the effects of any of the so-called "cures" for inebriety are convinced that, to be efficacious, they must be preceded by an earnest desire on the part of the inebriate to be "cured" of the liquor habit, and supplemented by a manly determination to eschew thereafter all forms of intoxicating beverages. To the extent that the "treatment" serves as a tonic to the man whose entire system—mental, moral and physical—is under the dominion of appetite, it is beneficial, a sort of auxiliary, so aptly to the "mind cure" as he is working on himself, a prop to his feeble will. Beyond this it is useless, as the hundreds of back-sliding graduates of gold-cure institutions prove. The question is one of individual will, purpose and decency, which, being simplified, is: "To be or not to be a man?"

That legislatures in various states, including our own, are considering more or less seriously the proposition to inject manly principle into any nose into the veins of inebriates by means of a hypodermic needle is only another proof of the tendency of modern legislation to give ear to visionary theories in the name of science or reform. Unless lawmakers can devise a self-acting statute, that will, on and after approval by the governor, cause liquor to flee the lips of the drunkard, as once, according to tradition, water did the lips of Tanalua, they would better not invade the domain of good Miss Willard and the women of the Women's Christian Temperance Union with schemes for the arbitrary reformation of the drunkard. Otherwise, ten chances to one, their efforts will go on record as "love's labor lost."

The salmon bill before our legislature, prepared after consultation with a committee of the legislature of Washington, seems to contain the lines of Tanalua, they would better not invade the domain of good Miss Willard and the women of the Women's Christian Temperance Union with schemes for the arbitrary reformation of the drunkard. Otherwise, ten chances to one, their efforts will go on record as "love's labor lost."

The action of the republicans in this crisis of financial legislation is not commendable. By voting with the more honest and intelligent democrats, they could have passed either the original administration bond bill or the 3 per cent bond bill rejected yesterday. Most of them know that the principle of this legislation is sound, and most of them would vote for it if they were responsible for government. But they will not help the president to rescue the business of the country and the national credit from the grave peril into which the recklessness and stupidity of the democratic party has plunged it. Because they want the credit of making that rescue themselves, when they return to power. This is a narrow partisan view, and it may defeat itself. The national distress upon which the republicans depend to bring them back to power may become too serious to impose a penalty for transporting certain fish at times when it is lawful to catch them. That is, the bill, as drawn, does not distinguish the seasons in which different species are transported, though it does distinguish the seasons when they may be caught. This conflict would render the law partially inoperative. Again, the act might, in a similar way, prohibit the transport of fish through Oregon from

Washington, taken lawfully in that state. This also would be inoperative. It should not be difficult to correct these incongruities.

"HIGHER EDUCATION." The house has passed bills to all the normal schools at several points and to establish a new one at Lakeview, and the senate shows symptoms of willingness to provide local schools of this character for other sections of the state. Tillamook wants one, Coos bay asks for one, and another is desired in Grant or Harney county. It is time Portland joined in this crusade for state money to maintain a school for "higher education" of its youth. Multnomah pays a third of the state taxes and gets little in return. If local high schools are to be supported by the state treasury, Multnomah should not be bashful in making her wants known. To be sure, Portland spends lots of money on her high schools, but she dare not rob other lower grades any more, though the high school is rapidly increasing and could absorb a much greater sum. The state treasury should be thrown open, so that the "cause of education" should not suffer.

Vanderburg had the right idea in the bill so incontinently rejected by the senate. He believes that it is impossible to have too much of a good thing. If it were not for Eugene, Corvallis, Drain, Madras, and other smaller cities to have schools for "higher education" supported by the state, Vanderburg thinks it would be good for every city. Hence his bill appropriated enough money to establish normal schools everywhere. Half a million is no good a cause ought not to be considered too much. The senate did not agree with Mr. Vanderburg, but a man of his rank and standing could not afford a few in authority fail to agree with him.

While these rapacious demands upon the treasury for the support of local schools for higher education are being satisfied, the state press is chronicling the fact that here and there in scores of districts the common schools are closing for want of funds to run them. But that is no matter. We must have "higher education" public expense for a few, even if the common schools for the many lock their doors and education is denied the masses. We must grind out alleged school-teachers, even if the schools they are supposed to teach are empty. We can not afford money enough to keep the public schools open everywhere in the state, but we have plenty with which to maintain the schools for the boys and girls of one city, and an agricultural college for the youth of another. It is the duty of the state to provide "higher education"; let him who wants a lower one get it if he can.

The report that Li Hung Chang is going to Japan with proposals of peace looks as if the Chinese had at last discovered that the Japanese are not to be trifled with. It was entirely characteristic of Chinese diplomacy to send envoys to Japan, ostensibly to make peace, but with no power to do anything but "talk it over" and protract negotiations as long as might be, in reliance upon the occurrence of some lucky accident, or in belief that military operations would be suspended pending negotiations, giving them more time to strengthen their defense. But every expectation of the Chinese was disappointed. There were no happy accidents, and hostilities did not stop. When their envoys, at once upon showing their credentials, were ordered out of the country, they found out that it was of no use to undertake sham negotiations. They have not thus far induced the Japanese to suspend operations a single day, nor will they succeed in doing so by the dispatch of new envoys, even with Li Hung Chang at their head. It is in the last degree improbable that the Japanese army or navy will carry on the war any less vigorously because a treaty of peace is under discussion, or will give the Chinese a respite of a day's truce on account of the negotiations. It is well known in the East that the Japanese do not wish for peace at all, and will make it before taking Peking, only under the strongest pressure from the Western powers. No such pressure can be applied until the Chinese abandon their Oriental policy and begin to deal honestly.

The attempts of Senator McGinn to cut off the royal grants and bring the emoluments of officials of Multnomah county down to the basis of adequate pay for service actually rendered give fair ground for success. Members of the royal family, headed by the king of the county beneficiaries, the prosecuting attorney, and supported by the sheriff as next in rank, are naturally in a great flutter of excitement at the prospect of losing their perquisites. Their attempt, singly and in concert, to pose as poor, defrauded public servants, with whom an ungrateful people, through their callous-hearted representatives, are trying to sympathize, is too ludicrous to enlist sympathy. If the salary bill and other measures looking to a reasonable reduction of official expenses of Portland and Multnomah county become laws, there is still one recourse open to these royal blood-suckers. They can resign and give better men a chance to accept the new terms. The fact that this is a free country, and that no citizen is compelled to enter its service, should not be lost sight of in this stress.

The action of the republicans in this crisis of financial legislation is not commendable. By voting with the more honest and intelligent democrats, they could have passed either the original administration bond bill or the 3 per cent bond bill rejected yesterday. Most of them know that the principle of this legislation is sound, and most of them would vote for it if they were responsible for government. But they will not help the president to rescue the business of the country and the national credit from the grave peril into which the recklessness and stupidity of the democratic party has plunged it. Because they want the credit of making that rescue themselves, when they return to power. This is a narrow partisan view, and it may defeat itself. The national distress upon which the republicans depend to bring them back to power may become too serious to impose a penalty for transporting certain fish at times when it is lawful to catch them. That is, the bill, as drawn, does not distinguish the seasons in which different species are transported, though it does distinguish the seasons when they may be caught. This conflict would render the law partially inoperative. Again, the act might, in a similar way, prohibit the transport of fish through Oregon from

Washington, taken lawfully in that state. This also would be inoperative. It should not be difficult to correct these incongruities.

"HIGHER EDUCATION." The house has passed bills to all the normal schools at several points and to establish a new one at Lakeview, and the senate shows symptoms of willingness to provide local schools of this character for other sections of the state. Tillamook wants one, Coos bay asks for one, and another is desired in Grant or Harney county. It is time Portland joined in this crusade for state money to maintain a school for "higher education" of its youth. Multnomah pays a third of the state taxes and gets little in return. If local high schools are to be supported by the state treasury, Multnomah should not be bashful in making her wants known. To be sure, Portland spends lots of money on her high schools, but she dare not rob other lower grades any more, though the high school is rapidly increasing and could absorb a much greater sum. The state treasury should be thrown open, so that the "cause of education" should not suffer.

Vanderburg had the right idea in the bill so incontinently rejected by the senate. He believes that it is impossible to have too much of a good thing. If it were not for Eugene, Corvallis, Drain, Madras, and other smaller cities to have schools for "higher education" supported by the state, Vanderburg thinks it would be good for every city. Hence his bill appropriated enough money to establish normal schools everywhere. Half a million is no good a cause ought not to be considered too much. The senate did not agree with Mr. Vanderburg, but a man of his rank and standing could not afford a few in authority fail to agree with him.

While these rapacious demands upon the treasury for the support of local schools for higher education are being satisfied, the state press is chronicling the fact that here and there in scores of districts the common schools are closing for want of funds to run them. But that is no matter. We must have "higher education" public expense for a few, even if the common schools for the many lock their doors and education is denied the masses. We must grind out alleged school-teachers, even if the schools they are supposed to teach are empty. We can not afford money enough to keep the public schools open everywhere in the state, but we have plenty with which to maintain the schools for the boys and girls of one city, and an agricultural college for the youth of another. It is the duty of the state to provide "higher education"; let him who wants a lower one get it if he can.

The report that Li Hung Chang is going to Japan with proposals of peace looks as if the Chinese had at last discovered that the Japanese are not to be trifled with. It was entirely characteristic of Chinese diplomacy to send envoys to Japan, ostensibly to make peace, but with no power to do anything but "talk it over" and protract negotiations as long as might be, in reliance upon the occurrence of some lucky accident, or in belief that military operations would be suspended pending negotiations, giving them more time to strengthen their defense. But every expectation of the Chinese was disappointed. There were no happy accidents, and hostilities did not stop. When their envoys, at once upon showing their credentials, were ordered out of the country, they found out that it was of no use to undertake sham negotiations. They have not thus far induced the Japanese to suspend operations a single day, nor will they succeed in doing so by the dispatch of new envoys, even with Li Hung Chang at their head. It is in the last degree improbable that the Japanese army or navy will carry on the war any less vigorously because a treaty of peace is under discussion, or will give the Chinese a respite of a day's truce on account of the negotiations. It is well known in the East that the Japanese do not wish for peace at all, and will make it before taking Peking, only under the strongest pressure from the Western powers. No such pressure can be applied until the Chinese abandon their Oriental policy and begin to deal honestly.

The attempts of Senator McGinn to cut off the royal grants and bring the emoluments of officials of Multnomah county down to the basis of adequate pay for service actually rendered give fair ground for success. Members of the royal family, headed by the king of the county beneficiaries, the prosecuting attorney, and supported by the sheriff as next in rank, are naturally in a great flutter of excitement at the prospect of losing their perquisites. Their attempt, singly and in concert, to pose as poor, defrauded public servants, with whom an ungrateful people, through their callous-hearted representatives, are trying to sympathize, is too ludicrous to enlist sympathy. If the salary bill and other measures looking to a reasonable reduction of official expenses of Portland and Multnomah county become laws, there is still one recourse open to these royal blood-suckers. They can resign and give better men a chance to accept the new terms. The fact that this is a free country, and that no citizen is compelled to enter its service, should not be lost sight of in this stress.

The action of the republicans in this crisis of financial legislation is not commendable. By voting with the more honest and intelligent democrats, they could have passed either the original administration bond bill or the 3 per cent bond bill rejected yesterday. Most of them know that the principle of this legislation is sound, and most of them would vote for it if they were responsible for government. But they will not help the president to rescue the business of the country and the national credit from the grave peril into which the recklessness and stupidity of the democratic party has plunged it. Because they want the credit of making that rescue themselves, when they return to power. This is a narrow partisan view, and it may defeat itself. The national distress upon which the republicans depend to bring them back to power may become too serious to impose a penalty for transporting certain fish at times when it is lawful to catch them. That is, the bill, as drawn, does not distinguish the seasons in which different species are transported, though it does distinguish the seasons when they may be caught. This conflict would render the law partially inoperative. Again, the act might, in a similar way, prohibit the transport of fish through Oregon from

Washington, taken lawfully in that state. This also would be inoperative. It should not be difficult to correct these incongruities.

"HIGHER EDUCATION." The house has passed bills to all the normal schools at several points and to establish a new one at Lakeview, and the senate shows symptoms of willingness to provide local schools of this character for other sections of the state. Tillamook wants one, Coos bay asks for one, and another is desired in Grant or Harney county. It is time Portland joined in this crusade for state money to maintain a school for "higher education" of its youth. Multnomah pays a third of the state taxes and gets little in return. If local high schools are to be supported by the state treasury, Multnomah should not be bashful in making her wants known. To be sure, Portland spends lots of money on her high schools, but she dare not rob other lower grades any more, though the high school is rapidly increasing and could absorb a much greater sum. The state treasury should be thrown open, so that the "cause of education" should not suffer.

Vanderburg had the right idea in the bill so incontinently rejected by the senate. He believes that it is impossible to have too much of a good thing. If it were not for Eugene, Corvallis, Drain, Madras, and other smaller cities to have schools for "higher education" supported by the state, Vanderburg thinks it would be good for every city. Hence his bill appropriated enough money to establish normal schools everywhere. Half a million is no good a cause ought not to be considered too much. The senate did not agree with Mr. Vanderburg, but a man of his rank and standing could not afford a few in authority fail to agree with him.

While these rapacious demands upon the treasury for the support of local schools for higher education are being satisfied, the state press is chronicling the fact that here and there in scores of districts the common schools are closing for want of funds to run them. But that is no matter. We must have "higher education" public expense for a few, even if the common schools for the many lock their doors and education is denied the masses. We must grind out alleged school-teachers,