Logging industry blasts new taxes as profits soar

By TONY SCHICK and ROB DAVIS Oregon Public Broadcasting and The Oregonian

Thirty years after Oregon lawmakers began giving the state's timber industry tax cuts that cost rural counties an estimated \$3 billion, industry lobbyists warned them not to follow through on efforts to reinstate the tax this year.

Legislators are considering whether to add to taxes paid by the logging industry after an investigation published last year by Oregon Public Broadcasting, The Oregonian and ProPublica found that timber companies, increasingly dominated by Wall Street real estate trusts and investment funds, benefited from the tax cuts at the expense of rural counties struggling to provide basic government services.

During hearings last week, a parade of industry lobbyists and supporters said now would be the worst possible time to reinstate the tax. What they didn't tell lawmakers: Lumber prices are at record highs. The huge demand for lumber and the accompanying high prices have helped to boost stock prices and profits for some of Oregon's biggest timber companies.

The coronavirus pandemic and record wildfires, which burned hundreds of thousands of acres of private timberland last year, put the timber industry "up against the ropes," lobbyist Chris Edwards said in testimony last week.

Edwards is a former Democratic state senator who now represents the Oregon Forest & Industries Council, a lobbying group for the state's biggest timber companies. He suggested that if lawmakers restored the tax, companies might be forced to cut rural jobs or withdraw from a landmark accord struck last year with Oregon environmental groups to negotiate tightening the state's logging laws, which are weaker than those in California and Washington.

"This all comes from the same pot of money," Edwards said. "Additional taxes right now could be the straw that breaks the camel's back."

Despite the wildfires and the pandemic, lumber producers are "generating unbelievable margins right now, record margins and profits," said Brooks Mendell, president of the forest investment consultancy Forisk.



Brooke Herbert/The Oregonian

Recent logging near Wheeler. According to Brooks Mendell, president of the forest investment consultancy Forisk, lumber producers are 'generating unbelievable margins right now, record margins and profits.'

Others lost valuable equipment. But large corporations and lumber manufacturers are thriving, Mendell said.

"You can see it's showing up in their financial statements, and the publicly traded guys and the private guys are doing really well," Mendell said. "They're investing in their mills and they're just doing extremely well."

A spokeswoman for the industry council, Sara Duncan, didn't directly address questions about record lumber prices. In an email, she instead pointed to the impact that restoring the tax would have not on the council's large member companies but on smaller forest landowners who also testified.

"There are over 65,000 forest landowners in Oregon, many of whom lost land in the Labor Day fires, and all of whom would be negatively impacted by new timber taxes," Duncan said.

The stock price for the largest timber company in Oregon, Weyerhaeuser, is sitting at a three-year high. The Seattle-based investment trust which owns 1.6 million acres in Oregon, three times more than the next-largest landowner — saw 125,000 acres of its timberlands burn during the Labor Day wildfires that scorched more than a million acres across Oregon. The company didn't respond to requests for comment.

Despite losing \$80 million to the fires, the company reported net earnings of \$797 million last year, its highest mark since 2016. that demand would continue to bolster the housing market, which uses the company's lumber.

Charles Gross, a Morningstar senior equity analyst who follows Weyerhaeuser, said the company's earnings last year showed "a huge net increase. It's one of the best years they have on record."

Wildfire losses for Weyerhaeuser and other large investment companies "pales in comparison to how much they gain from high lumber prices," Gross said. "This is especially true for Weyerhaeuser," which not only owns forestland but also owns mills that turn logs into lumber and other products, he said.

Gross said he did not forecast any significant financial effect on the companies if lawmakers reinstated a severance tax of 5%, which would be assessed based on the value of trees at the time they're cut down.

For decades, private timber owners in Oregon paid a severance tax. But in the 1990s, lawmakers passed a series of tax cuts that phased out the severance tax, which in turn lowered the funding provided to schools and local governments. Then they eliminated the tax for all but the smallest timber owners, who can opt to pay it in exchange for reduced property taxes.

If the tax were reinstated, Gross said, companies would adjust prices and shift the cost to consumers.

"I don't think there would be any net impact to the tim tripled, setting a record as wildfires reduced supplies and low interest rates helped fuel a strong demand from the housing market. Prices soared so high that in January home builders asked President Joe Biden for help as they struggled with lumber costs and delivery times.

High prices for lumber, wood that has been milled, have not boosted prices for logs in all of the country's wood-growing regions, like the South, where production is higher than it's ever been, said Rocky Goodnow, vice president of North American Timber Service at Forest Economic Advisors. sultant, said his firm forecasted Oregon's timber production to change little over the next 20 years, seeing a decline of perhaps 2% based on wildfire damage and estimates of when most of the state's trees will be old enough to be logged.

"Markets are really strong right now," Goodnow said. "We think the demand for forest products is going to remain strong."

Proponents of the severance tax told lawmakers that the industry's strong position means there's no better time to restore the tax.

Jody Wiser, founder of Tax Fairness Oregon, a tax watchdog, told state representatives that fires that burned 3% of the state's private timberlands were no reason to delay restoring taxes that could fund sheriff's deputies, mental health workers and economic development officers in rural counties that bore the brunt of the cuts.

"Those are the kinds of jobs rural communities have lost because they lost revenue," Wiser said. "They are also good-paying rural jobs, which should be restored with a robust severance tax."

Disagreement exists about where the money should go if a tax is reinstated. The proposal to restore the tax, introduced by state Rep. Paul Holvey, a Eugene Democrat, would institute a 5% tax to be its first hearing last week.

Meanwhile, small landowners with less than 5,000 acres, which together own about a third of Oregon's private forests, have protested the use of tax revenue to pay to prepare private homes for wildfires.

"These costs should be shared by all citizens. We are very happy to support OSU forestry and the Department of Forestry and pay our share for fire," Sarah Deumling, whose company manages 1,300 acres in Polk County, told lawmakers, "but please think twice before trying again to tax us out of business."

The Association of Oregon Counties, representing the 36 counties that once received the tax revenue, echoed the timber lobbyist's statements about the timing being wrong to raise taxes and urged lawmakers to delay beyond the 2021 session.

Speaking on behalf of the association, John Sweet, a county commissioner from coastal Coos County, which has lost an estimated \$208 million in severance tax payments since 1991, told state lawmakers they should not restore the tax without taking time to study it. If they do act now, Sweet said, they should direct the money where it once went, to local governments and schools, not to state responsibilities like firefighting.

DURING HEARINGS LAST WEEK, A PARADE OF INDUSTRY LOBBYISTS AND SUPPORTERS SAID NOW WOULD BE THE WORST POSSIBLE TIME TO REINSTATE THE TAX. WHAT THEY DIDN'T TELL LAWMAKERS: LUMBER PRICES ARE AT RECORD HIGHS. THE HUGE DEMAND FOR LUMBER AND THE ACCOMPANYING HIGH PRICES HAVE HELPED TO BOOST STOCK PRICES AND PROFITS FOR SOME OF OREGON'S BIGGEST TIMBER COMPANIES.

But the rise in lumber prices has increased the cost of trees harvested in western Oregon, the state's dominant tree-growing region, Goodnow said, where log prices are up about 40% since the early days of the pandemic.

of the money would fund wildfire fighting and a quarter of it would return to the counties where the logging occurs. The rest would go to the Oregon Department of Forestry and research projects at Ore-

paid by timber owners. Half

Sweet said that while timber companies are seeing strong returns now, lawmakers still need to be careful in their efforts to restore the tax.

"This may be a reasonable tax," he said. "I don't want it to be imposed when we're shooting from the hip." Sweet has received \$29,000 in campaign contributions, nearly 20% of what he's raised in nine years, from timber interests including Weyerhaeuser. He said the contributions did not influence his position.

Small-scale timber owners who lost most of their timber in last year's wildfires suffered major financial hits. Weyerhaeuser executives sounded bullish in their Jan. 29 earnings release. The company's CEO, Devin Stockfish, called its 2020 performance "remarkable" and said he was increasingly confident be any net impact to the imber industry over time for profitability," Gross said. "This wouldn't harm the long-term profitability of a company like Weyerhaeuser."

Since cratering at the beginning of the pandemic last year, lumber prices have

A severance tax would reduce Oregon's competitiveness with other timber-producing regions and "on the margin lead to less production," Goodnow said, particularly if the market for lumber weakens.

Mendell, the forestry con-

gon State University.

Counties want to see all of the money returned to them. But lawmakers have sidelined two early bills to restore a severance tax that would serve entirely as local government revenue, while Holvey's proposal received

Waterfront: Some caution that plan should balance tourism, industry, manufacturing

Continued from Page A1

"It's my opinion that the central waterfront boasts some of the best views in all of Astoria," said Will Isom, the Port's executive director. "So I think at this point, the Port is really motivated to redevelop that area, which ultimately doesn't just benefit the Port, but it benefits the city as well as the community."

The master plan will look at land use, design, transportation, economic opportunities and a conceptual design of how to revamp the Chinook Building. John Southgate, a consultant brought on by the city to help find a consulting firm to create the plan, said having a unified vision is key to attracting private investment.

"I'm hoping that at the end of this process — in the next year, year and a half we have a vision that gets a lot of private-sector interest," Southgate said.

Port commissioners and city councilors, cognizant of the region's need for higher-paying, nonseasonal employment, cautioned that the plan should balance tourism, industry and manufacturing.

"Ideally, I would see this as a mixed-use area, as it somewhat is now, but vastly underutilized right now," City Councilor Joan Herman said. "So ideally, it would be somewhat (industrial), relying on the Columbia River and ocean so nearby, as it is now somewhat. But also bringing in more of the public, not just tourists, but the community at large."

Economists with the master-planning firm will look at what pencils out economically from industrial and traded-sector businesses to tourism, Southgate said.

"Don't think of ourselves as being boxed in by, 'Well, it's probably going to be primarily tourists," he said.

The partnership with the city comes as the Port has drastically improved its reputation with local and state partners. The agency recently finished a strategic plan to guide its financial resurgence over the next several years, and a capital facilities plan prioritizing the repair of properties. The plans open the door for more financial support from Business Oregon, the state's economic development agency and a main lender to the Port.

The Port is looking for more locally based, sustainable economic opportunities after losing most of its dockside revenue. Log exports fell to trade wars between the U.S. and China. The cruise ship season in 2020, and through most of this year, has been halted by economic restrictions meant to stem the spread of the coronavirus.

Isom recently reintroduced a waterfront master plan from 2007 that the Port spent six figures on despite never adopting. The plan envisioned a mix of public parklands, tourist shopping centers and a boatyard cluster around Pier 3. Isom envisions the 2007 plan informing the new iteration.

Port Commissioner James Campbell, who also served on the commission in the 1960s, said the community has survived on the pillars of logging, fishing and tourism, but needs to adapt.

"We've got to take what's left over," he said. "But I think what we're looking at in this area is making sure we take care of the tourist industry on the waterfront and make it attractive for people to come here."

Port commissioners and city councilors were opti-

mistic about a future with a closer partnership.

"I think we're very grateful for the opportunity to work with the city in this productive manner," said Dirk Rohne, the president of the Port Commission. "I'm excited about the future, and I know that only working

together will we be able to move forward."

We want your voice to be a part of the conversations shaping Oregon's future.

Share your opinion. Earn money. Join the OVBC Panel.

