THE OREGON ARGUS.

PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY MORNING, BY WILLIAM L. ADAMS.

TERMS. The Angua will be furnished at TERMS—The Angus will be furnished at Three Dollars and Fifty Cents per annum, in advance, to single subscribers—Three Dollars each to clubs of ten at one affice—in advance. When the money is not paid in advance, Four Dollars will be charged if paid within six months, and Five dollars at the end of the year.

Tago Dollars for six months—No subscriptions received for a less version. tions received for a less period.

paper discontinued until all arrearages
aid, unless at the option of the publisher.

SEPECECEE

OF THE

HON. ABRAM LINCOLN.

(Of Illinois,)

DELIVERED AT SPRINGFIELD, JUNE 26, 1857.

In Reply to Hon. S. A. Douglas.

Utab,--Kansas,--The Dred Scott Decision.

FELLOW CITIZENS :- I am here to night. partly by the invitation of some of you, and partly by my own inclination. Two weeks ago Judge Douglas spoke here on the several subjects of Kausas, the Dred Scot decision, and Utah. I listened to the speech at the time, and have read the report of it since. It was intended to controvert opinions which I think just, and to assail (politically, not personally,) those men who, in common with me, entertain those opinions. For this reason I wished then, and still wish, to make some answer to it, which I now take the opportunity of

doing. I bagin with Utah. If it prove to be true, as is probable, that the people of Urah are in open rebellion to the United States, then Judge Douglas is in favor of repealing their territorial organization, and attaching them to the adjoining States for Jadicial purposes. I say, too, if they are in rebellion, they ought to be somehow coerced to obedience; and I am not now prepared to admit or deny that the Judge's mode of overeing them is as good as any. The Republicans can fall in with it without taking back any thing they have ever said, To be sure, it would be a considerable backing down by Judge Douglas from his much ventted doctrine of self-government for the territories; but this is only additional proof of what was very plain from the beginning, that that doctrine was a mere deceitful pretense for the benefit of slavery. Those who could not see that much in the Nebraska act itself, which forced Governors, and Secretaries, and Judges on the people of the territories, without their choice and consent, could not be made to see, though one should rise from the dead to testify.

But in all this, it is very plain the Judge evades the only question the Republicans have ever pressed upon the Democracy in regard to Utah. That question the Judge well knows to be this: "If the people of Utah shall peacefully form a State Constitution tolerating polygamy, will the Deinocraev admit them into the Union?"-There is nothing in the United States Consitution or law against polygamy; and why is it not a part of the Judge's "sacred right of self government" for that people to having yet quite established a settled human family, and, if they were used in a doctrine for the country. But Judge Dongsimilar instrument at this day, would be so never answers. It might involve the Democracy to answer them either way, and

they go unanswered. As to Kansas. The substance of the Judge's speech on Kansas is an effort to "There is every reason to hope and believe that the law will be fairly interpreted and impartially executed, so as to insure to every bona file inhabitant the free and quiet exercise of the elective franchise."

It appears extraordinary that Judge Douglas should make such a statement. He knows that, by the law, no one can vote who has not been registered; and he knows that the free State men place there refusal to vote on the ground that but few of them have been registered. It is possible this is not true, but Judge Donglas knows it is asserted to be true in letters, newspapers and public speeches and borne by every mail, and blown by every breeze to the eyes and cars of the world. He knows it is boldly declared that the people of many whole counties, and many whole neighborhoods in others, are left unregistered; yet he does not venture to contradict the declaration, nor to point out how they can vote wishout being registered; but he just slips along, not seeming to know there is any such question of fact, and complacently declared : "There is every reason to hope and believe that the law will be fairly and impartially executed, so as to tosure to every bona fide inhabitant the free and quiet exercise of the elective

I readily agree that if all had a chance to vote, they ought to have voted. If, on the contrary, as they allege, and Judge Douglas ventures not to particularly congradiet, few only of the free State men had a chance to vote, they were percetly right

in staying from the polls in a body.

By the way since the judge spoke, the
Kausas election has come off. The Judge expressed his confidence that all the Democrass in Kensas would do their duty-including "Free State Democrats" of course. The returns received here are as yet very incomplete; but so far as they go, they indicate that only about one sixth of the registered voters, have really voted; and this too, when not more, perhaps, than one half of the rightful voters have been registered, thus showing the thing to have been altogether the most exquisite farce ever enacted. I am watching with considerable interest to ascertain what figure the "Free State Democrats" cut in the concern. O course they voted-all Democrats do their duty-and of course they did not vote for slave-state candidates. We soon shall know how many delegates they elected, how many candidates they had pledged for a free State : and how many votes were cast for them.

Allow me to barely whisper my suspieion that there were no such things in Kansas as free State Democrats-that they were altogether mythical, good only to figure in newspapers and speeches in the free States. If there should be one real, living, free State Democrat in Kansas, I auggest that it might be well to catch him, and stuff and preserve his skin, as an interesting specimen of that soon to be estinct variety of the genus Democrat.

The Oregon Argus

-A Weekly Newspaper, devoted to the Principles of Jeffersonian Democracy, and advocating the side of Truth in every issue.

OREGON CITY, OREGON, AUGUST 29, 1857.

Vol. III.

master over him?

other similar cases will be decided when length that negroes were no part of the hey arise. For the latter use they are alled "precedents" and "authorities."

We believe as much as Judge Douglas, perhaps more,) in obrdience to, and respret for, the judicial department of Governit, has often over ruled its own decisions. language: and we shall do what we can to have it

Judicial decisions are of greater or less authority as precedents, according to cir-That this should be so, accords both with common sense, and the customary understanding of the legal pro-

had been in no part based on assumed his the question of its adoption." torical facts which are not really true; or. ary, not to acquiesce in it as precedent.

put the free State men in the wrong for not dicial tribunal, aims a deadly blow at our sumption is a mistake. In some trifling were then actually enjoying that equality, to amount to much in the way of mixing called to order by the President pro tem, herefore, that if resistance to the decisions Dred Scott case clearly within their jurisdiction as defined by the Constitution, shall be forced upon the country as a political ssue, it will become a distinct and naked sue between the friends and the enemies of the Constitution-the friends and the enemies of the supremncy of the laws."

Why this same Supreme Court once decided a national bank to be constitutional : but Gen. Jackson, as President of the ited States, disregarded the decision, add Vetoed a bill for a re-charter, partly on constitutionel ground, declaring that each public functionary must support the Conitution, "as he understands it." But hear the General's own words. Here they are, taken from his veto message :

"It is maintained by the advocates of the bank, that its constitutionality, in all its features, ought to be considered as settled by precedent, and by the decision of the Supreme Court. To this conclusion I can not assent. Mere precedent is a dangerous source of authority, and should not be regarded as deciding questions of constitution al power, except where the acquiescence of the people and the States can be consid-

ered as well settled. "So for from this being the case on this subject, an argument against the bank might be based on precedent. One Congress in 1791, decided in favor of a bank; another in 1811, decided against it. One Congress in 1815 decided against a bank ; another in 1816 decided in its favor. Prior to the present Congress, therefore, the precedents drawn from that source were equal. If we resort to the States, the expression of legislative, judicial and execu- the heavy iron doors upon him, and now ive opinions against the bank have been they have him, as it were, bolted in with probably to those in its favor as four to one. a lock of a hundred keys, which can never There is nothing in precedent, therefore, be unlocked without the concurrence of which if its authority were admitted, ought to weigh in favor of the act before me."

I drop the quotations merely to remark. that all there ever was in the way of prece. and they stand musing as to what invendent up to the Dred Scott decision, on the tion in all the dominions of mind and matpoints therein decided, had been against ter can be produced to make the impossithat decision. But hear Gen. Jackson bility of his escape more complete than it is, further-

"If the opinion of the Supreme Court covered the whole ground of this act, it ought not to control the co-ordinate authorities of this Government. The Congress. the executive and the court must each for itself be guided by its own opinion of the Constitution. Each public officer, who takes an oath to support the Constitution, swears that he will support it as he understands it, and not as it is understood by doctrine of the measure, but at the same

Donglas denounce that bank decision, and national faith; and he has seen that suc- or races. applicad Gen. Jackson for disregarding it. cessful rival constitutionally elected, not I had thought the Declaration promised effected at all must be effected by col. It would be interesting for him to look over by the strength of friends, but by the di- semething better than the condition of Brit- onization; and so political party, as such,

And now as to the Dred Scot decision. his recent speech and see how exactly his vision of adversaries, being in a popular ish subjects; but no, it only meant that we Douglas does not discuss the merits of the was "a distinct and naked issue between case, standing next on the docket for trial. I had thought the Declaration contem decision, and in that respect, I shall follow the friends and the enemies of the Con-his example, believing that I could no more stitution," and in which war he fought in of nearly all white people, to the idea of an condition of all men everywhere; but no,

He denounces all who question the cor- I have said, in substance, that the Dred resistence to it. But who resists it ? Who sumed historical facts which were not realhas, in spite of the decision, declared Dred ly true; and I ought not to leave the sub-Scot free, and resisted the authority of his ject without giving some reasons for saying this; I therefore give an instance or to absolutely determine the case decided, Justice Tancy, in delivering the opinion of people who made, or for whom was made Constitution of the United States.

those persons who were qualified by its one clee, she is my equal, and the equal of interesting memorial of the dead past ! thus laws to act the reon in behalf of themselves all others. and all other citizens of the State. In Chief Justice Taney, in his opinion in and left without the germ or even the suglaw to act on the subject. These colored enough to include the whole human family.

If this important decision had been made persons were not only included in the body but he and Judge Douglas argue that the fied at the thought of the mixing blood by by the unanimous concurrence of the judg. of the people of the United States,' by authors of that instrument did not intend the white and black races; agreed for once es, and without any apparent partisan bias, whom the Constitution was ordained and to include negroes, by the fact that they —a thousand times agreed. There are and in accordance with legal public expected but in at least five of the did not at once place them on an equality white men enough to marry all the white tation, and with the steady practice of the States they had the power to act, and with the whites. Now this grave argudepartments throughout our history, and doubtless, did not, by their suffrages, upon ment comes to just nothing at all, by the all the black women; and so let them be

if wanting in some of these, it had been is difficult, at this day, to realize the state before the court more than once, and had of public opinion in relation to that unforthere been affirmed and re affirmed through tunate race, which prevailed in civilized of both the Chief Justice and the Senator, ours, and adopt his. Let us see. In 1850 a course of years, it then might be, perhaps and colightened portions of the world at for doing this obvious violence to the plain, there were in the United States, 405,751 would be, factious, nay, even revolution- the time of the Declaration of Independ- unmistakable language of the Declaration. Wery few of these are the offence, and when the Constitution of the I think the authors of that notable instru-But when, as it is true, we find it want. United States was framed and adopted,"— ment intended to include ALL men, but they have sprung from black slaves and white ing in all these claims to the public confi. And again, after quoting from the Decladid not intend to declare all men equal in masters. A separation of the races is the dence, it is not resistance, it is not factious, ration, he says: "The general words above all respects. They did not intend to say all only perfect preventive of amalgamation,

while it has not been extended, so far as the number of the States has more than masters could, at their own pleasure, emancipate their slaves; but, since then, such legal restraints have been made upon emuncipation as to amount almost to prohibition. In those days, Legislatures held in their respective States; but now it is

Legislatures. In those days, by common consent, the spread of the black man's bondage to new countries was prohibited; but now, Congress decides that it will not continue the prohibition, and the Supreme Court decides that it could not if it would. In ence was held sacred by all; but now, to that "all men are created equal." aid in making the bondage of the negro universal and eternal, it is assailed, and the same subject, as I find it in the printed sneered at, and construed, and hawked at, report of his late speech. Here it is: and torn, till, if its framers could rise from their graves, they could not recognize it. All the powers of the earth seem rapidly combining against him. Mammon is after him; ambition follows, and philosophy follows, and the theology of the day is fast joining the cry. They have him in person, and left no prying instrument with every kev; the keys in the hands of a hundred different men, and they scattered to a bundred different and distant places ;

It is grossly incorrect to say or assume that the public estimate of the negro is more favorable now than it was at the ori-

gin of the government. Three years and a half ago, Judge Doubill. The country was at once in a blaze. He scorned all opposition, and carried it through Congress. Since then he has seen himself superseded in the Presidential nomination, by one indersing the general

That decision declares two propositions : fierce phillipics against us for resisting Su- minority of nearly four hundred thousand should be equal to them in their own opfirst, that a negro cannot sue in the U. S. preme Court decisions, fall upon his owe votes. He has seen his chief aids in his pressed and unequal condition. According courts; and secondly, that Congress can head. It will call to his mind a long and own State, Shields and Richardson, politi- to that, it gave no promise that having not prohibit slavery in the Territories. It fierce political war in this country, upon an ically speaking, successively tried, convicion kicked off the King and Lords of Great was made by a divided court-dividing issue which in his own language, and, of ted and executed, for an offence not their Britain, we should not at once be saddled differently on the different points. Judge course, in his own changeless estimation, own, but his. And now he sees his own with a King and Lords of our own.

mprove on McLean and Curtis than he the ranks of the enemies of the Constitu. indiscriminate amalgamation of the white it merely "was adopted for the purpose of rectness of that decision, as offering violent Scott decision was, in part, based on aschances of being able to appropriate the since from the British crown, and dissolving resistence to it. But who resists it? Who sumed historical facts which were not realbenefit of this disgust to himself. If he their connection with the mother country." Judicial decisions have two uses-first, two, which I think fully sustain me. Chief the storm. He therefore clings to this old wadding left to rot on the battle-field absolutely determine the case decided, Justice Tancy, in delivering the opinion of hope, as a drowning man to the last plank. and secondly, to indicate to the public how the majority of the Court, insists at great He makes an occasion for lugging it in from the opposition to the Dred Scott de- brate the "4th" temorrow week. What cision. He finds the Republicans insisting for? The doings of that day had no referthe Declaration of Independence, or the Constitution of the United States. that the Declaration of Independence in-On the contrary, Judge Curtis, in his and forthwith he boldly denies that it referred to at that day. But I suppose dissenting opinion, shows that in five of the includes negroes at all, and proceeds to ar- you will celebrate; and will even go so far ment. We think its decisions on Constitut then thirteen States, to wit, New Hamp- gue gravely that all who contend it does, as to read the Declaration. Suppose after tional questions, when fully settled, should shire, Massachusetts, New York, New Jercontrol, not only the particular cases, but the general policy of the country, subject to be disturbed only by amendments of the Constitution as provided in that instrument tistelf. More than this would be revolution. But we think the Dred Scot decision is erned as. We know the court that made the same part in making the Constitution as provided in that instrument tistelf. More than this would be revolution. But we think the Dred Scot decision is erned as a sort of continuing the court that made the same part in making the Constitution as provided in that instrument this with so much particularity as to leave this with so much particularity as to leave the court that the white people had. He shows the court that made the same part in making the Constitution as provided in that instrument that the white people had. He shows the concludes that, because this with so much particularity as to leave the wint the court that made classion on that point, holds the following the control, not only because they want to vote, and marry with negroes! You read it once in the old fashioned way, eat, and sleep, and marry with negroes! He will have it that they cannot be consisting that they cannot be consist. Now I preteat against that countries that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He will have it that they cannot be consist. He leave her alone. In some respects she cer-"The Constitution was ordained and cs. tainly is not my equal; but in her natural well as others—are you really willing that over-rule this. We offer no resistance tablished by the people of the United right to eat the bread she earns with her the Declaration shall be thus frittered States through the action in each State, of own hands, without asking leave of any away !- thus left no more at most, than an

some of the States, as we have seen, color- the Dred Scott case admits that the gestion of the individual rights of maned persons were among those qualified by language of the Declaration is broad in it! other fact, that they did not at once, or married. On this point we fully agree Again, Chief Justice Tancy says: "It ever afterwards, actually place all the with the Judge; and when he shall show "The constant this view award. Free sime the Chief Justice does not diby the Constitution and created by the authority of the people to determine, expound and enforce the law. Hence, whoever resists the final decision of the highest justice days of the Revolution. This assert the obvious untruth, that all men event; but their number is too insignificant respects they did consider all men created from the countries and black people never come to consider all men created from the countries they did consider all men created from the countries they will never mix among which are life, liberty, and the purble and black people never come to countries they did consider all men created from the countries and black people never come to countries they did consider all men created from the countries and black people never come to countries they did consider all men created from the countries and black people never come to countries they did consider all men created from the countries they did consider all men created from the countries and black people never come to countries they did consider all men created from the countries and black people never come to countries they did consider all men created from the countries they did consider all men created from the countries and black people never come to countries they did consider all men created from the countries and black people never come to countries they did consider all men created from the countries and black people never come to countries the countries and black people never come to countries the countries the countries the countries and black people never come to countries the countries the countries and black people never come to countries the countries and black people never come to countries the countries and black people never come to countries the countries and black people never come to countries the countries and black people never come to countries the countries and black people never come to countries the countries and black people never come to countrie nor yet that they -a blaw, which if successful would place been ameliarated; but, as a whole, in this immediately upon them. In fact, they had States, 56,640 mulattoes; but for the most all our rights and liberties at the mercy of country, the change between then and now no power to confer such a boon. They part, they were not born there-they came passion, anarchy and violence. I repeat, is decidedly the other way, and their ulti- meant symply to declare the right, so that from the slave States ready made up. mate destiny has never appeared so hopeless the enforcement of it might follow up as of the Supreme Court of the United States, as in the last three or four years. In two fast as circumstances should permit. They 348,874 mulattoes, all of home production. in a matter like the points decided in the of the five States-New Jersey and North meant to set up a standard maxim for free The proportion of free mulattoes to free Carolina-that then gave the free negro society, which should be familiar to all, blacks-the only colored classes in the free the right of voting, the right has since and revered by all; constantly looked to States—is much greater in the slave than been taken away; and in a third—New constantly labored for, and even though in the free States. It is worthy of note York-it has been greatly abridged; never perfectly attained, constantly ap- too, that among the free States those which proximated, and thereby constantly spread-I know, to a single additional State, though ing and deepening its influence, and aug. the white, have proportionably the fewest menting the happiness and value of life to mulattoes, the least of amalgamation.
all people of all colors, everywhere. The In New Hampshire, the State which doubled. In those days, as I understand, all people of all colors, everywhere. The assertion that "all men are created equal," was of no political use in effecting our sep- races, there are just 184 mulattees, while aration from Great Britain; and it was there are in Virginia-how many do you placed in the Declaration, not for that, but think ! 79,775, being 23,126 more than in for future use. Its authors meant it to be, all the free States together. the unquestioned power to abolish slavery thank God, what it is now proving itself, a stumbling block to those who in after times greatest source of amalgamation; and next becoming quite fashionable for State Con. might seek to turn a free people back into to it, not the elevation, but the degenerastitutions to withhold that power from the the hateful paths of despotism. They tion of the free blacks. Yet Judge Dougknew the proneness of prosperity to breed las dreads the slightest restraints on the tyrants, and they meant when such should spread of slavery, and the slightest human reappear in this fair land and commence their vocation, they should find left for

them at least one hard nut to crack. I have thus briefly expressed my view the meaning and objects of that part of the those days, our Declaration of Independ. Declaration of Independence which declares

Now let us hear Judge Douglas' view of

"No man can vindicate the character motives and conduct of the signers of the Declaration of Independence, except upon the hypothesis that they referred to the white race alone, and not to the African, when they declared all men to have been created equal-that they were speaking of his prison house; they have searched his British subjects on this continent being equal to British subjects born and residing in Great Britain-that they were entitled to the same inalienable rights, and among them were enumerated life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. The Declaration was adopted for the purpose of justifying the colonists in the eyes of the civilized world in withdrawing their allegiance from the British crown, and dissolving their connection with the mother country.

> My good friends, read that carefully over some leisure hour, and ponder well upon it-see what a mere wreck-mangled ruin-it makes of our once glorious "Declaration."

"They were speaking of British subjects on this continent being equal to British glas brought forward his famous Nebraska subjects born and residing in Great Brit-Why, according to this, not only negroes, but white people outside of Great Britain and America, are not spoken of in that instrument. The English, Irish, and Scotch, along with white Americans, were included to be sure, but the French, Gertime standing clear of the odium of its unAgain and again have I heard Judge timely agitation, and its gross breach of are all gone to pot with the Judge's inferi-

and black races; and Judge Douglas evi- justifying the colonists in the eyes of the dently is basing his chief hope upon the civilized world in withdrawing their allegican, by much drumming and repeating. Why, that object having been effected fasten the odium of that idea upon his adsome eighty years ago, the Declaration is versaries, he thinks he can struggle through of no practical use now-mere rubbish-

I understand you are preparing to cele-

And I now appeal to all-Democrate as shorn of its vitality, and practical value;

women, and black men enough to marry spring of whites and free blacks; nearly all

In the same year the Slave States had make the colored man the nearest equal to

goes farthest towards equality between the

These statistics show that slavery is the recognition of the negro, as tending horribly to amalgamation. This very Dred Scott case affords a strong

test as to which party most favors amalgamation, the Republicans or the dear Union-saving Democracy. Dred Scott, his wife and two daughters were all involved in the suit. We desired the court to have held that they were citizens to far at least as to entitle them to a hearing as to wheth. er they were free or not; and then, also, that they were in fact and in law really free. Could we have had our way, the chances of these black girls' ever mixing their blood with that of white people, would have been diminished at least to the extent that it could not have been without their consent. But Judge Douglas is de-lighted to have them decided to be slaves, and not human enough to have a hearing, even if they were free, and thus left sub ject to the forced concubinage of their musters, and liable to become the mothers of mulattoes in spite of themselves-the very state of case that produces nine-tenths of all the mulattoes-all the mixing of blood in the nation.

Of course, I state this case as an illusration only, not meaning to say or intimate that the master of Dred Scott and his family, or any more than a per centage of masters generally, are inclined to exercise this particular power which they hold over their female slaves.

I have said that the separation of the

races is the only perfect preventative of amalgamation. I have no right to say all the members of the Republican party are in favor of this, nor to say that as a party they are in favor of it. There is nothing in their platform directly on the subject .-But I can say a very large proportion of its members are for it, and that the chief plank in their platform-opposition to the spread of slavery-is most favorable to that separation. Such separation, if ever

ADVERTISING RATES. two insertion, \$3.00 two insertions, \$4.00 there insertions, 5.00 Hach subsequent insertion, 1.00 flour to those who advertise by the year.

JOB PRINTING.

The propagation of the ARGUS is narry to inform the public that he has just received a large stock of JOB TYPE and other new printing mater al, and will be in the speedy receipt of additions suited to all the requirements of this locality. HANDELLIS, POSTERS, BLANKS, CARDS, CIRCULARS, PAMPHLET-WORK and other kinds, done to order, on short notice.

is now doing anything for colonization .-Party operations at present only favor or retard colonization incidentally. The enthere is a will there is a way ;" and what celonization needs most is a hearty will .-Will springs from the two elements of moral sense and self-interest. Let us be brought to believe it is morally right, and at the same time, favorable to, or, at least, not against our interest, to transfer the African to his native clime, and we shall find a way to do it, however great the tark may be. The children of Israel, to such numbers as to include six hundred thousand fighting men, went out of Egyptian bondage in a body.

How differently the respective course of the Democratic and Republican parties incidentally bear on the question of formng a will-a public sentiment-for cololization, is easy to see. The Republicans inculcate, with whatever ability they can, that the Negro is a man; that his bondage is cruelly wrong, and that the field of his oppression ought not to be enlarged. The Democrate deny his manhood; deny, or warf to insignificance, the wrong of his bondage; so far as possible, crush all sym-pathy for him, and cultivate and excite hatred and disgust egainst him ; compliment themselves as Union savers for doing so; and call the indefinite outspreading of his bondage " a secred right of self-goverament.

The plainest print cannot be read through a gold eagle, and it will be ever hard to find many men who will send a slave to Liberis and pay his passage while they can send him to a new country,-Kansas for instance, and sell him for fifteen hundred dollars, and the rise.

PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION.

SALEM, August 17, 1857. The members elected to the Convention met at the Court House, and were temporarily organized by the election of A. L. Lovejoy to the chair and Chester N. Terry

secretary. Mr. Kelley of Clackamas then proposed a resolution to organize permanently on to-morrow by the election of a President, Secretary, Sergeant at Arms, Doorkeeper, and Reporter. After debate, in which a number of gentlemen participated, the words "deorkeeper" and "reporter" were stricken out and the resolution passed.

A committee of five on credentials was then on motion appointed by the chair.

The members next drew lots for seats, and then adjourned to meet to morrow at 10 A. M.

Tuesday, Aug. 18 .-- Convention was A. L. Lovejoy. The proceedings of the preceding day were read, and, after correction, were approved.

Mr. Kelsey introduced a resolution requiring the delegates to be sworn to support the Constitution and faithfully discharge their duties, &c., which elicited some debate between Messrs. Smith of Linn, Dryer, Waymire, Olney, Watkins, and Kelsey. The resolution was laid on

On motion, the Convention proceeded to elect permanent officers. [The result wo published last week-Judge Deady was elected President.]

The Convention having fully organized. on motion of Waymire, the resolution of Kelsey requiring the delegates to be sworn, &c., was taken from the table, and on the motion to adopt, was lost, the vote standing aves 7, noes 51.

On motion, the rules of the last Legislative Assembly were adopted for the government of the Convention until rules were devised by the body.

On motion, a committee to report permanent rules for the government of the house was appointed.

Mr. Reed of Jackson introduced a resolution restricting members to fifteen minntes time in any one speech, and giving them the liberty of speaking not more than twice on the same subject. Laid on the table.

Mr. Williams introduced a resolution previding for the appointment of committees on different subjects.

Smith of Linn proposed to insert an amendment providing for the appointment of a committee on a "Bill of Rights."-Amendment adopted, and the resolution referred to the select committee on rules. Adjourned to 2 o'clock.

Afternoon .- Met pursuant to adjourn-

Mr. Applegate introduced a resolution which affirmed, first, that the majority of the Convention had pledged themselves to leave the question of slavery to be decided by the people as a distinct issue-and then resolved, that as this convention would not decide the question, that its discussion in the body upon its merits should be declared out of order.

A long debate ensued-Dryer, Smith of Linn, Waymire, Williams, Logan, Watkins, Shattuck, Applegate and Lovejoy participating in it.

At 5 o'clock the Convention adjourned, without finishing the discussion.

WEDNESDAY .- Convention came to or-