Appeals court agrees to hear Oysterville case

Dan Driscoll

Oysterman hopes for an end to legal purgatory

By NATALIE ST. JOHN EO Media Group

OLYMPIA, Wash. - It ain't over vet. Ovsterville Sea Farms owner Dan Driscoll's long-running legal dispute with Pacific County is going to the state Court of Appeals.

In a statement earlier this month, justices granted the county's request for review. saying they have concerns about how a Pacific County Superior Court judge handled an appeal of a previous South District Court decision in Driscoll's case.

While the appeals court review will take more of his time and money, Driscoll hopes it will provide some much-needed clarity about how local ordinances and zoning rules apply to the retail seafood shop he runs on the site of his family's historic Oysterville cannery.

"I hope this is moving forward," Driscoll said. "The state of purgatory has bothered me more than anything else. All I've wanted is to do."

History of the case

In June 2014, the county Department of Community Development cited Driscoll for violations of county ordinances and zoning rules. Officials alleged that by expanding the store's inventory and offering food, beer and wine, he overstepped the terms of the "grandfather-ing agreement" that allows his business to operate in a zone where commercial activity isn't allowed. The county alleges that Driscoll's business could harm the surrounding environment, and create a pathway for other businesses to set up shop along the ecologically sensitive shoreline of the Willapa Bay

Driscoll asked for a hearing in South District Court, in hopes of getting a definitive answer about what he was and wasn't allowed to do. In fall 2015, Judge Doug Goelz upheld the county's citations, and fined Driscoll \$300. However, he also ruled that Driscoll did have the legal right to continue operating the shop, with certain limitations.

Acting at the direction of the county commissioners

know what I can do and can't and the Department of Community Development, Prosecutor Mark McClain tried to appeal the ruling in Pacific County Superior Court, arguing that a District Court judge did not have the legal authority to make land-use

decisions. In January, visiting Superior Court Judge William Faubion dismissed the county's request for an appeal. But Driscoll also asked for, and was granted, an appeal. In a July deci-

sion, Faubion reversed the citation convic-

tion, and determined that Driscoll's business was not in either of the restrictive land-use zones the county believed it to be in. Furthermore, Faubion called many aspects of the county's rationale for pursuing legal action against Driscoll "erroneous," and said he did not see any evidence that Driscoll's business activities posed a threat to the environment.

The county asked the appeals court to review Faubion's ruling, arguing that Faubion broke with standard

protocol for appeals cases. When hearing an appeal, a judge is only supposed to consider whether the evidence supported the lower court's findings. Instead, the county said, Faubion considered the evidence "de novo," mean-

ing that he essentially started fresh, and heard the case again. The county also argued that the District and Superior Courts inappropriately used an infraction hearing to make decisions about a land-use issue.

justices

with the agreed county, saying, "The Superior Court's rulings appear to be de novo considerations of the factual issues before the District Court, rather than considerations of whether the record supported the District Court's findings. By making such de novo decisions, the Superior Court departed from accepted and usual course of a court sitting in an appellate capacity." Furthermore, the justices said the Supe-rior Court "... sanctioned the District Court's departure from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings ..." by allowing it to

The

make a land-use decision something that only Superior Courts can do.

'Obviously, I am pleased that the Court of Appeals has agreed with our review of the law as it relates to this case, but the most important aspect of this case, at least for me as the attorney representing the county, is that decisions are made which are consistent with our local land-use code," McClain said in an email.

Driscoll and McClain respond

The appeals court has yet to set a date for hearing the case, but there isn't likely to be a new ruling any time soon. It can take several months for justices to hear arguments in a case. Then, a year or more can pass before the judges issue a decision.

If the justices uphold the Superior Court decision, it could finally bring an end to the legal dispute. However, if they overturn the decision, more legal wrangling is likely.

"It really is progress. I am happy that we're moving for-ward at least," Driscoll said. However, he is frustrated that his business plans will remain in limbo for the foreseeable future. Although Faubion's ruling was largely favorable to Driscoll, it did not obligate the Department of Community Development to give him the food establishment license that he needs to operate legally. So, he still can't operate the business as he'd envisioned

3A

"I feel that all of this is being done for something that's already been determined," Driscoll said. "It's frustrating for people to jump through all the hoops to operate a business, but it's particularly frustrating to jump through all the hoops they've already jumped through.

In his statement, McClain said he had continued to pursue the case because the county commissioners felt it was extremely important to make sure that courts uphold local land-use laws.

"The county has, and certainly will, continue to attempt to solve these zoning issues so that Mr. Driscoll can operate his business while complying with the code. Hopefully, that will be the course in the future, rather than this protracted suit but those are issues left to the Department of Community Development, the Board of Commissioners, Mr. Driscoll and his attorney," McClain said.

Astoria pot store developer pulls permit application

By ERICK BENGEL The Daily Astorian

A business developer who sought to open a pot shop in a condominium complex near Pier 39 has withdrawn his permit application a week after the Astoria City Council turned down the project.

'At this time, the project will not be moving forward at this site," Julie Yuill, executive secretary to the city manager, said in a release Tuesday.

A special City Council meeting to hold a final vote, which was scheduled for Thursday, has been canceled.

Last week, the council unanimously overturned a Planning Commission decision allowing Daryl Bell to open the medical-recreational dispensary, called West, in the Cannery Lofts condos, Building A, on Abbey Lane.

The prevailing opinion of the Planning Commission was that Bell and his associates would be using the space as it was zoned for, namely, as a non-tourist retail establishment. Though the upper floors are residential units, the ground-floor spaces are mixed-use.

But, in response to an appeal brought by Heather Hansen, who lives in the condos, the City Council reversed the Planning Commission's decision.

The councilors agreed with Hansen, Clatsop County's community development director, that West would have been incompatible with the residential character of the property.

Cannery Lofts residents had also feared that the store would attract vagrants, create parking problems and increase security concerns on the premises.

After the City Council's initial vote, Bell said he would probably not appeal the council's decision to the state Land Use Board of Appeals. The degree of opposition from condo residents did not sit well with him, Bell said, and he and his associates did not want to set up the store where they were not wanted.

Bell's project was the first proposed pot shop to get rejected by the city. Astoria has five marijuana dispensaries, but Bell's would have been the first at a mixed-use building with residents.





Justices weigh in

CUTEST BABY CONTEST is Back!

THE DAILY ASTORIAN'S

If your baby was born between January 1st & **December 31st 2016**

you can submit your newborn's picture either via email at:

CLASSIFIEDS (2) DAILYASTORIAN. COM

or drop by one of our offices in Astoria or Seaside and we can scan in the photo for you.

Deadline to enter is Wednesday, January 25th at 5pm Entries will be printed in The Daily Astorian on January 31st. <u>*Human babies only please!</u>

The Daily Astorian will be CLOSED Monay, January 2, 2017

CLASSIFIED DEADLINES ARE

11am on Friday, Dec. 30 for Monday, Jan. 2 edition 1 pm on Friday, Dec. 30 for Tuesday, January 3 edition

Jsual delivery of the Newspaper THE DAILY ASTORIAN



What is the difference



Amanda Cordero Northwest Wild Products Fresh Seafood Market 354 Industry St, Astoria 503-791-1907 Daily 9am-7pm

On the docks of the West Moorin Basin, by the Riverwalk Inn



between ray and skate? The two are very similar. **A:** Their main differences are that the Rays delivers live young, while Skate lay eggs. Rays have barbed poisonous or electrically charged tails. while Skate protect themselves with projections on their backs. Both are edible, but most agree the Skate is the tastier of the two. Skate's flavor resembles the Scallop. Skate is very economical. Some are

intimidated by how to cook it, but its really quite easy. There are many simple recipes online.

Q: Any tips on setting up my new computer?

A: Press the Windows key on your keyboard, scroll down your list of apps until you get to the "Get Started" app. In "Get Started" you can explore "What's New" in Windows 10 by browsing a variety of subjects and watching videos that explain the new features of Windows 10

Even if you didn't buy from us, we are glad to answer your questions. Stop by our beautiful location.



949 Exchange St. Astoria 503-325-3211

I'm going out of town and need to stop my paper. What are my options?

There are two options for you if you are heading out of town. First, we can save your papers in a vacation pack and deliver them when you return, or we can switch you to a Web subscription while you are away and you can read the paper online. Which ever option fits your needs, just call us at 503-325-3211 and we will take care of it for you.