Oregon City Enterprise

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1897.

CIRCUIT COURT CASES.

Complete List of Actions to be Tried at November Term.

Following is a complete list of the cases to be tried before Judge T. A. McBride of 5th judicial district, commencing November 1st, with the attorneys in the premises:

LAW.

Dittenhoffer, Hass & Co vs D McDonald; Donderson Reed for plaintiff.

H W Ross vs John F Phillips; W H Walker for plaintiff.

James Humphrey vs A M Shipley; J U Beach for plaintiff.

W B Ames vs Lizzie N Bowen; G G Ames for plaintiff.

J D Cook vs Marrion Johnson, et al; Miller & Miller for plaintiff; Caples & Allen for defendant.

Josephine Thompkins vs Maxwell Ramsby; H B Nicholas for plaintiff.

W T Burney vs Henry Smathers, et al; W T Burney for plaintiff.

Esberg Bachman & Co vs W A Ackerman; Cox, Teal & Minor for plaintiff.

Cosper Yoker vs Herman Troeger; L R Janey for plaintiff; A Schultz for defendant.

John Parker vs Ida M McClellon; Emmons & Emmons for plaintiff.

J K Shesk vs A W Walker, et al; G E Hayes for plaintiff.

George Gutman vs Han Johnson; Brownell & Dresser for plaintiff.

Aiken, Selling, & Co vs E M Hartman; W H Wingfield for plf.

W G Randall vs Allen Simmons, et al; W U Johnson for plf; C D & D C Latourette for deft.

A F Stokes vs C P Dix, et al; C D & D C Latourette for plf; H E Cross for deft.

Portland Trust Co of Oregon vs Eliza J Cason; Williams, Ward & Linthicum for plf; C D & D C Latourette for deft.

Portland Trust Co of Oregon vs F F White; same for plf; Brownell & Dresser for deft.

Same vs Caroline M Myers, et al; same for plf; Bailey & Balleroy for deft.

Same vs Edward M Attanson, trustee; same for p; Dolph, Mallory & Simon for d.

Same vs Henrietta M Hathaway; same for p; Bailey & Balleroy for d.

Same vs A B Atkinson; same for p; Dolph, Mallory & Simon for d.

Ed Mueckle et al vs J M Nolan, et al; Dufur & Menefee for p; Brownell & Dresser for d.

K A J McKinzie vs John Fleming; Driggs & Swope for p; Nicholas & Davis for d.

Allen G White vs Oregon City; W H Effinger for p; Porter & Campbell for d.

Chas Heitman vs Jas Martin, et al; Brownell & Campbell for d.

John Foster vs Rudolph Gantenbein; Paxton, Beach, Sears & Simon for p.

Ashford & Teal vs D W Smith; Brownell & Campbell for p.

John Schmidt vs Chas VanCuren; L L Porter for p.

E E Maston vs F M Sumner; C D & D C Latourette for p; Brownell & Campbell for d.

Rothschild Bros vs R F Davis; Sweek & Davis for p.

J S Mitchell et al vs Wilhelmina Koehler; L L Porter for p; J E Marks for d.

Fred Schieve vs Balthoser Kurtz; B F & G W Swope & Latourette for p; Brownell & Campbell for d.

F T Griffith vs Ed Rinearson; Hedges & Griffith for p.

D W Howard vs M Reinstein; Flegel & Stavislawsky for p; Brownell & Campbell for d.

J B Seely vs F M Baker et al.

Wm Barlow vs Henry Will; Hedges & Griffith for p; J E Marks for d.

K H Parker vs John & Lucetta Foster; Gannons & Lamson for p.

Alice M Coburn vs John & Lucetta Foster; Hume & Hall for p.

W W Parish vs White Bros; McCain, Irwine & Vinton for p.

Cynthia H Hosford vs John Vick & G W Grace, sheriff; Driggs & Marks for p; B F & G W Swope for d.

C Zimmerman trustee vs W S Tull; Driggs & Marks for p; Hedges & Griffith for d.

Samuel Strebin vs D H Howell; J H Middleton for p; Latourette for d.

Samuel Strebin vs John McGetchie, et al; same attys.

W F Hubbard et al vs E F Driggs; J E Marks for p.

A Knapp vs James Evans; B F & G W Swope for p; G B Dimick for d.

Wm Tinnerstell vs David Anderson; Latourette for p.

Peter Warner vs James Evans; B F & G W Swope for p; Brownell & Campbell for d.

Luisa Bayton vs J W Forrester et al; G B Dimick for p; Hedges & Griffith for d.

Henry Miley vs R I Garrett et al; L L Porter for p; Brownell & Campbell for p.

Rosenthal, Feier & Co vs E J McKitterick; Gearin, Silverstone & Brodie for p; Rice & Dresser for d.

E G Caufield vs Geo C Brownell, et al; L L Porter for p.

Anna Gantenbein vs Oregon City Mfg Co; Latourette for p; R Mallory for d.

Joseph Meindle vs A W Schwan; B F & G W Swope for p.

James Hodges vs Wm Hess; W H Dobyns for p.

M M Shively vs J C & G W Strickleu; Latourettes for p; Brownell & Campbell for d.

N F Zimmerman vs John Drescher et al; G B Dimick for p.

Sidney Smyth and T P Randall vs Jane and James Shaw; L L Porter for p; Stan & Gatens for d.

E Ehrman et al vs S J Stratton; Latourette for p.

M K Perrin vs A L Blanchard et al; Latourettes for p.

David Turney vs H M Jackson et al; Latourettes for p.

Henry McElsander vs B E Hawley et al; Latourettes for p.

Sarah E Paquet vs W A Avery et al; L L Porter for p.

Thos Charman & Son vs D H Worshaw; A S Dresser for p.

Oswego Lodge, No 93, I O O F, vs A L Taylor; Brownell & Campbell for p.

M E Moore vs D W Howard et al; Hedges & Griffith for p.

R W Fisher vs J S Moore et al; Latourette for p.

W H Reese vs P O'Conner et al; Latourettes for p.

John Dolan vs Lorenzo Winter et al; Buffington, Hume & Hall.

A Knapp vs Geo W Owen; G B Dimick & Jno W Loder for p.

E W Atkinson & Co vs Regina Stock; Geo L Storey for p.

Thos Charman & Son vs John Hatton et al; Hedges & Griffith for p.

Jacob Bauch vs James H Martin; B F & G W Swope for p.

Wm Klaetsch vs James Hodges, et al; Latourettes for p.

Fritz and Emil Wiese vs Henry Epperson; H E Cross for p.

Same vs Geo R Epperson, et al; H E Cross for p.

Herman Waterhauer and Chas Schaienburg vs J Kober; C H McCulloch for p.

F D Winton vs J S Gibbons, et al; Latourettes for p; H E Cross for d.

James L Bailey vs G W Grace, sheriff; H E Cross for p; Latourettes for d.

John Gibbons vs F D Winton and G W Grace, sheriff; H E Cross for p; Latourettes for d.

E D and C D Story vs Joseph Thompkins, et al; Latourettes for p; C H Dye for d.

Thos Charman, et al, vs Milton Stingley; Hedges & Griffith for p.

The Bank of Oregon City vs W T Whitlock, et al; A S Dresser for p.

Same vs A W Howard; A S Dresser for p.

Toodle Weakly Millinery co vs E E Martin et al; L L Porter for p.

Mary Garison vs James Hodges; Geo L Story for p.

A and Anna F Longnecker vs James Bell; B F & G W Swope for p.

C Zimmerman vs E A B Howard; J E Marks for p.

Mathias Butola vs Albert Francisco; Moream & Johnson for p; Brownell & Campbell for d.

The Portland Flouring Mills Co vs Jacob Kober; Hedges & Griffith for p.

Wm Jones, M D, vs Fred Charman; B F & G W Swope for p.

Geo Stock as adm vs M O Gard, et al; B F & G W Swope for p.

Same vs F W Putkamer; same for p.

Anna Gantenbein vs Oregon City Mfg Co; Latourettes for p; Mallory, Hedges & Griffith for d.

B C Mathews vs W F Knight et al; Fenton, Bronough & Muir for p.

Jacob K Emer vs John O Shannon, et al; Latourettes for p.

E K Howard vs G W Grace; Dimick & Loder for p.

Clara Fields vs Isaac Farr, et al; Latourettes for p.

E S Latourette, sec, vs Isaac Farr; Latourette for p.

CRIMIAL.

State of Oregon vs Wm D Ostellee, rape; Cleeton for p.

State of Oregon vs H W Westerman, larceny by bailee; Cleeton for p.

State of Oregon vs G W Stricklin, assault with dangerous weapon; Cleeton for p.

State of Oregon vs G W Stricklin, carrying a concealed weapon; Cleeton for p.

State of Oregon vs John Ferguson, assault with dangerous weapon; Brownell & Campbell for d.

State of Oregon vs R N Bradley, appealed from justice court, militia fine; Brownell & Campbell for d.

State of Oregon vs Walter Wyland; thief.

State of Oregon vs Cassius U Barlow; Hedges & Griffith for p.

State of Oregon vs Cassius U Barlow; Hedges & Griffith for p.

State of Oregon vs C Phiffer.

EQUITY.

Eliza J Dickenson vs John M Dickenson; Caples & Allen for p; G E Hayes for d.

Willamette Transportation Co vs H M Draper et al; Brownell & Campbell for p; W T Burney for d.

Mary A Fellows vs Mrs C M Philips et al; U S G Marquam for p.

Eliza J Thompson vs Douglas W Williams et al; Williams & Wood for p.

The H H Dunlway Lumber Co vs James Thompson; Emmons & Emmons for p.

Filancy Otis vs W T Shurtliff et al; Williams & Cary for p.

City of Portland vs C W Gasong; Beach and Strode & Wait for p.

Chas Bauman vs Shipley & Krusc et al; Brownell & Campbell for p; Miller & Miller for d.

Fleishner, Mayer & Co vs J J Fowler et al; M McKin for p.

T B Hankins vs William Logus; L L Porter for p.

Chas Risley vs J N Ogle; Johnson & Idleman for p; Caples & Allen for d.

W F Caswell vs Emma S Coaswell; Brownell & Campbell for p.

S T Stephens vs J B Laber et al; Mateon, Beckman & Mateon for p; Johnson & Idleman for d.

Portland General Electric Co vs H J Humphrey; Brownell & Campbell for p.

J P Rasmussen vs Ledru Royal; C Schuebel for p; Starr, Thomas & Chamberlain for d.

Assignment of J W Thomas; Brownell & Campbell attorneys.

City of Portland vs F E Thomas; J V Beach for p.

W W H Lamson vs E C Maddock; Brownell & Campbell for p.

Same vs same; same.

Same vs same; same.

Adam Quick vs Annie E Quick; C H Dye for p.

Assignment of S B & Chas Cliff; L L Porter atty.

A Zager vs W F Atkinson; Geo L Story for p.

Oregon City vs East Side Railway Co; Thayer & Porter for p; Cleeland & Cleeland for d.

Prescott, Pendleton vs E C Bowman et al; Swopes for p; Latourettes for d.

Assignment of Hamilton Bros; C H Dye attorney.

Sarah M Meldrum vs H E Cross; Brownell & Campbell, Paxton, Sears, Beach & Simon for p; H E Cross for d.

Emma Orewiler vs Wm Orewiler; G E Hayes for p.

Robert J Bentel vs Henry Hopkins; C H Dye for p.

Rebecca J Long vs Jno W Deane; H E Cross for d; Latourettes for p.

Isaac Traynor vs Frederick Marshall; L L Porter for p; Brownell & Campbell for d.

Minnie McKren vs Jennie Meicher; Latourettes for p.

J F Anderson vs the Portland Flouring Mills Co.

Martha C Califf vs Catherine Fish et al; Latourettes for p.

Matilda Charmah vs W T Whitlock et al; Latourettes for p.

Assignment of E M Hartman; E C Cross atty.

George McNear vs Geo Gustian; L L Porter for p; J D Lesure for d.

A S Marquam vs C L Sabin et al; H E Cross for p; Thos G Green for d.

H E McGuire et al vs Alonzo Hunter; Paxton, Sears, Beach & Simon for p.

T L White vs E M Hartman; Cox, Cotton, Teal & Minor for p.

Sam'l H Taylor vs Dicey E Booth; H E Cross for p.

Assignment of H H Snow; E G Green atty.

Fred Stulke vs Louise Stulker; Brownell & Campbell for p.

W L Saidow et al vs W H Burghardt and Northern Countries Investment Co; Hedges & Griffith for p.

J H Pomeroy vs D D Magone et al; Gommons & & Lamson for p.

Sisters of the Good Shepard of the Magdalen Home vs E C Saddock and G W Grace; Wm Foley for p.

Helen B Anderson vs John Garbade; M W Smith for p; L L Porter for d.

Helen B Anderson vs Wm R Wade et al; M W Smith for p.

Lillie B McFadden vs Elsie Knapp et al; Brownell & Campbell for p.

Seremi Monoster vs Bates E Hawley et all; Hedges & Griffith for p.

Assignment of J H Irvine; G B Dimick atty.

Margaret E Burigy vs Chas S Peterson et al; A T Lewis for p; Hume & Hall for d.

C O T Williams assignee vs James Miller et al; L L Porter for p; Hedges & Griffith for d.

James B Day vs John B Miller; Thayer & St Rayner for p.

Assignment of E M Atkinson; U McCoy for p.

S C Cramer vs W T Barney et al; S R Harrington for p.

Melinda E Hull vs John C Hall; V B Hyde for p.

C Krohn vs Wishke Krohn; L L Porter for p.

Wanda Zimmerman to G B Dimick assignee; L L Porter atty.

Geo Foreman vs Duren H Tuttle; Cross for p.

Fred Schlowe vs Jacob Kurz et al; Swopes and Latourettes for p; B & C for d.

J S Kirkley vs Vina Kirkley.

Joseph Parrot vs H H Wheeler et al; Cross for p.

Maud M Hamilton vs W B Hamilton; B & C for p.

Adeline D Crim vs P H Crim; Latourettes for p.

T L Charman et al vs Paul Scholz; Latourettes and Swopes for p; B & C for d.

Stephen H Havard vs G G Shadinger; Cross for p.

Sarah J Dickerson vs Casby D Dickerson; Hayes for p.

Geo J Currin vs J W Stone et al.

Geo J Currin vs Fred Stulke et al.

Almeda Swygert vs Geo Swygert; B & C for p.

Dan Kunkle vs Mary Holfer.

M C George vs John Kenworthy et al.

W S Ford vs C J Gibs et al; Latourettes for p.

M H White vs R H White; Hayes for p.

J W Smith vs Wm Jack et al; Hedges & Griffith for p.

V O Harding vs W T Whitlock et all; Hedges & Griffith.

A E Latourette executrix vs E P and Lillie P Elliott; Latourettes for p.

Mary L Brakley vs Wm Anderson; B & C for p.

Katherine Burkholder vs Eliza and Sarah Parker; Swopes for p.

Frances Weis vs Willie Ann Hughes et al; Latourettes for p.

A E Latourette trustee vs Josephus Tompkins et al; Latourettes for p.

Flarita Kimball vs W F Kimball; Hayes for p.

D S Clark vs Benj Beucher, et al; R W Montague for p; E & A R Mendenhall for d.

P T Davis vs Frank Nowell, et al; C H Dye for p.

F C Buck vs R H Vorpahl; G L Story for p.

G F Gordon vs Catherine Cecelia Thurman; Latourettes for p.

Francis Caldwell vs W J Galdwell; C H Dye for p; Latourettes for d.

A G Stogsdill vs D W Howard, et al; W H Dobyns for p; Latourettes for d.

W F Hubbard vs Seth E Jones; L L Porter for p.

Francis E Kellogg vs Edward A Smith, et al; C H Dye for P; G C Campbell for d.

E G Caufield vs L R Janey, et al; A S Dresser for p.

Mrs V O Harding vs Temperance L Swafford, et al; Hedges & Griffith for p.

Alliance Trust Co vs Carrie D Covey, et al.

Same vs L Phelps, et al.

Mary C Bosh vs William Bosh; Latourettes for p.

Eliza A B Jobes vs J B Jobes; Brownell & campbell for p.

J T Apperson vs Henry Cartlongit, et al; H E cross for p.

Henry Miley vs Gustave Dahlke, et al; Ryan & Smith for p.

T E Wise vs C O T Williams et al; Dye & Ryan for p.

M K Perrin vs E M Atkinson, et al; Latourettes for p.

The Investor's Mortgage Security co Ltd vs W S Mosk et al.

A E Latourette, executrix vs G W N Taylor, et al; Latourettes for p.

chas B Moores vs clackamas county; Latourette for d.

Wm church, sr, vs W W McGuice, et al.

cora B Towney vs Jacob Towney; C H Dye for p; Brownell & campbell for d.

John Young vs Mary Young; Brownell & campbell for p.

Mary Young vs John Young, et al; Brownell & campbell for d.

Wm Blount vs W T Whitlock, et al; G E Hayes for p.

Henry Thiessen vs T R Worthington, et al; Dresser & Mendenhall for p; Latourettes for d.

F M Pickard vs Lenora K Pickard; H E cross for p; Latourette d.

Helen L Stratton, et al, vs Oregon city, et al; Williams & Brownell for p; C H Dye for d.

R Lingard vs Silas E Bevens, et al.

Perline Stovall vs Wm Stovall; Brownell & campbell for p.

Eli Lewelling vs Sophonia V Lewelling, et al.

F S Dunning vs Paul A Ozanne.

Bessie Monteith vs Morris Monteith.

Lorence Hornschuh vs A R Stephens et al; C Schuebel for p.

J P Ladlam vs E W Paquet, et al.

Geo F Wood vs J B Moore, et al; Hedges & Griffith for p.

Addie P Barlow vs Julius Visene; Hedges & Griffith for p.

Wm Pochin, et al, vs Gabriel J Trullinger.

Jacob Spangler vs Z S Gard, et al; Latourettes for p.

R J Bentel vs P S Bates, et al.

Clerrinda E Shipley, et al, vs M K Shipley, et al.

Trustees of Baptist college at McMinnville vs F e & S P Mock; Latourettes for p; Brownell & campbell for d.

c W Foster vs Fred Bluhm; J W Loder for p.

L E Schultz vs James Shaw, et al; Latourettes for p.

M c Stricklin vs B V Stricklin, Latourettes for p.

D W Jones vs W Ohlinkamp and John Dohlke; C H Dye for p.

Hattie E Dufour vs Alex Dufour.

Achilles & Clark vs F R Wright; Latourettes for p.

John Weismandle vs John Abbott; Latourettes for p.

Gambrinus Brewing co vs Marion Bacchler, et al; Dimick for p.

Willis Denmagan, et al, vs N P Hansen, et al.

Fred Bluhm vs J G Fehler, et al; J W Loder for p.

Subsribe for the ENTERPRISE, the leading paper of the city.

HAYING IMPLEMENTS.

Modern Improvements Which Save Time, Labor and Money.

The mower, at one time considered perfect with its cutter bar 4 feet in length, has been supplanted by machines of greater capacity, and now the cutter bars are largely made 5, 6, 7 and even 8 feet in length, thus almost doubling the efficiency of the machine. With the introduction of the long cutter bar it was doubted if a single team could furnish the power to propel the machine, but it was found that the addition of even three feet to the length of the bar did not increase the draft in proportion to the added width of the cut and that the draft depended in a great measure on the condition of the cutting knife and guards. And with the finger bar and knife kept in good condition for effective work the draft was less than the narrow cut machine, with this most important part neglected and indifferently cared for. In heavy grass it often becomes necessary for the swath to be moved, and the hay tedder performs the work in a far more satisfactory manner than it can be done by hand, and after a rain, when the upper part of the swath bleaches, while that part next the ground holds the water, the hay tedder becomes almost indispensable.

With a swath hay loader, the width of the loader and the size of the cut of the mower should correspond, for one of the chief causes of dissatisfaction with the swath hay loader has arisen from trying to part a swath of hay in the center. With a mower cutting six or seven feet, the eight foot loader can be used successfully, care being taken in driving that the inside wheel or slide of the loader shall follow the open space between the swaths, thereby avoiding the parting of the hay in the swath.

A recent addition to haying tools is the side delivery hay rake, which, while raking the hay, leaves a continuous windrow that can be followed at once by the loader. In loading, an extra team should be provided, and when this is done the hay loaded can be handled with ease to the horses, and, with an active man on the rear of the wagon who is not afraid of work and who understands his business, a load can be elevated and built on the wagon in a few minutes. A side delivery hay loader is among the recent additions to haying implements. With the side delivery hay loader the team is attached directly to the loader, and it is driven alongside of the wagon to be loaded, the hay being delivered in about the center of the wagon instead of on the rear.

The great improvements made in recent years in both hay carriers and tracks give so much added strength to these appliances that slings can now be used for unloading, and even four slings to a load are giving way to three, and even two, which makes unloading a work of brief duration. The pulling back of the carrier promises soon to be a thing of the past, for the carrier returner, with the dropping of the load, does its work promptly and effectively, and before the driver can bring back his horse or team the carrier has been returned and the fork, or hook, for the sling has been placed within reach of the person unloading, without any effort on his part whatever. For stacking hay the wire cable, mounted with a carrier and supported at a height of 25 or 30 feet by poles or square timbers, say 4 by 4 if of pine, and spliced together for the necessary length, 30 to 36 feet, standing like an inverted letter A, proves in its adaptation for the work required the nearest for the hay barn equipment of anything yet devised. Hay can be stacked in such a manner that there will be practically no waste whatever when a cable derrick is used, and the writer can point to hundreds of tons of hay that he has stacked in this manner which kept as well and showed as little loss as if in the best barn ever built. The foregoing is from a letter written to Prairie Farmer.

Wall Paper.

Best stock of wall paper in Oregon City latest designs and prices to suit the times at R. L. Holman's, Main street, opposite Court House. tf.

Legal Notices.

Citation.

In the County Court of the State of Oregon, for the County of Clackamas.

In the matter of the estate of Sarah Jane Scott, deceased. } Citation to heirs and devisees.

To Mrs. Belle Hubbard, Mrs. Mary Leslie, Mrs. Della Marquam, Mr. Frank Scott, Sherman Scott, Elmer and Elsworth Scott, Anna Hoag, Ella Myers, Armeneous Scott, Roy Scott, and to all others unknown.

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF OREGON, you and each of you are hereby cited to be and appear in the County Court of the State of Oregon, for the County of Clackamas, at the court room thereof, at the court house in said county of Clackamas, on Monday, the 6th day of December, A. D., 1897, at 1 o'clock, p. m. of that day, then and there show cause, if any you have, why an order of said Court, allowing and licensing the sale of the s½ of n½ of nw¼ of section 21, township 5 south, range 1 east of the Willamette meridian, in Clackamas county, State of Oregon, should not be made according to the petition on file for that purpose.

Witness, the Hon. G. E. Hayes, Judge of the County Court of the State of Oregon, for the County of Clackamas, this 18th day of October, 1897.

Attest: ELMER DIXON, County Clerk. By E. H. COOPER, Deputy.

H. E. Cross, Attorney for estate.
10-22, 11-19

Notice of Appointment.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE undersigned has been, by order of the County Court of Clackamas County, Oregon, duly appointed executor of the estate of George Welsh, deceased. All persons having claims against said estate are notified to present them to me, properly verified, at the office of H. E. Cross, attorney-at-law, Oregon City, Oregon, within six months from the date of this notice.

FRANCIS WELSH, Executor of the estate of George Welsh.

H. E. Cross, attorney for estate.
10-22, 11-5

Summons.

JUSTICE'S COURT FOR DISTRICT NO. 4, State of Oregon, County of Clackamas.

J. W. Norris, plaintiff, vs. S. E. Worsham, defendant. }

To S. E. Worsham, the above named defendant. In the name of the State of Oregon, you are hereby required to appear before the undersigned, a Justice of the Peace, for the district aforesaid, on the 11th day of December, 1897, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon of said day, at the office of said Justice, in such district, to answer the above named plaintiff in civil action. The defendant will take notice, that if he fail to answer the complaint herein, the plaintiff will take judgment against him for the sum of $58 00 with interest thereon from the 12th day of December, 1896, and for his costs and disbursements herein.

Given under my hand this 20th day of October, 1897. C. SCHUEBEL, Justice of the Peace.
10-22, 12-3

Notice to Creditors.

IN THE COUNTY COURT OF THE STATE of Oregon, for Clackamas county.

In the matter of the estate of N. O. Walden, deceased. }

Notice is hereby given by the undersigned, executors of the estate of N. O. Walden, deceased, to the creditors of, and all persons having claims against the said deceased, to exhibit them, with the necessary vouchers, within six months from the date of the first publication of this notice, to said executors at the office of their attorneys, Hedges & Griffith, Barclay building, Oregon City, Oregon.

ELMER E. CHARMAN, GEORGE A. HARDING, CHARLES H. CAUFIELD, Executors of the estate of N. O. Walden, deceased.

HEDGES & GRIFFITH, atty s for executors.
10-22, 11-19

Summons.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE of Oregon for the county of Clackamas, ss.

D. W. James, plaintiff, vs. Wm. Ohlenkamp & John Dahlke, Defendants. }

To Wm. Ohlenkamp and John Dahlke said defendants in the name of the state of Oregon, you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled suit on or before the first day of the next term of the circuit court of the said county and state which will begin at Oregon City, Oregon, on the first Monday of November, said day being the first day of said month, A. D. 1897; and if you fail so to appear and answer said complaint the plaintiff for want thereof will apply to the court for the relief demanded therein, which is for the foreclosure against you of a certain mortgage on real property dated Dec. 9, 1889, and recorded in Book V, page 330 mortgage records of said county, given by Chas. A. Mochoke to secure a purchase money debt of $150.00 and interest, on the following tract of land towit:

Beginning at a point on the section line between sections 21 and 22 in T. 3 S. R. 2 E., of the Willamette meridian in Clackamas county, Oregon, far enough south of the quarter section corner on said line so that a line running east from such point across the donation land claim of R. A. Worsham to the west boundary of the donation land claim of Lorenzo D. Kirk will include 120 acres of that part of the said Worsham D. L. C. lying north of such east and west line exclusive of 7.58 acres heretofore sold and conveyed by Isaac Farr to P. Scanlon, and for an order of the court for the sale of said mortgaged premises to pay said debt and accrued interest since Dec. 9, 1892, attorney's fees, costs and accruing costs. Published by order of Hon. Loyal B. Stearns, Judge of the circuit court of Multnomah county, Oregon, acting in the absence of the circuit judge of Clackamas county, which order is dated Sept. 15, 1897.

C. H. DYE, Attorney for plaintiff, Oregon City, Oregon.
9-17, 10-29

Summons.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE of Oregon, for the county of Clackamas.

A. Knapp, plaintiff, vs. George W. Owen, defendant. }

To George W. Owen, the above name defendant.

In the name of the state of Oregon, you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you in the above entitled action within ten days from the date of the service of this summons upon you if served within this county, or if served within any other county of this state, then within twenty days from the date of the service of this summons upon you, and if served by publication then by the first day of the next term of this court, to-wit: the 1 day of November, 1897, and if you fail so to answer for want thereof the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief demanded in said complaint to-wit: For the sum of twenty-five dollars and forty-five cents and interest thereon, at the rate of eight per cent per annum, from the 1st day of March, 1897, until paid, and for the further sum of ten dollars and fifty cents, with interest thereon, at the rate of eight per cent per annum, from the 1st day of July, 1897, until paid, and for the further sum of eight dollars and fifty cents, and interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum, from the first day of June, 1897, until paid and for the further sum of eight dollars and twenty-five cents, with interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent per annum, from the 1st day of January, 1897, until paid, and for the further sum of one dollar and five cents, and for his costs and disbursements in this action, that said plaintiff will also apply to the said court for an order to sell lots 9 and 10, block 7, Gladstone, Clackamas county, Oregon, which are now under attachments in this action to satisfy said judgment.

Hon. T. A. McBride, judge of the 5th judicial district, being absent from the county at this time, this summons is published by order of Hon. Alfred F. Sears, judge of the circuit court of the Fourth Judicial district, of the state of Oregon, duly made and entered of record, on the 16th day of September, 1897.

G. E. DIMICK & J. W. LODER, Attorneys for plaintiff.
9-17, 10-29

Notice for Publication.

Land office at Oregon City, Ore., Oct. 15, 1897.

Notice is hereby given that the following-named settler has filed notice of his intention to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before the Register and Receiver at Oregon City, Ore., on December 4th, 1897, viz:

PETER LAFFERTY;

H. E. 9153 for the N W¼ of Sec. 14, T. 5 S, R 3 E.

He names the following witnesses to prove his continuous residence upon and cultivation of said land, viz:

Frank Baker, Frank Robinson, Ambrose Pluard, Charles Hubbard, all of Cotton, Ore.

10-29, 12-3 ROBERT A. MILLER, Register.

Notice for Publication.

Land Office at Oregon City, Ore., October 27th, 1897.

Notice is hereby given that the following-named settler has filed notice of her intention to make final proof in support of her claim, and that said proof will be made before the Register and Receiver at Oregon City, Ore., on December 11th, 1897, viz:

LUCY C. CARTER;

H. E. 10312, for the S E ¼ of N E ¼ and lots 1 and 2 of Sec. 4 Tp 3 S, R 7 E, and S W ¼ of S E ¼ of Sec. 33, Tp 2 S, R 7 E.

She names the following witnesses to prove her continuous residence upon and cultivation of said land, viz:

Mary E. G. Murphy, William Rose sky, Fred Gerke, John McIntyre, all of Salmon, Ore.

10-29, 12-3 CHAS. B. MOORES, Register.

Notice for Publication.

Land office at Oregon City, Ore., October 27, 1897

Notice is hereby given that the following-named settler has filed notice of her intention to make final proof in support of her claim, and that said proof will be made before the Register and Receiver at Oregon City, Ore., on December 11th, 1897, viz:

MARY E. G. MURPHY;

H. E. 10311 for the lots 3 and 4 of sec. 4, Tp. 3 S, R 7 E, and S ½ of SW ¼ of Sec. 33, Tp 2 S, R 7 E.

She names the following witnesses to prove her continuous residence upon and cultivation of said land, viz:

Lucy C. Carter, John McIntyre, William Roesisky, Fred Gerke, all of Salmon, Ore.

10-29, 12-3 CHAS. B. MOORES, Register.

Notice for Publication.

Land office at Oregon City, Ore., Oct 26, 1897.

Notice is hereby given that the following-named settler has filed notice of her intention to make final proof in support of her claim, and that said proof will be made before the Register and Receiver at Oregon City, Ore., on December 4th, 1897, viz:

JANE S. SEDWELL;

H. E. No. 9338 for the S ½ of S E ¼, S E ¼ of S W ¼ and lot 7 of Sec. 6, T. 2 S, R 6 E.

She names the following witnesses to prove her continuous residence upon and cultivation of said land, viz:

Lyman Herrick, Michael Kelly, Adolph Aschoff, Ernest Aschoff, all of Marmot, Oregon.

10-29, 12-3 CHAS. B. MOORES, Register.

The Marketing Point

OF CLACKAMAS COUNTY

The factory towns of the East are noted for affording the best market to the neighboring farmers and gardeners in proportion to the population of any of the towns in that section. The reason for this is that the people of these towns have a fixed income upon which they can always depend, and, as a consequence, they are liberal buyers, paying cash for all their purchases.

As the Great Manufacturing Center of the Pacific Coast

•• OREGON CITY ••

Is Coming to be One of the Best Marketing Towns in the State

This is proven every day by the number of farmers, who are to be seen on its streets selling their produce, who, until just the last few years, sought the markets of other towns. The system of macadamized roads that is being built into all parts of Clackamas county, will enable all the people of this county to share in the profitable market that Oregon City affords. If, as it is sure to do, the demands of Oregon City increase in the next five years as it has in the past five years, this city will rank next to Portland as a market place for

THE PRODUCE

of the Farmer

$1.00 —THE— $1.00

WEEKLY INTER OCEAN.

The Greatest Republican Paper of the West.

IT is the most *stalwart and unswerving Republican Weekly* published today and can always be relied upon for fair and honest reports of all political affairs.

The Weekly Inter Ocean Supplies All of the News and the Best of Current Literature.

It is Morally Clean, and as a Family Paper is Without a Peer.

Its Literary Columns are equal to those of the best magazines.

Its Youth's Department is the finest of its kind. . . . . .

It brings to the family the News of the Entire World and gives the best and ablest discussions of all questions of the day. The Inter Ocean gives twelve pages of reading matter each week and being published in Chicago is better adapted to the needs of the people west of the Alleghany Mountains than any other paper.

$1.00 PRICE ONE DOLLAR PER YEAR $1.00

The Daily and Sunday Editions of The Inter Ocean are the best of their kind . . . . { Price of Daily by mail.....$4.00 per year; Price of Sunday by mail.....$2.00 per year; Daily and Sunday by mail.....$6.00 per year. Address THE INTER OCEAN, Chicago.

A GREAT MAGAZINE OFFER.

3 FOR 1

3 FOR 1

The regular subscription price of "Demorest's Magazine," "Judge's Library," and "Funny Pictures" is $3.30 } We will send all three to you for one year for $2 or 6 months for $1.

"DEMOREST'S MAGAZINE" is by far the best family magazine published; there is none of our monthlies in which the beautiful and the useful, pleasure and profit, fashion and literature are so fully presented as in Demorest's. There is in fact, no publication pretending to a similar scope and purpose which can compare with it. Every number contains a free pattern coupon.

"JUDGE'S LIBRARY" is a monthly magazine of fun, filled with illustrations in caricature and repleted with wit and humor. Its contributors are the best of American wits and illustrators.

"FUNNY PICTURES" is another humorous monthly; there is a laugh in every line of it.

All three of these magazines are handsomely gotten up. You should not miss this chance to secure them.

Cut here and return Coupon properly filled out.

Demorest Publishing Co., 110 Fifth Avenue, New York.

For the enclosed $2.00 please send Demorest's Family Magazine, Judge's Library (a magazine of fun), and Funny Pictures for one year as per your offer.

Name.....

Post-office.....

Date..... State.....