



**If more of us valued food and cheer and song above
hoarded gold, it would be a merrier world.**

- J. R. R. Tolkien -

(Continued from page 1)

Regarding water, Chmelir said that city staff and interested developers concur that rather than crossing 199 at the design location, the 10-inch line should be extended along the west side of 199 to the medical center/Laurel Pines intersection. The line then will be available for future extension to Kerby; and the proposed line will cross 199

“Thank you” was the message delivered by student government leaders Sarah Bacon and Steve Monroe to the Rogue Community College Board of Education and President Peter Angstadt.

“A month ago we delivered letters protesting against raising tuition,” said Monroe during the Tuesday night, May 17 board meet-

Chmelir said that the project owners and developers are working with Larry Osborne, another major developer in Cave Junction. Osborne will extend the 8-inch line in Hanby to the west side of the med center/subdivision intersection. Osborne's cooperation will assist Laurel Pines, as the grant project for the medical center will not begin until spring 2006. As a temporary measure, the wa-

ing. "Now we're back with letters expressing appreciation and gratitude. Your decision will make it possible for many more students to attend RCC in the future."

Monroe, associated students commissioner of representation at Redwood Campus; and Bacon, commissioner of representation at Riverside Campus, also presented each member a helium-filled balloon reading, "Thank you."

The RCC board voted unanimously last month to maintain the current rate of \$59 per credit. Angstadt expressed optimism that state legislators will come through with adequate funding for community colleges.

By keeping tuition at current levels, RCC would help support lawmakers' efforts, he said. Tuition increases result in lower enrollments, Angstad added, noting that RCC must strive to remain affordable and accessible.

The board retained the authority to revisit the tuition rate depending on the outcome of state funding.

Also, during a budget hearing, the RCC Board and Advisory Committee approved RCC's proposed 2005-06 fiscal budget. At \$28,276,629 the proposed budget is just 2.1 percent more than the current year's budget of \$28,688,826. Final step in the budgeting process is board approval during the June 21 meeting.

Not all the news was cheery. Even if the Legisla-

ter source will come from the east along Hwy. 199. The grant project eventually will be interconnected to the line across the highway.

The two LLCs involved in Laurel Pines will participate in sanitary sewer pump station improvements up to \$12,000. Storm water will be discharged into George Creek once necessary permits are obtained.

The developers plan to save as many trees as possible "because that is what buyers want on their lots."

ture approves the \$440 million community colleges are seeking, RCC stands to lose approximately \$300,000 to \$600,000 in state revenues next year due to a new, proposed funding formula being proposed, Angstadt said.

The Oregon State Board of Education wants to retool the formula so that students at all community colleges would be funded at the same level. However that means property taxes from some community college districts, RCC included, would be redistributed to other districts with lower taxing authority to achieve equalization.

A hearing on the issue was set for May 20 in Salem. Angstadt said that RCC officials will take a proactive approach. In other business the board heard a second reading OK'ing the 2005-06 fee schedule. Three changes are proposed: a 5 percent (of account balance) penalty for nonpayment; a \$5 fee for an official transcription plus \$1 for each additional copy; and a \$45 late

Support the merchants who advertise in the 'I.V. News'

Name of Fund		Actual Data Last Year 2003-04	Adopted Budget This year 2004-05	Approved Budget Next Year 2005-06
1. Total Personal Services.....				
2. Total Materials and Services.....				
3. Total Capital Outlay.....		15000		
4. Total Debt Service.....				
5. Total Transfers.....			15000	15000
6. Total Contingencies.....				
7. Total All Other Expenditures and Requirements				
8. Total Unappropriated Ending Fund Balance.....		70000	63845	52908
9. Total Requirements.....		85000	78845	67908
10. Total Resources Except Property Taxes.....		85000	78845	67908

Name of Fund		Actual Data Last Year 2003-04	Adopted Budget This year 2004-05	Approved Budget Next Year 2005-06
1. Total Personal Services.....				
2. Total Materials and Services.....				
3. Total Capital Outlay.....				
4. Total Debt Service.....				
5. Total Transfers.....				
6. Total Contingencies.....				
7. Total All Other Expenditures and Requirements				
8. Total Unappropriated Ending Fund Balance.....				
9. Total Requirements.....		0	0	0
10. Total Resources Except Property Taxes.....				

Name of Fund		Actual Data Last Year 2003-04	Adopted Budget This year 2004-05	Approved Budget Next Year 2005-06
1. Total Personal Services.....				
2. Total Materials and Services.....				
3. Total Capital Outlay.....				
4. Total Debt Service.....				
5. Total Transfers.....				
6. Total Contingencies.....				
7. Total All Other Expenditures and Requirements				
8. Total Unappropriated Ending Fund Balance.....				
9. Total Requirements.....		0	0	0
10. Total Resources Except Property Taxes.....				

Name of Fund		Actual Data Last Year 2003-04	Adopted Budget This year 2004-05	Approved Budget Next Year 2005-06
1. Total Personal Services.....				
2. Total Materials and Services.....				
3. Total Capital Outlay.....				
4. Total Debt Service.....				
5. Total Transfers.....				
6. Total Contingencies.....				
7. Total All Other Expenditures and Requirements				
8. Total Unappropriated Ending Fund Balance.....				
9. Total Requirements.....		0	0	0
10. Total Resources Except Property Taxes.....				