

THE PRINT

Vol. XX, No. 4

Clackamas Community College Oregon City, OR 97045

November 4, 1986

Outrageous behavior plagues Mall

by Thad Kreisher
Entertainment Editor

Public Display of Affection, Smoking, Language, and Mall Appearance, these are some of the topics being discussed by the Mall Use Committee.

The committee, which consists of seven staff members and two students, is currently investigating a series of problems pertaining to the CC Mall. The purpose is to ascertain just exactly what the real problems are, and what can be done about them.

The study was launched in response to the various complaints concerning the behavior of students in the Mall and its overall appearance, which the committee feels should be improved.

The major complaints stem

Because when people from the community walk in here, this is their first impression. If Neil Goldschmidt the other day, he'd probably go 'Ugh,' and just be shocked. There is so much garbage, I can't believe it." Most of Wright's fellow committee members echo her concern that the Community Center is the first place seen by the public and are concerned with what image is being presented to the community. from smokers smoking in non-smoking areas and leaving butts on the floor, loud and crude language, loitering, noise level, and overall Mall appearance.

Committee member, and ASG senator, Heather Wright, commented "The main concerns of the committee are the smoking, the food, and the foul language.



According to the committee's findings, this image leaves much to be desired.

"There are a number of people who have to work eight to nine hours a day in the Community Center building and when there are loud activities it makes it very difficult for people to hear over the phone and do a good job of trying to answer questions from off-campus sources. Then there is the on-going concern that the building was built as a multi-purpose room for all kinds of dif-

ferent things, and how do we co-habitate in a building that doesn't have sound proofing. What we're trying to do is come up with how can we make the Mall a place where the majority of people will feel comfortable in, that will be a good public image when people come onto campus for the first time and say 'Boy is this a neat college, look at what a neat center they have, what a neat place for students to come.' What is the solution?" asked Director of Student Activities and

Mall Use Committee member, Debbie Baker.

Other, less major, problems facing the committee are the lighting, the fact that the Mall may be "too comfortable," sleeping in the Mall, and female staff members feeling like they are "being watched while walking through the Mall." To date no one is sure as to what the answers are, but many suggestions have been put forth. Among these are posting signs and positive slogans, the additions of plants to beautify the Mall, and ASG sponsored "Be Proud of Your School Week," and more waste receptacles. On the more controversial side, suggestions have been made to remove soft furniture, and to have Public Safety issue citations to those violating rules.

However, as committee member, Jim Meiser put it, "Well, that would kind of be an extreme I would think, the end. I just hope that the students take care of the situation on their own, because it is a college setting, and it's kind of like their home."

Hart campaigns for Neil

by Alberta Roper
Staff Writer

Neil Goldschmidt brought a big gun to campus Oct. 29 in the form of Senator Gary Hart of Colorado. Both Goldschmidt and Hart took to woo the crowd that gathered in the Randall Hall Gym.

President John Keyser introduced Goldschmidt, emphasizing that this was his third visit to the campus. Goldschmidt was been present at the recent dedication of the bypass. On this note the president slipped in some humor as he correlated the "bypass operation" with the blood donation taking place at the Community Center. He added, "Shortly, we'll have Gary Hart with us which also fits into that theme."

"The real potential in Oregon is its people," Goldschmidt said. He spoke of his concern that people couldn't find work in our state resulting in large numbers leaving. Another concern was the lack of jobs available to graduating students. "Let's have an economy that fulfills the ambitions of our young people and our adults alike, so we can say to them, 'If you're committed to getting an education in this community college or at any high school or university in this state, there is a

place for you in Oregon's future!"

The nuclear waste site question piqued the interest of the audience. Goldschmidt explained that though he took a stand against Hanford, he couldn't go against Measure 4 (the shutdown of Trojan) because bonds had already been sold to pay off the plant and somehow they had to be paid. Also he feared an "energy shortage in the long run."

While discussing Measure 7, Senator Hart arrived. Goldschmidt introduced him as "a grass-roots campaigner for change."

Hart attacked Reaganomics, then launched into his spiel about Goldschmidt's excellent qualifications as the future governor of Oregon. "Neil Goldschmidt doesn't have to run against anyone else. He's got plenty to run for-himself, his record, and this state's future," Hart proclaimed.

Hart stated that the state and nation's most precious asset is "the human mind." He went on to say, "I think the goal of America in the 1990's and for the state of Oregon, as well, -and Neil would agree with this- is to create the best education and training system in the world."



New division? New dean?

by Amy Doane
Staff Writer

"Issues to address in 86' and beyond," is currently the issue on which Lyle Reese, Dean of Instruction, is devoting much spent time in order to create a new division at Clackamas. The new division would require a new assistant dean position and would be the first step taken to make the long awaited LRC (Learning Resources Center) a reality.

The LRC concept first evolved in May, 1983. An on-campus study was conducted by Les Adkins, Dept. of Education and Wayne Haverson, Assistant Professor of Postsecondary Education at OSU, with the learning center in mind.

The end result: the study showed a need for a learning center, that it would benefit the college, and it was recommended that a director be appointed.

The study was labeled "Issues to address in 86' and beyond," and listed five items to consider. Two of the items are as follows: 1. Define opportunities to place women in upper-management. 2. Do not lose sight of concept for LRC.

Since the initial study, the format to appoint a new director has been changed, making the position higher than recommended, hence the need for a new assistant dean.

In order for this to take place, several steps must take place.

With the "Issues to address in 86' and beyond" logo in mind, the need to deal with the LRC

concept is apparent.

A forum was held Friday, Oct. 31, where faculty members were able to ask questions and submit ideas concerning the dean position. Lyle Reese was present to inform and answer questions.

President John Keyser attended, stating, "In the abstract it (the dean position) makes sense," before Lyle Reese took the floor.

The information gathered from Friday's forum will go to the President's Council and then a proposal goes before the Board Nov. 24. If the Board approves the dean position it will be created in a December meeting. The approval will then possibly be implemented in January.

The new division would affect four departments on campus: Library, AV (Audio Visual), Alternative Programs, and Basic Skills/Dev. Education Dept.. With the new dean division the Library/AV would be its own department, hence the need for a LRC.

"The LRC has been a dream for the library for a long time," commented Lyle Reese.

One person who has been actively pushing the LRC ahead is Margaret Dresbach, Head Librarian. Dresbach has been with the college for the past 20 years and has actively taken part in making the LRC a reality for the past 12 years. "I believe in service for staff as well as students. If we aren't doing that

we are falling down on the job," commented Dresbach.

Dresbach strongly believes the concept will work. "Do you know what makes it work? People who want to make it work. I don't see why it can't work," said Dresbach.

Turning back to Friday's forum, one of the main feelings expressed was one of being rushed. Several faculty members felt that "We are going into this too quickly. I think we need to plan so it is done correctly. One month just isn't enough time."

Lyle Reese commented in a private interview that the turmoil from a delay may be more destructive. "The longer we put it off and study the idea, the further back it's going to set us."

Another big concern is funding. Lyle Reese explained that the position would be filled by an inside person- someone already employed by the college. There would be a possible personnel shift amongst the deans and the cost would include a secretary and an additional add on in salary for the new job responsibilities. Funding is still hazy, however. Reese stressed that the raise in tuition costs had no relation with this issue in any way.

A building for the LRC was one of the last questions raised. Since funding is so limited "A building for the LRC is not foreseeable in the 80's," commented Reese.

