

OREGON CITY ENTERPRISE
Published Every Friday.
E. E. BRODIE, Editor and Publisher.
Entered at Oregon City, Oregon, Post-office as second-class matter.
Subscription Rates:
One year \$1.50
Six Months .75
Trial Subscription, Two Months .35
Subscribers will find the date of expiration stamped on their papers following their name. If last payment is not credited, kindly notify us, and the matter will receive our attention.
Advertising Rates on application.

DECREE IS GIVEN TO DEFENDANT WITH BABY
Continued from Page one

verted into gigantic mountains of inhuman conduct. Trifling happenings causing at the time mere peevishness and perverseness, forgiven and forgotten by both within a few hours, are now enlarged upon and characterized as acts of cruelty. All of these acts may be reasonably explained as the normal reaction from too intense affection lavished upon each other. The entire record demonstrates that the plaintiff and the defendant lived together in comparative harmony and accord; that greater affection and love was lavished and bestowed by each upon the other more than is usual in the ordinary marriage relation. Therefore, it is sufficient to say, without discussing them in detail, that the many acts referred to were not by the parties regarded seriously at the time they occurred; were forgiven promptly and as promptly forgotten until the filing of the complaint, and none of them evidence any intent or purpose of the mind on the part of the defendant to injure the feelings of the plaintiff.

Neither do I believe that the defendant was guilty of the slightest indiscretion in his conduct with Mrs. Waddell. It is remarkable that no charge of that character is made in the complaint, the amended complaint or in plaintiff's direct testimony. That charge was made at the close of her cross-examination, and is a gratuitous assertion, not supported by the situation as described by the plaintiff herself, and may be properly characterized as a figment of the imagination.
3. Every person accused of a crime is presumed to be innocent until the contrary is shown. This presumption applies in this case. We have, therefore, the testimony of the plaintiff that the defendant attempted to commit an unnatural crime, and the emphatic denial of the defendant. According to plaintiff and defendant equal credit for truth, there is no preponderance in favor of the plaintiff upon the charge named. The defendant's testimony is, however, aided by the presumption suggested, which balances the scale in favor of the defendant. However, the decision upon that question need not rest entirely upon the balance of the proof caused by the presumption. The plaintiff in the case has been contradicted by many reputable witnesses in relation to incidents detailed in her testimony, and viewing the case in its entirety, giving credit to the testimony of both the plaintiff and defendant in the light of corroborations and contradictions of other witnesses, the only logical conclusion is, that the charge of criminal conduct is untrue. The charge of attempted criminal conduct in the amended complaint and orally asserted under oath in the presence of the court and officials, and in the hearing of a large concourse of curious spectators, including friends of both parties, if false, would, beyond question, constitute cruel and inhuman conduct.
4. Upon the charge of cruel and inhuman treatment arising out of the conduct of plaintiff at Bar View, considerable testimony was introduced as to acts of indiscretion on the part of the plaintiff. Many of these without doubt have been enlarged upon and made to assume proportions out of all semblance to the original acts. It may be true that the plaintiff slipped the moorings of the ordinary conventions and temporarily irksome proprieties for the allurement and joys of innocent exuberance of youth, but I am unable to find, aside from the testimony of Johnson, in whom I place no credence whatever, any evidence of acts which can be attributed to anything but temporary and harmless frivolities, or can be made the basis of a charge of cruel and inhuman treatment. Every man has a right, and it is but natural, to be jealous of the honor of his wife and to harbor the fear of unjust censure of her innocent acts. It is evident that the plaintiff was careless of her reputation and that her conduct was such that the evil-minded might therefrom form erroneous conclusions, but I am unable to say that her conduct at Bar View was meretricious or such as to cause the defendant any mental anguish or to render his life burdensome. Neither do I attach any importance to the testimony of Mrs. Kidder in relation to the supposed entertainment by Mrs. Hawley of a man at her home in Oregon City, if in truth such occurred, the witness who has undertaken to detail it has enlarged upon appearances. There is the unsupported word of Mrs. Kidder against that of Mrs. Hawley, and the testimony of Mrs. Kidder is rendered doubtful by the fact that she expressly states that she cherishes a feeling of animosity against Mrs. Hawley on account of some real or fancied reflection upon her integrity.
I have had an opportunity of observing the parties chiefly interested in this case during the entire two weeks consumed in the trial of the case. Their attitude and demeanor and the testimony, both oral and documentary, has so impressed the court that but one logical conclusion can be reached, the conclusion that the defendant is entitled to a decree of divorce based upon the false charges made in the amended complaint and in open court of the crime of attempting to commit an unnatural crime. Nothing could be more cruel and inhuman than a false charge of that character made under the circumstances of this case.
The decree of the court will, therefore, be that the defendant be divorced from the plaintiff upon the matters and things related in the supplemental cross-complaint; that the permanent care, custody and control of the minor child of the plaintiff

and defendant be awarded to the defendant; that the temporary custody of the child, subject to the further order of the court, be awarded to the plaintiff; and that the defendant pay to the plaintiff the sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars per month for the support and maintenance of said child until the further order of the court; and the court suggests, but does not order, that plaintiff be permitted to occupy the family residence until the final determination of this cause upon appeal, or, if not appealed, until the expiration of the time limited for appeal; and that if appealed, the defendant pay to the Clerk of the Court the sum of One Thousand Dollars for the purpose of defraying all of the expenses of the transcript of testimony, transcript of the cause, abstract of record, and briefs in behalf of plaintiff and filing and trial fees in the Supreme Court; and that said monies be paid by the Clerk of the court upon the presentation of bills for such services, certified to be correct by counsel for the plaintiff; and that the decree shall specifically provide that the minor child of the plaintiff and the defendant shall not be removed from the State of Oregon; that while said child is in the custody of either party, the other party shall have the right at all reasonable and seasonable times, to see and visit said child.

FORMER RESIDENT IS SERIOUSLY INJURED IN AUTOMOBILE ACCIDENT

Frank Baker, a former resident of Clackamas county, now of Portland, brother of Mrs. Ernest Kruse, of Wilsonville, is suffering from injuries received in an automobile accident that occurred Wednesday. Mr. Baker was on his way to his farm near Independence in company with a friend, and had arrived at a point between Newberg and Dayton, and in passing a car, the machine driven by Baker was driven too close to the edge of the road and into soft dirt, causing the machine to turn over. The driver of the machine passing Baker's automobile did not see the accident occur and continued on his way.
As Baker realized his danger he attempted to jump from the car by opening the door, and was caught as the car struck the ground. He was pinned beneath the automobile as was also his friend. When the car struck the ground the horn caught in such a manner that it continued to blow. This aroused the suspicion of farmer nearby and with the assistance of others released the men from their perilous position. Baker was unconscious and suffering from concussion of the brain, injuries to his back and scratches and bruises about his body. He was cared for until a physician was summoned and later removed to St. Vincent's hospital. He regained consciousness Thursday morning and recognized members of his family.
Had it not been for the blowing of the horn Baker would have been dead within a short time.

ADVISORY BOARD FOR SALVATION ARMY WORK IN CLACKAMAS NAMED

Organization in Clackamas county of an advisory board for the Salvation Army has been completed to cooperate in carrying out the Home Service Program for 1920. After studying its field the board will report the results of its preliminary study to the state advisory board. The Clackamas board is composed of the following: O. D. Eby, chairman, Dr. Frank Mount, Fred A. Miller, Raymond P. Crawford, Gilbert L. Hedges, A. C. Howland, Phillip Hammond, Ralph C. Parker, John R. Humphrys, E. E. Brodie, H. S. Anderson.

TRUCK OWNERS AND SPEEDERS ROUNDED UP BY TRAFFIC COP

Speed Officer H. E. Meads gathered in four culprits Saturday and Sunday for violation of the traffic laws and for over-loading trucks. Will Moehnke, of Beaver Creek, with a sixteen load on a 3 1/2 ton truck, paid a fine of \$15, and Henry Frenzel, of the Stafford district, was fined the same amount, having 3 1/2 tons on a 2-ton truck. William Maddox, of Green Point, who was speeding 55 miles an hour on a motorcycle, was fined \$20 and A. B. Hog, of Portland, who runs a stage between Portland and Salem, was fined \$15 for a speed of 36 miles. Warrants have been issued for C. W. Guirrier, A. H. Harding and L. F. Jones, of Portland, for speeding.

PORTLAND MAN MAY ERECT CANNERY HERE

C. E. Kain, of Portland, is looking over local territory with a view to establishment of a fruit and vegetable cannery here. Mr. Kain is a graduate of the Oregon Agricultural college. He was particularly impressed with the geographical advantages of Clackamas county, its access to the markets of the state and its improved transportation possibilities. It is the expectation of Mr. Kain to start operations on a small scale and gradually expand.

ROBBERS STEAL SAFE IN HILLSBORO AND LEAVE NEAR OSWEGO

Wednesday night a safe was stolen from the Sears bicycle shop in Hillsboro and a young girl going to school Thursday morning discovered it a short distance northwest of Oswego while she was on her way to school and reported to the officers here.
Deputy Sheriff Meads went to the scene and made a thorough investigation Thursday. He found the safe and a large number of papers around it. The door had been blown off and the contents taken.
Meads noticed tracks of a Ford car near and followed these. The road where the safe was found was an old wood road and evidently the car had no lights and the robbers lost their way. Meads followed the trail and found the car far in the woods where it had been left by the miscreants. The car had been stolen in Portland.

PHILLIP J. SINNOTT IS MANAGING EDITOR OF SAN FRANCISCO NEWS

Phillip J. Sinnott, a former Oregon City young man and son of Mr. and Mrs. A. M. Sinnott, of this city, who is a well known young newspaper man, has been appointed managing editor of the San Francisco News and has taken up his duties as such. Mr. Sinnott was formerly connected with local publications, also with Portland dailies, and later going to Klamath Falls, where he was connected with the Herald, when he accepted a position with the United Press, with headquarters in Portland. About a year ago he resumed his newspaper work in California, after receiving his discharge from the service, and has been very successful in his undertaking.

ORDER SHEETS ISSUED.

Library order sheets are being issued by County Superintendent J. E. Calavan to the teachers of the county, showing the amount of money to be expended for the books.

The order books are to reach the office of Mr. Calavan not later than April 16, as the order must be forwarded to the state librarian by the 28th day of April.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon, for Clackamas County. Miriam E. McDonald, Plaintiff, vs. Samuel L. McDonald, Defendant. To Samuel L. McDonald above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before the 16th day of April, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons and if you fail to appear and answer said complaint, for want thereof the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract now existing between plaintiff and defendant. The summons is published by order of the Hon. J. U. Campbell, Judge of the Circuit Court, which order was made on the 1st day of March, 1920 and the time prescribed for publishing thereof is six weeks, beginning with the issue dated Friday, March 5, 1920 and continuing each week thereafter to and including Friday, April 16th, 1920. BROWNELL & SIEVERS, Attorneys for plaintiff. Resident attorneys: Oregon City, Oregon.

EXECUTOR'S NOTICE.

Notice is hereby given that the undersigned has been appointed by the County Court of Clackamas County, Oregon, Executor of the last will and testament of John L. Eri, deceased, all persons having claims against said estate are hereby notified to present the same to Henry O. Eri, at Boring, Oregon R. F. No. 2, or at the office of my attorney, O. D. Eby, in Oregon City, Oregon, duly verified as by law required within six months from date hereof. Dated March 4, 1920. HENRY O. ERI, Executor. O. D. EBY, Attorney for Executor. First publication March 5, 1920. Last publication April 2, 1920.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County. Zona Marshall, Plaintiff, vs. C. F. Marshall, Defendant. To C. F. Marshall, the above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon, you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before six weeks from the 5th day of March, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons, and if you fail to appear and answer, for want thereof Plaintiff will apply to said Court for the relief prayed for in said complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract heretofore and now existing between Plaintiff and Defendant; for the care, custody and control of the minor children, Henry Howard Marshall and Emma Bess Marshall; for \$40.00 per month alimony for the care, custody, maintenance and support of the said minor children, and for such other and further relief as to the Court may seem meet and equitable, including her costs and disbursements herein. This summons is served upon you by publication thereof once a week for six successive weeks and seven consecutive issues, in the Morning Enterprise, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published at Oregon City, Clackamas County, Oregon pursuant to an order of the Honorable J. U. Campbell, Judge of the above entitled Court made and entered on the 4th day of March, 1920. (Signed) Fred J. Meindl, Attorney for Plaintiff, 306-7 Stock Exchange Building, Portland, Oregon. Date of first publication: March 5, 1920. Date of last publication: April 16, 1920.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County. Bessie Miller, Plaintiff, vs. S. L. Miller, Defendant. To S. L. Miller, the above named Defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon, you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before six weeks from the 5th day of March, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons, and if you fail to appear and answer, for want thereof Plaintiff will apply to said Court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract heretofore and now existing between plaintiff and defendant. The summons is published by order of the Hon. J. U. Campbell, Judge of the Circuit Court of Clackamas County, Oregon, pursuant to an order of the Honorable J. U. Campbell, Judge of the above entitled Court made and entered on the 4th day of March, 1920. (Signed) FRED J. MEINDL, Attorney for Plaintiff, 306-7 Stock Exchange Building, Portland, Oregon. Date of first publication: March 5, 1920. Date of last publication: April 16, 1920.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County. Clarissa Sutton, Plaintiff, vs. Geo. A. Sutton, Defendant. To Geo. A. Sutton, above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before six weeks from the 5th day of March, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons, and if you fail to appear and answer, for want thereof Plaintiff will apply to said Court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract now existing between plaintiff and defendant. This summons is published by order of Hon. Geo. Bagley, Judge of the Circuit Court, which order was made on the 25th day of February, 1920, and the time prescribed for publishing there-

of is six weeks, beginning with the issue dated, Friday, February 27, 1920, and continuing each week thereafter to and including Friday, April 9, 1920. BROWNELL & SIEVERS, Attorneys for plaintiff. Resident attorneys: Oregon City, Oregon.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. John E. Cline, Plaintiff, vs. Minnie Cline, Defendant. To Minnie Cline, above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before the 5th day of March, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons and if you fail to appear and answer said complaint, for want thereof the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract now existing between plaintiff and defendant. The summons is published by order of the Hon. J. U. Campbell, Judge of the Circuit Court, which order was made on the 20th day of January, 1920, and the time prescribed for publishing thereof is six weeks, beginning with the issue dated, Friday, January 23, 1920, and continuing each week thereafter to and including Friday, March 5, 1920. BROWNELL & SIEVERS, Attorneys for Plaintiff. Resident attorneys, Oregon City, Oregon.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. Maude Snyder, Plaintiff, vs. David W. Snyder, Defendant. To David W. Snyder, the above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint in the above after the first publication of this summons, to-wit: the 13th day of March, 1920, and if you fail to so appear and answer for want thereof, the plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in said complaint as follows, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract now existing between you and the plaintiff, and for such other and further relief as to this Honorable Court may seem meet and equitable. This summons is published pursuant to an order made by the Hon. J. U. Campbell, Judge of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas, on the 24th day of January, 1920, the date of the first publication of this summons being January 30, 1920, and the date of the last publication being March 12, 1920. C. SCHUEBEL, ARTHUR G. BEATTIE, Attorneys for Plaintiff. Bank of Oregon City Bldg., Oregon City, Oregon.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. Rose Lacey, Plaintiff, vs. Claude Lacey, Defendant. To Claude Lacey, above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon, you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before the 19th day of March, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons, and if you fail to appear and answer said complaint, for want thereof, the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract now existing between plaintiff and defendant. This summons is published by order of Hon. J. U. Campbell, Judge of the Circuit Court, which order was made the 5th day of February, 1920, and the time prescribed for publication thereof is six weeks, beginning with the issue dated Friday, February 5, 1920, and continuing each week thereafter to and including Friday, March 19, 1920. BROWNELL & SIEVERS, Attorneys for plaintiff. Resident attorneys: Oregon City, Ore.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. Samuel F. Owen and Clara L. Owen his wife, George F. King and E. G. King, his wife, J. E. Patterson and —Patterson, his wife, Defendants. To George F. King and E. G. King, his wife; and J. E. Patterson and —Patterson, his wife: In the name of the State of Oregon, you and each of you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit within six weeks from the 30th day of January, 1920, that is to say on or before the 12th day of March, 1920, that being the last day of the time prescribed in the order for the publication of this summons; and if you fail to answer the complaint, plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in said complaint, to-wit: for a decree foreclosing the mortgage described in said complaint, which mortgage was recorded on the 14th day of April, 1911, in book 81 on page 100 of the mortgage records of Clackamas county, and directing that the property described in said mortgage, to-wit: the following bounded and described real property situated in the county of Clackamas and state of Oregon commencing at the southwest corner of F. A. Callard donation land claim in township 22 (2) south of range 1 one (1) east, Willamette Meridian; thence running east along the south

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. Maude Snyder, Plaintiff, vs. David W. Snyder, Defendant. To David W. Snyder, the above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint in the above after the first publication of this summons, to-wit: the 13th day of March, 1920, and if you fail to so appear and answer for want thereof, the plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in said complaint as follows, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract now existing between you and the plaintiff, and for such other and further relief as to this Honorable Court may seem meet and equitable. This summons is published pursuant to an order made by the Hon. J. U. Campbell, Judge of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas, on the 24th day of January, 1920, the date of the first publication of this summons being January 30, 1920, and the date of the last publication being March 12, 1920. C. SCHUEBEL, ARTHUR G. BEATTIE, Attorneys for Plaintiff. Bank of Oregon City Bldg., Oregon City, Oregon.

NOTICE TO CREDITORS.

In the County Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. In the matter of the Estate of Ellen Whittier, Deceased. Notice is hereby given that the undersigned, S. S. Catching has been appointed Administrator of the Estate of Ellen Whittier, Deceased, by the County Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas, and has duly qualified. All persons having claims against said estate are hereby notified to present same, duly verified as by law required, to the undersigned at 5th and Montgomery Streets, Portland, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated and first published March 5th, 1920. S. S. CATCHING, VEAZIE & VEAZIE, Attorneys.

NOTICE TO CREDITORS.

In the County Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. Notice is hereby given that the undersigned has been appointed Executor of the Estate of Fred A. Kinzel, deceased, by the County Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County, and has qualified. All persons having claims against said estate are hereby notified to present same, duly verified as by law required, to the undersigned at the office of the Portland Label Co., Milwaukee, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Date of first publication, February 27, 1920. Date of last publication, March 26, 1920. LAUREL B. KINZEL, Ex. etric. H. A. ROBERTSON and OLSON, DEWART & BAIN, Attorneys for Executor, 530 Chamber of Commerce Bldg., Portland, Oregon.

NOTICE TO CREDITORS.

Notice is hereby given that the undersigned has been appointed administrator of the estate of Lillian Crockett, deceased, by the County Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County, and has qualified. All persons having claims against said estate are hereby notified to present the same duly verified according to law to the undersigned at the home of Nelson D. Crockett, at Clackamas, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated and first published February 27th 1920. S. W. LAWRENCE, Administrator of the Estate of Lillian Crockett, BECK & HOECKER, Attorneys.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County. M. H. Diehl, Plaintiff, vs. Samuel F. Owen and Clara L. Owen his wife, George F. King and E. G. King, his wife, J. E. Patterson and —Patterson, his wife, Defendants. To George F. King and E. G. King, his wife; and J. E. Patterson and —Patterson, his wife: In the name of the State of Oregon, you and each of you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit within six weeks from the 30th day of January, 1920, that is to say on or before the 12th day of March, 1920, that being the last day of the time prescribed in the order for the publication of this summons; and if you fail to answer the complaint, plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in said complaint, to-wit: for a decree foreclosing the mortgage described in said complaint, which mortgage was recorded on the 14th day of April, 1911, in book 81 on page 100 of the mortgage records of Clackamas county, and directing that the property described in said mortgage, to-wit: the following bounded and described real property situated in the county of Clackamas and state of Oregon commencing at the southwest corner of F. A. Callard donation land claim in township 22 (2) south of range 1 one (1) east, Willamette Meridian; thence running east along the south

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County. M. H. Diehl, Plaintiff, vs. Samuel F. Owen and Clara L. Owen his wife, George F. King and E. G. King, his wife, J. E. Patterson and —Patterson, his wife, Defendants. To George F. King and E. G. King, his wife; and J. E. Patterson and —Patterson, his wife: In the name of the State of Oregon, you and each of you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit within six weeks from the 30th day of January, 1920, that is to say on or before the 12th day of March, 1920, that being the last day of the time prescribed in the order for the publication of this summons; and if you fail to answer the complaint, plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in said complaint, to-wit: for a decree foreclosing the mortgage described in said complaint, which mortgage was recorded on the 14th day of April, 1911, in book 81 on page 100 of the mortgage records of Clackamas county, and directing that the property described in said mortgage, to-wit: the following bounded and described real property situated in the county of Clackamas and state of Oregon commencing at the southwest corner of F. A. Callard donation land claim in township 22 (2) south of range 1 one (1) east, Willamette Meridian; thence running east along the south

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County. Marie Smith, Plaintiff, vs. Orville R. Smith, Defendant. To Orville R. Smith, above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before the 2nd day of April, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons and if you fail to appear and answer said complaint, for want thereof the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract heretofore and now existing between plaintiff and defendant. This summons is published by order of Hon. Geo. Bagley, Judge of the Circuit Court, which order was made on the 25th day of February, 1920, and the time prescribed for publishing there-

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County. Marie Smith, Plaintiff, vs. Orville R. Smith, Defendant. To Orville R. Smith, above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before the 2nd day of April, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons and if you fail to appear and answer said complaint, for want thereof the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract heretofore and now existing between plaintiff and defendant. This summons is published by order of Hon. Geo. Bagley, Judge of the Circuit Court, which order was made on the 25th day of February, 1920, and the time prescribed for publishing there-

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County. Marie Smith, Plaintiff, vs. Orville R. Smith, Defendant. To Orville R. Smith, above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before the 2nd day of April, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons and if you fail to appear and answer said complaint, for want thereof the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract heretofore and now existing between plaintiff and defendant. This summons is published by order of Hon. Geo. Bagley, Judge of the Circuit Court, which order was made on the 25th day of February, 1920, and the time prescribed for publishing there-

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County. Marie Smith, Plaintiff, vs. Orville R. Smith, Defendant. To Orville R. Smith, above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before the 2nd day of April, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons and if you fail to appear and answer said complaint, for want thereof the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract heretofore and now existing between plaintiff and defendant. This summons is published by order of Hon. Geo. Bagley, Judge of the Circuit Court, which order was made on the 25th day of February, 1920, and the time prescribed for publishing there-

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County. Marie Smith, Plaintiff, vs. Orville R. Smith, Defendant. To Orville R. Smith, above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before the 2nd day of April, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons and if you fail to appear and answer said complaint, for want thereof the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract heretofore and now existing between plaintiff and defendant. This summons is published by order of Hon. Geo. Bagley, Judge of the Circuit Court, which order was made on the 25th day of February, 1920, and the time prescribed for publishing there-

of is six weeks, beginning with the issue dated, Friday, February 27, 1920, and continuing each week thereafter to and including Friday, April 9, 1920. BROWNELL & SIEVERS, Attorneys for plaintiff. Resident attorneys: Oregon City, Oregon.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. John E. Cline, Plaintiff, vs. Minnie Cline, Defendant. To Minnie Cline, above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before the 5th day of March, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons and if you fail to appear and answer said complaint, for want thereof the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract now existing between plaintiff and defendant. The summons is published by order of the Hon. J. U. Campbell, Judge of the Circuit Court, which order was made on the 20th day of January, 1920, and the time prescribed for publishing thereof is six weeks, beginning with the issue dated, Friday, January 23, 1920, and continuing each week thereafter to and including Friday, March 5, 1920. BROWNELL & SIEVERS, Attorneys for Plaintiff. Resident attorneys, Oregon City, Oregon.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. Maude Snyder, Plaintiff, vs. David W. Snyder, Defendant. To David W. Snyder, the above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint in the above after the first publication of this summons, to-wit: the 13th day of March, 1920, and if you fail to so appear and answer for want thereof, the plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in said complaint as follows, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract now existing between you and the plaintiff, and for such other and further relief as to this Honorable Court may seem meet and equitable. This summons is published pursuant to an order made by the Hon. J. U. Campbell, Judge of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas, on the 24th day of January, 1920, the date of the first publication of this summons being January 30, 1920, and the date of the last publication being March 12, 1920. C. SCHUEBEL, ARTHUR G. BEATTIE, Attorneys for Plaintiff. Bank of Oregon City Bldg., Oregon City, Oregon.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. Rose Lacey, Plaintiff, vs. Claude Lacey, Defendant. To Claude Lacey, above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon, you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before the 19th day of March, 1920, said date being the expiration of six weeks from the first publication of this summons, and if you fail to appear and answer said complaint, for want thereof, the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract now existing between plaintiff and defendant. This summons is published by order of Hon. J. U. Campbell, Judge of the Circuit Court, which order was made the 5th day of February, 1920, and the time prescribed for publication thereof is six weeks, beginning with the issue dated Friday, February 5, 1920, and continuing each week thereafter to and including Friday, March 19, 1920. BROWNELL & SIEVERS, Attorneys for plaintiff. Resident attorneys: Oregon City, Ore.

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. Samuel F. Owen and Clara L. Owen his wife, George F. King and E. G. King, his wife, J. E. Patterson and —Patterson, his wife, Defendants. To George F. King and E. G. King, his wife; and J. E. Patterson and —Patterson, his wife: In the name of the State of Oregon, you and each of you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit within six weeks from the 30th day of January, 1920, that is to say on or before the 12th day of March, 1920, that being the last day of the time prescribed in the order for the publication of this summons; and if you fail to answer the complaint, plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in said complaint, to-wit: for a decree foreclosing the mortgage described in said complaint, which mortgage was recorded on the 14th day of April, 1911, in book 81 on page 100 of the mortgage records of Clackamas county, and directing that the property described in said mortgage, to-wit: the following bounded and described real property situated in the county of Clackamas and state of Oregon commencing at the southwest corner of F. A. Callard donation land claim in township 22 (2) south of range 1 one (1) east, Willamette Meridian; thence running east along the south

SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. Maude Snyder, Plaintiff, vs. David W. Snyder, Defendant. To David W. Snyder, the above named defendant: In the name of the State of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint in the above after the first publication of this summons, to-wit: the 13th day of March, 1920, and if you fail to so appear and answer for want thereof, the plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in said complaint as follows, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the marriage contract now existing between you and the plaintiff, and for such other and further relief as to this Honorable Court may seem meet and equitable. This summons is published pursuant to an order made by the Hon. J. U. Campbell, Judge of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas, on the 24th day of January, 1920, the date of the first publication of this summons being January 30, 1920, and the date of the last publication being March 12, 1920. C. SCHUEBEL, ARTHUR G. BEATTIE, Attorneys for Plaintiff. Bank of Oregon City Bldg., Oregon City, Oregon.

NOTICE TO CREDITORS.

In the County Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. In the matter of the Estate of Ellen Whittier, Deceased. Notice is hereby given that the undersigned, S. S. Catching has been appointed Administrator of the Estate of Ellen Whittier, Deceased, by the County Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas, and has duly qualified. All persons having claims against said estate are hereby notified to present same, duly verified as by law required, to the undersigned at 5th and Montgomery Streets, Portland, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated and first published March 5th, 1920. S. S. CATCHING, VEAZIE & VEAZIE, Attorneys.

NOTICE TO CREDITORS.

In the County Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas. Notice is hereby given that the undersigned has been appointed Executor of the Estate of Fred A. Kinzel, deceased, by the County Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County, and has qualified. All persons having claims against said estate are hereby notified to present same, duly verified as by law required, to the undersigned at the office of the Portland Label Co., Milwaukee, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Date of first publication, February 27, 1920. Date of last publication, March 26, 1920. LAUREL B. KINZEL, Ex. etric. H. A. ROBERTSON and OLSON, DEWART & BAIN, Attorneys for Executor, 530 Chamber of Commerce Bldg., Portland, Oregon.

NOTICE TO CREDITORS.

Notice is hereby given that the undersigned has been appointed administrator of the estate of Lillian Crockett, deceased, by the County Court of the State of Oregon for Clackamas County, and has qualified. All persons having claims against said estate are hereby