

OREGON CITY ENTERPRISE

Published Every Friday.
E. E. BRODIE, Editor and Publisher.
Entered at Oregon City, Oregon, Post
office as second-class matter.

Subscription Rates:
One Year, \$1.50
Six Months, .75
Three Months, .35
Trial Subscription, Two Months, .25
Subscribers will find the date of ex-
piration stamped on their papers fol-
lowing their name. If last payment is
not credited, kindly notify us, and
the matter will receive our attention.
Advertising Rates on application.

POULTRY BREEDERS
MEETING IS HELD AT
CLARKES ON FRIDAY

A meeting of the poultrymen of
Clarkes, Clackamas county, was held
Friday evening by R. G. Scott, county
agent, when a large number of those
engaged in this industry attended,
and became members of the Oregon
Poultry Producers' Association.

As there are many eggs shipped
daily from that section of the county
to Portland markets, Mr. Scott be-
lieved it a most important meeting
and secured the services of U. L. Up-
son, general manager of the Oregon
Poultry Producers' Association, who
gave an excellent talk on the indus-
try, and explained the rules of the
association. After explaining to these
people Mr. Upson secured seven new
members, all prominent breeders of
poultry.

There were several of the poultry
breeders attending, who told of the
price they received in Portland for
their eggs Thursday, from 32 to 45
cents per dozen.

Mr. Upson also explained the value
of the feed given the poultry, recom-
mending various kinds of feeds,
among these being scratch food, egg
mash, chick feed, chick developer,
mill run, bone and fish meal.

As many of the people of Clarkes
section will raise many young chicks
this year much time was spent in
discussing the chick feed, and Mr.
Upson gave valuable advice.

Among the poultrymen who at-
tended this meeting were F. W. Lin-
dan, who has 600 White Leghorns;
Mrs. H. C. Klotzsmith, who has 60
White Leghorns with an order of
1300 baby chicks that will soon be
hatched from the incubators; Mrs. E.
A. Buel, 50 Rhode Island Reds, and
will raise 100 baby chicks; Mr. and
Mrs. Richard Sims, 100 Barred Rocks;
Mr. and Mrs. George Clark, 450 White
Leghorns; John Wiegels, 50 Plymouth
Rocks, with 150 eggs now in the in-
cubator.

Mrs. Klotzsmith, who is one of the
prominent White Leghorn breeders
of the county has been engaged in
the poultry industry for the past ten
years. At the present time she has
five incubators set with pure blooded
White Leghorn eggs. When culling
her birds recently she averaged \$30
from 20 cockerels, and even the culled
ones brought 32 cents per pound. The
cockerels were of the best breeder, and
were eagerly sought after by other
breeders.

Mr. Upson is well posted on the
poultry industry, having taken up
the study and was employed by the
government for some time.

The meeting was held at the Farm-
ers' Union hall.
Mr. Scott went to Macksburg Sat-
urday evening, where he attended a
meeting.

VALUABLE DOGS ARE
KILLED BY POISON

The dog poisoner has "struck" Or-
egon City, and a number of valuable
and pet canines have been killed, as
a result of strychnine.

Among those losing dogs was Dr.
C. H. Meissner, whose handsome
Shepherd, "Rex" was gone but a
short time Thursday afternoon when
he returned to the Meissner home
suffering, and later was seized with
convulsions, dying in about two
hours. The dog was allowed to take
a run during the afternoon, it being
customary to keep him in an enclo-
sure. The dog was the pet of the
neighborhood, and it was the inten-
tion of Dr. Meissner to place him on
his farm within a few days.

The fox terrier and pet of the fam-
ily of G. L. Hedges is another vic-
tim, and it was necessary to end his
suffering by death Friday afternoon after
he and M. D. Latourette's dog is another
pet that died during the week.

C. G. Miller's bird dog was taken
ill shortly after the Hedges dog was
poisoned, and he has been removed
to the dog hospital in Portland in the
hopes of saving his life.

All the dog owners of the city re-
siding in that vicinity are keeping a
lookout for the fiend who is doing
the dastardly work in killing the
pets.

Irish Home Rule
To Be Debated

LONDON, Feb. 26.—Debate on the
Irish home rule bill has been post-
poned in the house of commons until
next week. It will probably come up
Tuesday. The bill, it was understood,
will be practically the same as out-
lined by Premier Lloyd George when
it was introduced last December.

POLK'S
GAZETTEER

A Business Directory of each City,
Town and Village in Oregon and
Washington, giving a Descriptive
Sketch of each place, Location,
Shipping Facilities and a Classified
Directory of each Business
and Profession.
S. S. Jones & Co., Inc.

FORUM OF THE PEOPLE

MAGRUDER WAS MISQUOTED.

CORVALLIS, Feb. 18.—(Editor of
the Enterprise).—My attention has
been called to an editorial entitled
"Pedagogical Advice" which appeared
in your paper of February 6. I do not
know the source of your information
regarding my address, delivered in the
Salem, Library on February 4, but I
have been misquoted with respect to
the major subject of your editorial. I
did not recommend a legislative body
of one hundred members.

The address was entitled "America's
Ideals," and I discussed liberty, oppor-
tunity, democracy, justice, altruism,
and patriotism. Under democracy I
discussed the origin of the democratic
spirit in Great Britain and America
and stated that certain things are es-
sential for its full realization; namely,
an unselfish consideration on the part
of the majority for the minority, edu-
cation, and political machinery
whereby an unselfish attitude of the
majority and knowledge resulting
from education can make itself felt.
For instance, I showed a Chicago bal-
lot containing the names of several
hundred candidates, a Des Moines bal-
lot eight feet in length, and an Oregon
ballot containing sixty-six names and
a score of propositions. I claimed
that no average voter could wisely
choose from so many names at one
time. Therefore I suggested the need
of a short ballot and discussed meth-
ods by which a short ballot may be ob-
tained.

I said that the Land and Labor Par-
ty has recently advocated a unicameral
legislature of one hundred mem-
bers, but it seems to me that increas-
ing the size of our legislature is go-
ing in the wrong direction. Why not
have a smaller unicameral legislature
and distribute the salaries of the
larger number among the few; for in-
stance, pay each member a salary of
\$5000 a year and have the legislators
making investigations and legislating
throughout the year. This would re-
sult in more carefully considered leg-
islation and perhaps in less legisla-
tion than we now get from a legisla-
ture compelled to take a more or less
snap judgment on the numerous im-
portant questions which they must
consider in the limited period of forty
days every second year. It might be
argued that a unicameral legislature
would not provide sufficient safeguard
against the possible abuses of a single
chamber, but the people of Oregon
would be protected against such dan-
ger by the governor's veto, by the in-
itiative, by the referendum, by the re-
call, and by the due process of law,
which does not permit a state to de-
prive any person of life, liberty, or
property without due process of law,
that is without a court hearing or
unreasonably. No one of the above
checks existed in the United States
when our bicameral system of legisla-
ture came into vogue. All of the
Canadian provinces except Quebec and
Nova Scotia have adopted the unicam-
eral system and no one of them would
consider returning to the more cum-
bersome bicameral system.

Yours very truly,
F. A. MAGRUDER.

SUMMONS
In the Circuit Court of the State of
Oregon for the County of Clackamas.

A. D. McVay, Plaintiff,
vs.
Perry O. Stacy, Mildred E. Stacy, Wil-
liam H. Beers, Nellie K. Beers, L. S.
Siegelman, Jane Doe Siegelman and
R. C. Epperson, administrators of the
estate of W. D. L. Epperson, deceased,
Defendants.

To William H. Beers, Nellie K. Beers,
L. S. Siegelman, Jane Doe Siegelman,
and R. C. Epperson, administrators of
the estate of W. D. L. Epperson, de-
ceased, Defendants.

In the name of the State of Oregon,
you are hereby required to appear and
answer the complaint of the plaintiff
filed against you in the above en-
titled suit on or before the 6th day of
March, 1920, that being more than
six weeks after the date of the first
publication of summons herein and
being the time prescribed for such ap-
pearance by you by order of the Court
herein.

And if you fail to appear and an-
swer the complaint of the plaintiff
filed herein, plaintiff will apply to the
Court for the relief demanded therein,
to-wit: For judgment against the de-
fendants, Perry O. Stacy, Mildred E.
Stacy, William H. Beers, Nellie K.
Beers, for the sum of \$800 with inter-
est thereon at 8 per cent per annum
from the first day of January, 1918;
for \$32 with interest thereon at 8
per cent per annum from July 1, 1917;
for \$32 with interest thereon at the
rate of 8 per cent per annum from
the first day of January, 1918; for
the sum of \$26.80 paid for taxes with
interest thereon at 8 per cent per an-
num from the commencement of this
action; for the sum of \$80, attorney
fee and costs and disbursements here-
in and for the foreclosure of the
mortgage made by the defendants,
Perry O. Stacy, Mildred E. Stacy, Wil-
liam H. Beers and Nellie K. Beers, in
favor of The John Bain Investment
Company and by said Company as-
signed to the plaintiff herein to se-
cure payment of the sum of \$800 with
interest thereon, which mortgage bears
date, June 13, 1916, and is re-
corded in the office of Recorder of
Conveyances of Clackamas County,
Oregon, in Book 107 at page 494,
Mortgage Records of said County, and
which mortgage creates a first lien
upon the following lands and premises
in Clackamas County, Oregon, to-wit:
The Northwest Quarter (NW1/4) of
Section Twenty-eight (28), Township
Six (6) South of Range Three (3)
East of the Willamette Meridian con-
taining One Hundred Sixty (160)
acres more or less; and that the usual
decree may be made for the fore-
closure of said mortgage and the sale
of said premises or so much thereof
as may be necessary to pay the
amount due plaintiff according to law
and practice of this Court and that
the proceeds of such sale may be ap-
plied on the payment of the amount
due plaintiff; that the defendant and
all of them and all persons claiming
under them or either of them sube-

gaged upon said premises may be bar-
red and foreclosed of all title, claim,
interest or right of redemption in
said premises or any part thereof and
that the plaintiff may have such fur-
ther relief as to this Court may seem
meet and agreeable to equity.

This summons is served upon you
by publication thereof in accordance
with the order of Hon. J. U. Campbell,
Judge of the above entitled Court,
which order was duly made and en-
tered on the 21st day of January, 1920.
MURDOCH & CRUM,
Attorneys for Plaintiff, 1312-4 N. W.
Bank Bldg., Portland, Oregon.

Date of first publication, January 23,
1920.
Date of last publication, March 5,
1920.

SUMMONS
In the Circuit Court of the State of
Oregon for the County of Clackamas.

John E. Cline, Plaintiff,
vs.
Minnie Cline, Defendant.

To Minnie Cline, above named de-
fendant:

In the name of the State of Oregon
you are hereby required to appear
and answer the complaint filed
against you in the above entitled
suit on or before the 5th day of
March, 1920, said date being the ex-
piration of six weeks from the first
publication of this summons and if you
fail to appear and answer said com-
plaint, plaintiff will apply to the Court
for the relief demanded in said complaint
as follows: To-wit: For a decree dis-
solving the marriage contract now ex-
isting between you and the plaintiff,
and for such other and further relief
as to this Honorable Court may seem

meet and agreeable to equity.

This summons is served upon you
by publication thereof pursuant to
the order of the Hon. J. U. Campbell,
Judge of said circuit court, which
order is made and dated the 26th day
of January, 1920, and prescribed that
this summons be served upon you by
publication.

Date of first publication January
30, 1920.
Date of last publication March 12,
1920.

CLARENCE L. GILBERT,
Attorney for Plaintiff,
P. O. Address 426 Felling Building,
Portland, Oregon.

SUMMONS
In the Circuit Court of the State of
Oregon for the County of Clackamas.

Maude Snyder, Plaintiff,
vs.
David W. Snyder, Defendant.

To David W. Snyder, the above named
defendant:

In the name of the State of Oregon
you are hereby required to appear
and answer the complaint in the above
entitled suit, on or before the 19th day
of March, 1920, said date being the ex-
piration of six weeks from the first pub-
lication of this summons, and if you
fail to appear and answer said com-
plaint, plaintiff will apply to the Court
for the relief demanded in said complaint
as follows: To-wit: For a decree dis-
solving the marriage contract now ex-
isting between you and the plaintiff,
and for such other and further relief
as to this Honorable Court may seem

meet and agreeable to equity.

This summons is served upon you
by publication thereof pursuant to the
order of the Hon. J. U. Campbell, Judge
of said circuit court, which order is
made and dated the 26th day of Janu-
ary, 1920, and prescribed that this
summons be served upon you by pub-
lication.

This summons is published pursuant
to an order made by the Hon. J. U.
Campbell, Judge of the Circuit Court
of the State of Oregon for the County
of Clackamas, on the 24th day of Janu-
ary, 1920, the date of the first pub-
lication of this summons being Janu-
ary 30, 1920, and the date of the
last publication being March 12, 1920.

C. SCHUEHL,
ARTHUR G. BEATTIE,
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Bank of Oregon City Bldg., Oregon
City, Oregon.

FINAL NOTICE
Notice is hereby given that the un-
derigned, as Executor of the estate
of Martin Bowman, deceased, has
filed his final account in the office
of the County Clerk of Clackamas
County, Oregon, and that Monday,
the 1st day of March, 1920, at the hour
of 10 o'clock A. M. in the forenoon
of said day, in the County Court Room
of said Court has been appointed by
said Court as the time and place for
the hearing of objections thereto and
the settlement thereof.

Dated and first published January
30, 1920.
Last publication February 27, 1920.
MATY HOLCOMB,
J. C. HOLCOMB,
Executors of the estate of Martin
Bowman, deceased.

WM. M. STONE,
Attorney for Executors.

SHERIFF'S SALE
In the Circuit Court of the State of
Oregon, for the County of Clackamas.

Mary Janesch, Plaintiff,
vs.
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor and Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

State of Oregon, County of Clackamas,
ss.
By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920, in favor of Mary Janesch, the
above named Plaintiff, and against
M. C. Davis and Frances H. Davis,
his wife; W. R. Taylor, Eunice
I. Taylor, his wife; Daniel B. Labbe
and Mary Labbe, his wife; Arthur
Davis and Luella Davis, his wife,
and Sam Dyce, Defendants.

By virtue of a judgment order, de-
cree and an execution, duly issued
out of and under the seal of the above
entitled court, to me duly directed and
dated the 4th day of February, 1920, upon a
judgment rendered and entered in
said court on the 28th day of January,
1920