and
SOME THOULHTS

| average of very nearly 860 per acres and the non-fillable land at very nearly 815 per acre |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Her the purposit of this caicu- |  |
| what they would have paid under single tax, I have placed forthem in both cases 200,000 aeres |  |
|  |  |
| single tax, them in both cases 200,000 acres of non-tillable lawe at $\$ 18$ per |  |
| acre, being 83 above the general average In the single tax esti- |  |
| nate 1 have placed the tillableland at 820 per acre, being 85 land at 820 per acre, being 85atove the general average for non-tillable land. Here is my es- |  |
|  | EEHO OF HILL FAMILY MURDER |
|  1911 assessment: |  |
|  |  |
| Tillable, 97,211 acres, $85,762,170$ |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Improvements on lands } 82,015,075 \\ \text { Farm implements } \ldots .8 & 150,360\end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| This is 46 and $38-100$ per centof the total assesseed prop, 826 ,-325,286 .Under a straight single land |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 328,063 , at 815 per acre, $84,-920$,945 . <br> Town and city lots, 82,869,- |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| sessment, and consequently of the total tax. Estimating that the |  |
| would make the total tax raised for all purposes, 20 mills , the to-tal tax was $8526,505.72$ and 4 4-1 81 - 00 - |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| sioners' assessment. Deductmove than twiee that amount from the commissioners 1911 assessment and add the remaining |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\$ 4,000,000$ to above for franchises and rights of way, and thenthe farmers' assessment would be |  |
|  |  |
| 31 and 98 - 100 per cent of ot taland they would save 14 and $4-10$ and they would save 14 and $4-10$per cent of the total taxes,which would amount to the tidy sum of |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| would amount to the tidy sum of 876,816. |  |
| Of course these flgures will not n ean anything to my farmerfriend, George Hieinbothem. His X-Ray mind can see through a |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | they do not know it themselves,and when in places where single |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| (tax has been in operation for |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| a means to prevenof labor created |  |
| 1 do not think it can be disputed that an actual sinble taxassessment would be much more |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| axantageous to farmers than |  |
|  |  |
| sions to give a severe test. As to the future, the wild land always |  |
|  |  |
| The furere, will be there whether cleared or not. It will not shrink up or blow not. tt will not shrink up or blow |  |
| up suddenly on acoount of a taxthat is a small per cent of ils |  |
|  |  |
| and if they did, it would only be Their loss and a public gain. Theysay if specuintors wero done |  |
|  |  |
| away with and farmers should own all the land, farmers would |  |
| have to pay all the taxes. Where would be the disadvantage to us |  |
|  |  |
| lative tax payers that would im- |  |
|  |  |
| prove the country and give a the taxable value of all land. |  |
|  |  |
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