

ASG collapse is obvious to students

This year ASG seemed to be run on the premise "It is better to look good than to feel good."

After weathering the storm of an election campaign "scandal" in '87, Faith Taylor's administration looked as if it might do good things for the college.

Inexperience and a slow start from the gate hampered even their attempts to show movies during the school year. In October they even showed "Dirty Dancing" illegally. Dances had poor turnouts along with blood drives which could barely even get people to sign up.

There were some successes. The mini-programs usually had large turnouts and the Family Night movies always did well.

Overall ASG did a fine job, if that job was hiding. President Taylor was rarely around and even now she is in Oklahoma for two weeks to see her brother graduate, and she is the President. Many students on campus do not even know what ASG stands

for. It stands for Associated Student Government.

This is the reason that ASG was never able to get enough senators to sign up. How can one expect to sign up for a job that they know nothing about? Garrett as you come into office, take this to heart: if you want good people for the job, you have to advertise and entice people to come work for you.

Lack of dedication was also a key factor in ASG's periodic failures. President Taylor was almost forced to resign from office; ASG has a rule if you miss three meetings in row and these are unexcused then you will be let go.

Overall I would describe this year as a taste test. There was a lot hoopla anytime something was done but when everything was all over it left you hungry and unfulfilled.

Garrett, I make you and Tim this challenge: feed the students what they want.

-Rick Piller

House Bill 3482 step in right direction

The industrial revolution is long over, and it is time for us to start becoming responsible inhabitants of planet earth. Oregon House Bill 3482 is one step toward becoming an environmentally responsible society.

HB 3482 would place a surcharge on disposable packaging, with half of the revenue going to recycling programs and the other half to wildlife preservation projects. The interesting thing about HB 3482 is that it is being endorsed by both environmentalists AND industrialists.

The recycling aspect of the bill has a great potential for the plastics industry. The bill will make plastic containers more expensive, but it is certain that this cost will be passed directly to the consumer. The plastic industry actually has a lot to gain from this bill. New recycling technology has broadened the horizons of the plastics field. Just look at the various structures at the John Inskip Environmental Learning Center - the ELC has utilized recycled plastics in the form of "lumber" to produce a number of structures that will never succumb to the elements. HB 3482 would provide more money for programs that produce such plastic "lumber," and the plastics industry would have a new product that is relatively cheap

to produce.

There is a hidden benefit for the disposable container industry in HB 3482. These companies are often the brunt of bad public opinion because of the nature of the product they produce - their products create pollution problems when they are carelessly discarded into the environment. The recent banning of polystyrene foam containers in Portland is a good example of public reaction to the industry. But support of HB 3482 by the disposable container industry will help repair the industry's image and provide valuable PR.

The other advantage to HB 3482 is an increase in funds for wildlife programs. Wildlife preservation programs are often lacking in operating funds (quite a few operate through donations), and this bill would provide money for the important work these groups perform. With the large number of species on the endangered list it is good that there may finally be a chance to fund programs to help those animals.

All things considered, HB 3482 is a great idea. The bill may cause some grumbling if the prices of packaged goods rise as a result, but a clean environment full of wildlife is worth ANY price.

Can ASG be fixed?



Read the

Clackamas Print



Editorial Policy

The Clackamas Print welcomes Letters to the Editor. Such letters must be signed or will not be printed. Letters must not exceed 250 words and should be typewritten or neatly printed. Letters can be turned into The Clackamas Print offices in Trailer B North of Randall Hall. The Clackamas Print reserves the right to edit Letters to the Editor for grammar, obscenities and libelous material. Letters to the Editor must be turned in Friday in order to be printed in the following Wednesday's edition.

The Clackamas Print

The Clackamas Print aims to be a fair and impartial newspaper covering the college community. Opinions expressed in The Clackamas Print do not necessarily reflect those of the college administration, faculty or Associated Student Government. Articles and information printed in this newspaper can be re-printed only with written permission from the Clackamas Community College Student Publications Office. The Clackamas Print is a weekly publication distributed every Wednesday except for finals week. Clackamas Community College, 19600 S. Molalla Avenue, Oregon City, Oregon, 97045. Office: Trailer B. Telephone: 657-6958, ext. 309 (office), 577 (production) and 578 (advertising).

Editor-In-Chief: Christopher L. Curran
 Managing/Feature Editor: Carce Hussey
 Copyeditors: Jim Titus
 Roseann Wentworth
 News Editor: Briane C. Dotson
 Photo Editor: Julie Church
 Sports Editor: Mark A. Borrelli
 Reporters: Staci Beard
 Amber Cordy
 Dan Fulton
 Tim Jones
 Rick Piller
 Angela Wilson
 Photographers: Jillian Porter
 Tara Powers
 Tim Zivney
 Eric Olson
 Columnist: Joseph Patrick Lee
 Business Managers: Angela Wilson
 Rick Piller
 "Rhapsody" Editor: Michelle Walch
 Advisor: Linda Vogt

inches																
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11(A)	12	13	14	15	
L*	39.12	65.43	49.87	44.26	55.56	70.82	63.51	39.92	52.24	97.06	92.02	87.34	82.14	72.06	62.16	
a*	13.24	18.11	-4.34	-13.80	9.82	-33.43	34.26	11.81	48.65	-0.40	-0.60	-0.75	-1.06	-1.19	-1.07	
b*	15.07	18.72	-22.29	22.85	-24.49	-0.35	59.60	-46.07	18.51	1.13	0.23	0.21	0.43	0.28	0.19	
D50 Illuminant, 2 degree observer											Density					
											0.04	0.09	0.15	0.22	0.36	0.51