

"No Interests to Serve but the People's"---Hawley

Look over his Voting Record in Congress and Determine whose Interests he HAS served during his eight years and then vote for Fred Hollister

(Oregon City Courier, Oct. 11, 1912.)

The following list will show you whether Congressman Hawley voted for Oregon's Interests or whether he betrayed the people.

Tuesday you voters will say who shall represent this district in Congress.

And I want to ask you who are out against trusts and big political ring at Washington what good will it do you to vote for a Democratic president and then send a congressman back to Washington to fight him?

Now get right down to brass tacks and do a little reasoning, thinking.

When a public official asks you to return him to any office, I don't care whether it is that of president of the greatest country on earth, or game warden for Clackamas County, that man should only ask if on the record he has made and you should only give it to him on that record.

Don't you know this?

The man who has made good, who has done what you voters have elected him to do—who has represented you by his vote and his influence—that man has a claim on you, and I don't care what his politics are you ought to return him and keep returning him as long as he rings true and delivers the goods.

And the same argument inverted should apply to the man who gives you the Judas Iscariot double cross.

Now then let us look at Congressman Willis Chatham Hawley of this district, and see how he has represented you men who made him congressman.

Let us look at his VOTING RECORD in the house of representatives, take him down the line on the important bills before congress, and see whether he voted in the interests of progressive Oregon—or whether he threw the harpoon into you.

Let us go back a couple of years ago, to March 19, 1910, to be exact, back to the time there went up a howl all over this land to take away from Czar Cannon his autocratic power, through which he practically controlled legislation.

And on this famous roll call, which would limit the speaker's power, and give to the minority a voice which would be heard—

WHERE WAS HAWLEY?

HE WAS WITH CANNON!

HE WAS AGAINST THE RESOLUTION.

And then on the resolution to move Cannon, to declare his office vacant, that a speaker might be elected who was not deaf in the ear turned toward the people—

WHERE WAS HAWLEY?

HE VOTED NO.

He voted NO. He stood by the stand pat Czar, while sentiment of Oregon was for deposing him. And Hawley KNEW what this sentiment was.

Where was Mr. Hawley on the passage of the Canadian reciprocity bill—a bill that would have had the same effect as reducing the tariff, on many articles?

HE VOTED NO.

On the matter of creating a tariff board—President Taft's excuse to dodge duty and favor the trusts. He voted YES—and congress has since abolished this board of politicians.

On the admission of Arizona to statehood. You haven't forgotten this, have you?—a matter with so vital a principle involved that every newspaper in the land had editorial comments on it. Taft VETOED this bill because it gave the Arizonians the recall of Judges.

Where was Hawley on the motion to recommit this bill and eliminate the recall provision?

"NOT VOTING."

Hawley, supposed to be representing pioneer Oregon, in the recall of public officials; Hawley, now posing like Ben Selling as a "progressive;" Hawley living under the laws of a state that provides for the recall of judges, NOT VOTING on this bill, which was a topic of discussion in nearly every man's mouth.

Did you men send him down to WASHINGTON NOT TO VOTE?

Did you send him there to dodge, when he hadn't sand enough to betray you?

And the bill to enlarge congress from 391 to 425? You voters were against this, were you not?

Where was Hawley?

"NOT VOTING."

And on the passage of the bill to put agricultural implements on the free list—a law that could have directly benefitted every farmer in Oregon—

Where was Hawley?

"NOT VOTING."

Did you send Mr. Hawley down to Washington to give the trusts half a vote on this bill that would have permitted YOU to buy a harvester as cheap as our trusts sell them to the Canadians? Did you elect Hawley NOT to vote on bills that would benefit you?

And the vote to pass the farmer's free list bill over the president's veto, and let in the many trust-controlled articles—

Where was Hawley?

He voted "NAY."

And the wool bill, to reduce the tariff on wool articles. This bill, if a law, would have reduced the price of every article from the stockings you wear to the hat on your head.

Where was Hawley?

"NOT VOTING."

Did you elect him to go down there and dodge these most important matters—the most important that ever came up in congress? Did you?

Did you elect him to vote half a vote for the high protected woolen mills of Lawrence, Mass., with its \$8.00 per week average pay roll?

Where was Hawley?

"NOT VOTING."

Wouldn't it have answered every purpose to have had Mr. Hawley stay in Salem, Oregon, during the most of the 62d congress and have sent his "nay" and "Not voting" proxy down to the Joe Cannon disciples? Oregon would have gotten just as much on these tariff schedules, and would have saved 20 cents per mile for hauling a "not voting" congressman.

And then on the vote to agree to the senate amendments and pass the cotton bill—

Where was Hawley?

HE VOTED "NAY."

And the bill to put sugar on the free list—one of the most important bills that ever came before congress, and one that would have taken \$100,000,000 tribute from the sugar trusts and given it to the people—

Where was Hawley?

"HE ANSWERED PRESENT."

Did you voters send Mr. Hawley down to Washington to vote to lower sugar two cents a pound, or did you send him down there to "answer present" when this bill came up?

Wouldn't you have thought more of him if he had come out and squarely turned you down, than to have sat like a dummy when men with red blood in their veins stood up and fought?

The iron and steel bill, to reduce the duties on metals and manufactured products—

Where was Hawley?

HE VOTED "NAY."

Did you send him down there to act as handy man for the steel trust—that great octopus that even its maker, Carnegie, says does not need further protection?

On the bill to tax white phosphorus in the use of matches so high that matches of this variety could not be manufactured in this country, because it bred the deadly "phossy jaw" in workers who handled it.

Where was Hawley?

"NOT VOTING."

Did you send him to Washington to help the match trust? Was he supposed to half represent a corporation or wholly represent a laborer on a matter of health and life?

What is your opinion of a "not voting" congressman representing a state where men lead?

And the tariff bill (the Payne Pickpocket Bill under which we pay tribute today)—

Where was Hawley?

Against placing hewn and squared lumber on the free list—"NAY."

Admitting lumber free from North and South America—"NAY."

Placing sawed lumber on the free list—"NAY."

Removing weight limit of 25 pounds on hides, would have practically put all hides on the free list—"NAY."

Amendment to re-commit Payne bill and place trust-controlled products on free list—"NAY."

Resolution calling for information on foreign wages—"PRESENT."

Final vote on passage of Payne bill—"NAY."

How many of these were for the interests of the voters of Oregon that Hawley voted against or refused to vote on?

Have you a list of the important matters that Mr. Hawley DIDN'T VOTE ON, and DID VOTE ON?

How many of them were against the Cannon stand pat bunch that runs our government?

How many of them were for the interests of the beef trust, the wool trust, the steel trust, the sugar trust?

How long are you going to send men down to Washington and pay them \$7,500 a year and 20 cents mileage to betray you?

Think these things over between now and November 5

vironments be so dull or uninformed, for it is easy to see that when we stop the money from being sent out of the state for saloon supplies it will be invested in homes and living in our own vicinity. How could that make against prosperity? Every dollar paid into a saloon is an economic waste as there are no benefits received.

So we need not hesitate to urge and vote for prohibition.

Besides, are we not in a measure our brother's keeper, and if we place a stumbling block in his way and he FALLS, THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR HIS FALL RESTS UPON OUR SHOULDERS.

Mrs. A. M. Waldron.

A LAW FOR PARKER

Asks People to Make One to Fit his Old Diploma

Molalla, Ore. Oct. 26, 1914.

Editor Courier:—

Painless (?) Parker's Dentistry Bill is a snake in the grass. A sneak and a deception. Parker has a personal grievance against the Oregon State Dental Board, and in his hypnosis, vitriolic soap-box oratory, and in his "PAID ADVERTISEMENTS" misrepresents the word "TRUST" to scare and better work the "Dear Common People" whom he would have the voters believe that he has very much at heart.

Parker's methods are simply those of the dental commercializers, growing rich from off the labors of others; where is their literature of scientific research? And the men that have given their life work and means for the advancement of den-

THINK BEFORE YOU VOTE

Irvine Wheeler asks you to Carefully Consider Nominees for Governor

Canby, Ore. 10-24-14.

Editor Courier:—

As there are several important measures to be voted upon this election it surely behooves the voter to carefully consider how he votes and why. I find a large percentage of the voters have failed to inform themselves concerning the measures, claiming the meaning of same is hard for them to understand and therefore they will vote no to all such measures, thereby possibly helping to enact into law the very measures, which if they properly understood the meaning of they would certainly vote against; to all such persons I would suggest find out who amongst the well informed are supporting measures in which you believe and understand and it seems probable that by following their ideas on the measures you don't understand you will likely safely vote in behalf of your own interests and for measures you would support if you understood them.

I would further suggest that if you find a man or measure is opposed by certain interests, these same interests being by all known natural laws opposed to your best interests, doesn't it seem simple enough that what they oppose you should naturally support; or in other words why do we find the paper full of opposition and support, respectively of certain men and measures, simply because the people opposing a measure generally, would lose some power or prestige in a monetary way if the

of the people generally, who has fought for us when the whole state practically was against him and who is largely responsible for most of the advanced laws we now admire; you will instantly know to whom I refer, you have tried first one man and then another and still you are dissatisfied as is evidenced on every hand; if by your past actions you are convinced you have not gained anything, will you longer continue the same tactics?

Wouldn't reason dictate that you now really try and do something that will likely result in direct benefit to yourselves, support the men who have supported you, you could scarcely do worse, if you tried your best, than you have been doing, if you are ready to admit this, then as you have nothing to lose but all to gain, support the man who pledges himself in no indefinite terms as to just what he will support and work for and his past history unequivocally bears out his statements; one who is original and is able to peer into the future, one who is so far in advance of the usual run of mankind, that you may possibly think him radical, but even if you are not able to keep up with his advanced ideas, you surely must know by now that following the usual Law Enforcement Ideas, Greater Oregon and China Labor Support has never gotten you out of the "rut" but on the other hand has gotten you further into it; vote once for your class, for your family, your home, state and nation, and against entrenched and predatory wealth which is always found fighting the People's Benefactor, who is none other than W. S. U'Ren.

Irvine Wheeler.

GETTING BETTER AFTER SICKNESS

Nothing has ever equaled or compared with the medicinal fats in Scott's Emulsion to arrest the decline, invigorate the blood, strengthen the nervous system, aid the appetite and restore the courage of better health.

Scott's Emulsion is pure health-building food, without alcohol or opiate.

TRY IT

Notice of Final Settlement

Notice is hereby given that the undersigned administrator of the estate of John Fish deceased, has filed in the County Court of Clackamas county, State of Oregon, her final account as such administratrix of said estate and that Tuesday, the 15th day of December, 1914, at the hour of 10:30 o'clock A. M. in the county court room, has been fixed by said Court as the time for hearing of objections to said report and the settlement thereof.

Rose Yenke,
Administratrix of the estate of John Fish, deceased.

Summons

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon, for the county of Clackamas.

Mattie Rambo, Plaintiff,
vs.
Henry P. Rambo, Defendant.

To Henry P. Rambo, Defendant.

In the name of the State of Oregon, you are required to appear and answer the complaint filed against you, in the above entitled suit, within six weeks from the date of the first publication of this summons, and if you fail to appear and answer said complaint on or before the 30th day of October, 1914, for want thereof, the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief prayed for in her complaint, to-wit: for a decree dissolving the bonds of matrimony now existing between the plaintiff and defendant; for the change of plaintiff's name to O'Brien, and for such other relief as to the Court may seem meet and equitable.

This summons is published pursuant to an order of the Honorable J. U. Campbell, judge of the above entitled court, made and dated on the 16th day of September, 1914, directing this summons to be published for six consecutive weeks, in the Oregon City Courier, a newspaper of general circulation, published at Oregon City, Oregon.

Date of first publication September 17, 1914; date of last publication October 29, 1914.

L. B. Reeder,
Attorney for Plaintiff,
607 Wilcox Bldg., Portland, Ore.

Summons

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Clackamas.

Lottie S. Wunder, Plaintiff,
vs.
Samuel H. Wunder, Defendant.

To Samuel H. Wunder, above named defendant:

In the name of the state of Oregon you are hereby required to appear and answer the complaint against you on or before the expiration of six weeks from the date of the first publication of this summons, to-wit: on or before November 26th, 1914. And if you fail to so appear and answer said complaint, plaintiff will apply to the Court for the relief demanded therein, to-wit: For a decree dissolving the bonds of matrimony heretofore and now existing between plaintiff and defendant, and for care, custody and control of Clara E. Wunder, minor child of plaintiff and defendant, and for such other and further relief as to this Court may seem meet and equitable.

This summons is published by order of Hon. J. U. Campbell, Circuit Judge in and for the Fifth Judicial District; the first publication to be Thursday, the 15th day of October and last publication to be Thursday, the 26th day of November, 1914.

Dimick & Dimick,
Attorneys for Plaintiff.



EXCUSES WILL NOT EXCUSE YOU

If you have been injured or your family has been injured by the wreck of a carriage that needed repairing. Don't take any risks of life or limb. If your carriage or wagon is defective, bring it to us and we will make it sound and as good as new.

Owen G. Thomas

The Courier and the twice-a-week Portland Journal, three papers each week for \$1.75 is some bargain.

SUPREME COURT TO BLAME

F. M. Gill Explains Registration Law—Praises Representative Schuebel

Dufur, Ore. Oct. 9, 1914.

Editor Courier:—

I note that Mr. Hagemann of Oak Grove has been criticizing Hon. C. Schuebel because he voted for the Gill Permanent Registration law. Did it ever occur to these critics to put the blame for the failure of this law upon the Supreme Court where it rightfully belongs? These critics do not know that Justice McBride, and I think Justice McNary, desired to declare this law constitutional, but that four others with technical deficiencies refused to consider the decision desired by Justice McBride. The law provided that a certificate of registration which it was only necessary to display when the citizen was voting out of his home precinct should be given. To prevent the possibility of repeating I provided that this certificate could only be renewed when lost by fire, flood, or other natural calamities. The members of the court felt that this might prevent some citizen from using his franchise. McBride and McNary held that it would not cause any inconvenience before the legislature again met, and this point could be remedied then. This was the only point that the conflicting opinions of the members of the court could not get together on, and because of it the law was destroyed. The present law disfranchises 20 men where this new law might have disfranchised one. So put the blame of the failure of this law where it belongs,—with the Supreme Court.

This same body declared constitutional the special referendum election law which cost Oregon taxpayers about \$150,000. Some of the best lawyers of the state considered this law unconstitutional. These two decisions cost the people of the state thousands of dollars. The men who compose the supreme court are humans who are just as liable to make mistakes as members of the legislature.

Now a few words in behalf of Mr. Schuebel. Do his critics know that when he was sick in the St. Vincent's Hospital in Dec. 1912, certain corporations men expressed the hope that he might die so that he could not be in the legislature to press his bill to make the paper mill employers work their men in eight hour shifts?

I know that Mr. Schuebel risked his health and life every day that

that he sat in the legislature. On Thanksgiving day 1912, he was stricken with typhoid fever. When the fever was broken he was hurried to the St. Vincent hospital in Portland for an operation for the removal of gall stones. When he reached Salem at the beginning of the session an inch and a half of the incision had not healed and it had not healed entirely at the close of the session. Under these conditions, am I not right in saying that he risked his life every day he sat in the session? If he had been a colonel in the army and had faced the enemy under these conditions his name would have been on every tongue as a national hero.

I sat at the same desk with him and I know that he earnestly and devotedly worked for the people of Clackamas every day of the session. Gov. West recently said that the Clackamas Co. delegation was honest and truly represented the people. Mr. Schuebel deserved this endorsement from the Governor. He is one of the finest of Christian gentlemen I have ever known.

F. M. Gill, Candidate for Gov.

The semi-weekly Journal and the Courier both one year \$1.75.

It neutralizes poisons, subdues inflammation, relaxes contracted muscles and restores healthy conditions. For healing cuts, wounds, burns or scalds, there is nothing like it in the whole list of curative agents. It cures by a mild power that is more effective than the strong, harsh liniments. When rubbed in for rheumatic pains, neuralgia or sciatica, its wonderful penetrating and relieving influence is very gratifying. It is an all-around household liniment that is useful in a thousand ways and its application is always followed by beneficial results.

Price 50c and \$1.00.

James Ballard, Prop., St. Louis, Mo.

Use Stephens Eye Salve for sore eyes. It cures.

Jones Drug Co., Oregon City.

SOME MEASURES

As a Woman Voter Looks at Them, and her Advice to Others

Some of our women asked for—and the men of our state granted us—the franchise. To part of the women it is an esteemed privilege, to others an irksome task, and there are still others who do not feel it their duty. But when we consider the greatness of it, we must acknowledge it a privilege and a duty that should arouse all the strength of our womanhood to prove worthy of the trust. Let us give serious consideration and vote as intelligently as possible.

There are so many amendments and measures to be voted upon that we will have to read, study and ask questions. I have a plan that I think will be helpful. As soon as I come to a conclusion I jot it down, the number, yes or no, also names of candidates, just as I intend to vote. I shall mark my ballot by my memorandum sheet. This plan will relieve my nerve tension, save confusion and give me confidence in the final test.

In regard to the \$1,500 exemption measure there is almost as great diversity of opinion as there are numbers of people. The wise men on one side tell us how the \$1,500 exemption will lead us all to prosperity and the wise ones on the other side tell us to beware of the trap, and it seems to me that a proposition of so complex a nature—so non-understandable—is a good thing to turn down.

If we would repeal the household exemption, make church property assessable, and enforce the laws we now have, that is, assess all property at its real value, everybody pays their just taxes, thereby they will be no need of mysterious measures that may prove beneficial or otherwise. I am holding a blank space on my memorandum sheet and am glad I have 14 days more to investigate and consider.

Once more I will mention prohibition, as lately I have heard of an old couple who are hesitating about voting for prohibition fearing the effect on prosperity. This old couple belongs to a church, are intelligent Christian-appearing people, but how can Christian people accept prosperity at the sacrifice of manhood, soul and body, the happiness and welfare of women and children?

NO CHRISTIAN COULD CONSCIENTIOUSLY ACCEPT PROSPERITY AT SUCH A PRICE.

How can people living in such en-

tistry? They have none.

The present Oregon Dental Law is adequate for all present needs to protect the people from fakers. This personal P. P. has the brazen effrontery and gall to ask the voters to enact a law to fit his old two-year old diploma something the rest of the United States would not recognize, thus throwing down the bars and rolling back down grade for forty years from the tide of advancement, merely to admit questionable characters, would be a direct slap in the face to all the learned professions and educational institutions of the State of Oregon.

According to Painless (?) Parker's arguments, all the school boards and teachers organizations for the advancement in the state are "trusts" and any old certificate holder of any character, that fails to pass examination, may get up a measure and become a noted "Trust Buster."

"Fair Play" however from "sheep's clothing."

This vicious measure is as a serpent that has tackled more than it can quite swallow. Its fangs are set the process of digestion now indicated in its diamond eyes. Let every intelligent voter be at the CLINICAL OPERATION early on Nov. 3rd, and assist in extracting the serpent's "Dentistry Bill" fangs and rattlers, with a club size number X 341 NO. This will put the serpent harmless and as eatable as an eel.

J. W. Thomas.

same became enacted into law.

Just because some measure is new to you and you do not understand it does not by any means imply that it isn't genuine merit, if no one advanced any new ideas, we surely would not be known as "Progressive Oregon" and we might continue this investigation a little further and reason will show that there is a cause for every new measure being put forth, that certain people will profit by its enactment and those who oppose it of necessity believe they would lose by its enactment.

As to candidates who have worked for your interests in season and out of season, isn't it natural to suppose that anyone who has spent years in time and many dollars in support of principles in which you believe and which are for your best interests will continue to do so and don't you in simple justice to yourself as well as to the candidate owe it to any candidate who stands for your best interests even if he should not be elected, than to support one who may possibly be elected, but who when elected will not do anything to advance the cause in which you believe? It is simply by these continued votes of protest that the dominant parties are beginning to listen to the under current and noting that if they do not give the people some relief and some justice that they will surely soon be swept into oblivion.

We consider the office of Governor the most important of any in our state, we may inquire who amongst the present candidates has for years stood for the best interests

Dufur, Ore. Oct. 18, 1914.

Editor Courier:—

Replying to the questions of the State Grange Executive Committee, I wish to say that if I am elected governor, I will oppose the use of the "Emergency Clause" unless its use is in the interest of the people. I have always objected to the abuse of its use.

I will not sanction the repeal or amendment of the people's laws made by the initiative unless their operation proves them not to be in the interest of the people in which case I would sanction their amendment in the interest of the people.

I have always supported the people's laws and the Oregon System and will continue to do so.

F. M. Gill.

THE SHOVELCRAT

This little pamphlet of the Single Tax, designed to show the absurdity of land monopoly and incidentally of all monopoly, was recently reprinted in the Courier.

For propaganda purposes, copies in lots of 50 or more, will be furnished at two cents per copy (\$1 per hundred.)

Timby's Book Store, Ashland, Ore.

Foley Cathartic Tablets

You will like their positive action. They have a tonic effect on the bowels, and give a wholesome, thorough cleaning to the entire bowel tract. Stir the liver to healthy activity and keep stomach sweet. Constipation, headache, dull, tired feeling never afflict those who use Foley Cathartic Tablets. Only 25c. Jones Drug Co.