



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR THE COUNTY OF LANE, John Alvin Porter, Petitioner, and Denise Leann Porter, Respondent. Case No. 20DR15464 SUMMONS FOR FAMILY LAW CASE. To: Denise Leann Porter, Home Address: Unknown, Work Address: None. Your spouse, partner, or child's parent has filed a Petition asking for: Divorce or dissolution of your registered domestic partnership (RDP). NOTICE TO RESPONDENT: READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY! You must "appear" in this case or the other side will win automatically. To "appear" you just file a legal paper called a "Response" or a motion. Response forms are available through the court above or online at www.courts.oregon.gov. Talk to a lawyer for information about appearing by motion. Your Response must be filed with the court clerk at the court named above within 30 days of the date of first publication specified herein: 01/14/21, along with required filing fee (go to www.courts.oregon.gov for fee information). It must be in proper form and you must show that the Petitioner's lawyer (or the Petitioner if he or she does not have a lawyer) was formally served with a copy of the Response according to the service rules. Service rules are included in Instructions for Respondents, available at www.courts.oregon.gov. If you have questions, see a lawyer immediately. If you need help finding a lawyer, you can call the Oregon State Bar's Lawyer Referral Service at 503.684.3763 or toll free in Oregon at 800.452.7636, or go to www.oregonstatebar.org. /s/ John Alvin Porter, P.O. Box 1289, Springfield, OR 97477, (503)754-1420. (Attach to Summons per ORS 107.093(5)) NOTICE OF STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER PREVENTING DISSIPATION OF ASSETS IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS ACTIONS. REVIEW THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY. BOTH PARTIES MUST OBEY EACH PROVISION OF THIS ORDER TO AVOID VIOLATING THE LAW. SEE INFORMATION ON YOUR RIGHT TO A HEARING BELOW. TO THE PETITIONER AND RESPONDENT: Under ORS 107.093 and UTCR 8.080, neither Petitioner nor Respondent may: **INSURANCE POLICIES:** (1) Cancel, modify, terminate or allow to lapse for nonpayment of premiums any policy of health insurance, homeowner or renter insurance, or automobile insurance that one party maintains to provide coverage for the other party or a minor child of the parties, or any life insurance policy that names either of the parties or a minor child of the parties as a beneficiary. **INSURANCE BENEFICIARIES:** (2) Change beneficiaries or covered parties under any policy of health insurance, homeowner or renter insurance, or automobile insurance that one party maintains to provide coverage for the other party or a minor child of the parties, or any life insurance policy. **PROPERTY:** (3) Transfer, encumber, conceal, or dispose of property in which the other party has an interest, in any manner, without written consent of the other party or an order of the court, except in the usual course of business or for necessities of life. (A) Paragraph (3) does not apply to payment by either party of: (i) Attorney

fees in this action; (ii) Real estate and income taxes; (iii) Mental health therapy expenses for either party or a minor child of the parties; or (iv) Expenses necessary to provide for safety and welfare of party or a minor child of the parties. **EXPENSES:** (4) Make extraordinary expenditures without providing written notice and an accounting of the extraordinary expenditures to the other party. This does not apply to payment of expenses necessary to provide for the safety and welfare of a party or a minor party of the parties. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** The above provisions are in effect immediately upon service of the Petition and Summons on the respondent. They remain in effect until a final judgment is issued, until the petition is dismissed or until future order of the court. **RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING:** Either Petitioner or Respondent may request a hearing to modify or revoke one or more terms of this restraining order, by filing with the court the Request for Hearing re: Statutory Restraining Order form specified in Form 8.080.2 in the UTCR Appendix of Forms.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ADA, JUSTIN BRADLEY DAVIS, Petitioner, vs. DANNA LEE OLSON, Respondent. CASE NO. CV01-20-19281 SUMMONS BY PUBLICATION TO: DANNA LEE OLSON you have been sued by Justin Bradley Davis, the Petitioner in the District Court in and for Ada County, Idaho, Case No. CV01-20-19281. The nature of the claim against you is for Divorce. Any time after 21 days following the last publication of this Summons, the court may enter a judgment against you without further notice, unless prior to that time you have filed a written response in the proper form, including the case number, and paid any required filing fee to the Clerk of the Court at 200 W. Front Street, Boise, Idaho 83702, physical address (200 W. Front Street, Boise, Idaho) and telephone number of the district court clerk) (208)287-6900 and served a copy of your response on the other party, whose mailing address and telephone number are: Jennifer M. Schindele, P.O. Box 827, Boise, Idaho 83701. A copy of the Summons and Petition for Divorce can be obtained by contacting either the Clerk of the Court or Bevis, Thiry & Schindele, P.A. at 208-345-1040. If you wish legal assistance, you should immediately retain an attorney to advise you in this matter. Date: 12/31/2020, Ada County District Court: /s/ Phil McGrane. Typed/printed name: Kristi Weekley, By: /s/ Kristi Weekley, Deputy Clerk. JENNIFER M. SCHINDELE ISB #6811, BEVIS, THIRY & SCHINDELE, P.A., 412 E. Parkcenter Blvd., Ste. 211, P.O. Box 827 Boise, Idaho, 83701-0827, Telephone: (208) 345-1040, Facsimile: (208) 345-0365, E-serve: admin@bevislaw.com ATTORNEYS FOR PETITIONER.

NOTICE TO INTERESTED PERSONS NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the undersigned has been appointed Personal Representative of the ESTATE OF FRANK EDWIN WENDT, deceased, Lane County

Probate Case No. 20PB08796. Any person having a claim against the Estate is required to present their claim(s), with vouchers attached, to Kelly McCorkle, PR, Estate of Frank Edwin Wendt, c/o Alan R. Buchalter, 399 East 10th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon 97401, within four months after the date of first publication of this Notice, or the claim(s) may be barred. All persons whose rights may be affected by the proceedings may obtain additional information from the records of the Court, the Personal Representative, or the attorney for the Personal Representative. Dated and first published: 01/07/21. /s/ Kelly McCorkle, Personal Representative, PO Box 915, Veneta, Oregon 97487, ATTORNEY FOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE: Alan R. Buchalter, OSB No. 922427, 399 East 10th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon 97401 (541) 484-4414

NOTICE TO INTERESTED PERSONS THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE STATE OF OREGON FOR LANE COUNTY - PROBATE DEPARTMENT Case No. 20PB09073 In the matter of the Estate of Adrienne Lee Coleman, Decedent, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Bruce Burke has been appointed personal representative. All persons having claims against the estate are required to present them, with vouchers attached, to the aforementioned personal representative c/o Northwest Legal, Attn: Jinoo Hwang, 975 Oak Street, Suite 700, Eugene, OR 97401, within four months after the date of first publication of this notice, or the claims may be barred. All persons whose rights may be affected by the proceedings may obtain additional information from the records of the court, the personal representative, or attorney for the personal representative, Northwest Legal, Attn: Jinoo Hwang, 975 Oak Street, Suite 700, Eugene, OR 97401.

NOTICE TO INTERESTED PERSONS NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the undersigned has been appointed Personal Representative of the ESTATE OF MARY KATHRYN KEHAUNANI CLARK-ALEXANDER, deceased, Lane County Probate Case No. 20PB08862. Any person having a claim against the Estate is required to present their claim(s), with vouchers attached, to Brian M. Alexander, PR, Estate of Mary Kathryn Kehaunani Clark-Alexander, c/o Alan R. Buchalter, 399 East 10th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon 97401, within four months after the date of first publication of this Notice, or the claim(s) may be barred. All persons whose rights may be affected by the proceedings may obtain additional information from the records of the Court, the Personal Representative, or the attorney for the Personal Representative. Dated and first published: 01/07/21. /s/ Brian M. Alexander, Personal Representative, 1265 Taney Street, Eugene, Oregon 97402, ATTORNEY FOR PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE: Alan R. Buchalter, OSB No. 922427, 399 East 10th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon 97401, (541) 484-4414

SAVAGE LOVE

Case Disclosed
BY DAN SAVAGE



I could really use your advice. I recently found my boyfriend's HIV meds while I was house sitting for him and went into his cupboard for a multivitamin. We've been dating for a year, and I had assumed he was negative. I'm negative myself and on PrEP, and he is undetectable, so I know there is essentially zero risk of me getting infected, but we agreed to some degree of "openness" at the start of the relationship — having threesomes together — and I recently found a guy we'd like to invite over. I'm trying to get over the feeling of betrayal from the fact that my boyfriend hid his status from me for so long but I'm fine with continuing the relationship knowing his status now. The thing is, he told me that only five people on Earth know and his mother, whom he talks to almost every day, isn't one of them. He says being poz has really fucked with his self-esteem and that he has had suicidal thoughts because of his status. Is it unreasonable for me to expect him to disclose his status to guys who join us in bed? What about asking him to share with a therapist or "come out" as poz to his mother? I really love him and just want him to be happy and healthy.

— Wannabe Ethical And Supportive Slut

If you're worrying about HIV at the moment, WEASS, you're worrying about the wrong virus. Unless you're lucky enough to live in New Zealand, you and the boyfriend shouldn't be inviting men over for threesomes right now.

Assuming you do live in New Zealand... I don't think your boyfriend is morally obligated to disclose that he's HIV-positive to a casual sex partner, WEASS, but in some states he is legally obligated to disclose that fact. While rarely enforced, these HIV disclosure laws almost always have the opposite of their intended effect. Instead of creating a culture of testing and disclosure, these laws disincentivize getting tested — because someone who doesn't know they're HIV-positive can't get in trouble for failing to disclose.

These laws were passed decades ago, back when contracting HIV was perceived — mostly accurately — as a death sentence. But they don't reflect what it means to have HIV today or to sleep with someone who has HIV today. Having even unprotected sex now with someone who is HIV-positive and has an undetectable viral load is less risky than having protected sex with someone who hasn't been tested. Condom or no condom, the HIV-positive guy with an undetectable viral load — undetectable thanks to meds like the ones your boyfriend is taking — can't infect someone with HIV. Undetectable equals non transmissible. But a guy who assumes he's HIV-negative because he was the last time he got tested or because he's never been tested? That guy could be HIV-positive and could infect someone with HIV — even if he does use a condom, which could leak or break. (There are lots of other STIs out there we should be using condoms to protect ourselves from, including a nasty strain of antibiotic-resistant gonorrhea, but we're just talking HIV here.)

In answer to your question, WEASS, I think it would be unreasonable for you to force your boyfriend to disclose his HIV status to the person you want to invite over for a threesome — but, again, HIV disclosure laws might require your boyfriend to disclose.

Now if the presumably sexually-active, sexually-adventurous gay man you're thinking about having over to your place in Christchurch isn't an idiot, WEASS, he'll know your boyfriend — the guy with the undetectable viral load — presents no threat to him, at least where HIV is concerned. And while you absolutely shouldn't out your boyfriend, WEASS, you could raise the general subject of sexual safety and see how this guy reacts. If he seems reasonable — particularly if he mentions being on PrEP, too — he's probably not gonna freak out about your boyfriend being HIV-positive for the exact same reason you didn't: there's zero chance your boyfriend could infect him with HIV. (We're both assuming this guy isn't HIV-positive himself, WEASS, which he might be.) If he seems reasonable you should encourage your boyfriend to disclose to him. Being told it's no big deal from someone your boyfriend wants to fuck before he fucks him could help your boyfriend feel less insecure about his HIV status.

Finally, you can't order your boyfriend to come out to his mom about being HIV-positive, WEASS, but you might inspire him to. He obviously worries that people will judge him or shame for being HIV-positive; that's one of the reasons he hid it from you — and, yes, he should have disclosed his HIV status to you sooner. He obviously underestimated you: you didn't reject him when you stumbled over his meds after tearing apart the cupboards in his absence while you were searching for — what was it again? Oh, right: a multivitamin. (Sure.) Anyway, WEASS, tell your boyfriend he's most likely underestimating his mother in the same way he underestimated you — then let him make his own decisions about who to tell and when.

I'm a submissive straight guy who finally — FINALLY — met a woman who is open to my main kinks: bondage and cuckolding. I'm into handcuffs and leg irons, so the bondage part was easy (she didn't have to learn to do shibari), but the cuckolding part is a lot trickier to realize during a pandemic. She ended a longstanding FWB arrangement with a coworker when we began to get serious a year ago. Her former FWB is a safe choice, emotionally-speaking, since there was no romantic interest on either side, and he's safe where COVID-19 is concerned, since they are in a "pod" at work. (And they'll both be vaccinated soon!) She keeps saying he's the perfect bull but he's not right for me — which is a weird thing for me to say, since I'm not the one who'll be sleeping with him. I don't want to sound conceited, but I'm much better looking than he is and I'm also better hung. My cuckold fantasies revolve around my girlfriend fucking a guy who's hotter than me and better hung than I am. I worked with a therapist for a long time — not to "cure" me of my kinks, but to better understand them. And what I came to is this: it's both deeply threatening (in an erotic way) for my girlfriend to fuck someone who's "better" than me and deeply reassuring (in an emotional way) when she chooses to be with me when she could be with a "better" man.

— Better Example Than This Erotic Rival

Something about this guy works for your girlfriend — there's a reason she keeps bringing him up — and if you want to have a future with this woman and you want cuckolding to be a part of that future, BETTER, then going with someone she's comfortable with the first time/ few times she fucks you is a really good idea. And while he may not be better looking than you or have a bigger dick, BETTER, he's gotta be "better" than you are in some other objective sense — better educated, makes better money, better at eating pussy, etc. Surely there's something about him your girlfriend can throw in your face that tweaks your insecurities (when she heads off to fuck him) and meets your need for reassurance (when she comes back to you). And how do you know your dick is bigger than his? Because your girlfriend told you it was. You might want to ask her if she lied about his dick being smaller than yours, BETTER, because that's definitely the kind of lie women tell new boyfriends about their exes and old FWBs. Given a chance to walk that back, BETTER, your girlfriend very well might — and it might even be true.

While we are discussing the social ramifications, etymologies, synonyms, etc., of ejaculate (noun and verb) and orgasm, can I throw in a request to alter the course of popularity for another word as well? It's this: "girl." I cannot stand to see that word used to describe a woman. "I'm seeing this girl..." Oh, you're seeing a "girl"? Is she 12? If an individual is seeing a "girl" and that individual is 30, that is pedophilia. Now, if an individual is seeing a woman, and she happens to be approximately the same age (or older or younger within legal parameters) and there is mutual consent, that's fine. But if an individual is seeing a "girl," that isn't right.

— Woman Over Regular Degradation

If an individual is seeing a pre-pubescent minor, that's pedophilia and child rape. If an individual is seeing a pubescent minor, that's hebephilia and either child rape or statutory rape. If a person is seeing an adult and casually refers to that adult person as a girl, that's not pedophilia or hebephilia or child rape or statutory rape. I mean, come on. There's a huge difference between someone affectionately referring to a new partner as a girl/girlfriend — or a boy/boyfriend — and someone, say, dismissively and intentionally infantilizing adult female coworkers or political leaders. Just as I wouldn't hear "girls night out" and assume that meant underage drinking, I wouldn't assume someone who said they were seeing a girl — or dating a boy — was sleeping with a 12 year old child. But that's just me.