

Monologue



This is it backpack. Got to go back to work this summer. Have to put you away for the winter.



BAAHH!

(sob, sob)
LEAVE ME!
(tears, weffness)
I CARRIED YOUR BOOKS ALL YEAR!
(cry)
ARE YOU COMING BACK?!

BAAHHH!



It's OK, It's OK...

Print value more than just dollars and cents

By Doug Vaughan
Editor In Chief

At a Board of Education meeting last month my ears perked up at a question raised by one of the board members. The discussion was about the proposed budget, and it was asked if it was a goal of *The Print* to ever become self-supportive.

The answer to the question was given by an Administrative Dean who frankly avoided the question quite nicely. If he would have been straight forward he probably would have been laughed out of the meeting.

My curiosity to the question arose because I feel *The Print* has a chance to become self-supportive and it would be nice, but why should we have to be?

The Print is a department at the College just like nursing, automotive, music or any other area. So what prompted this member to bring this up? It seems to me that it was not just

an idea that went across her mind especially since the Board was dealing with a budget that is contained in two books, each several inches high.

Also, the food program's financial status was questioned. Year after year the cafeteria goes further and further into debt.

This is an area of concern, especially since the cafeteria is not an academic department of the College. So how did *The Print* get tied in with a non-academic program?

It would be nice to say that student publications is self-supportive, but it is not practical at this time. Oh yes, the board member also added that when she worked for her high school newspaper they sold advertisements. Well, we do too but costs easily surpass that minimal revenue.

The Print is valuable to the College and it is the voice of the students. If it is ever considered to take funds away, it would only be hurting the students.

Final Exam Schedule - Spring 1983

Time/Day	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday
8-10	8 M	7:30 T	9 M
10-12	10 M	9 T	11 M
12-2	12 M	10:30 T	1 M
2-4	2 M	1 T	3 M
4-6	Conflicts	2:30 T	Conflicts

THE PRINT, a member of the Oregon Newspaper Publishers Association, aims to be a fair and impartial journalistic medium covering the campus community as thoroughly as possible. Opinions expressed in THE PRINT do not necessarily reflect those of the College administration, faculty, Associated Student Government or other members of THE PRINT. Clackamas Community College, 19600 S. Molalla Avenue, Oregon City, OR 97045.

Slander suit

'60 Minutes' verdict to help, hinder American journalism

J. Dana Haynes
Acting News Editor

A fascinating case is currently underway in Los Angeles. The defendant in the lawsuit case in none other than Dan Rather, and his old magazine show, "60 Minutes."

The case goes like this: On Dec. 9, 1979, "60 Minutes" did an article on fraudulent automobile accident reports, which people were using to bilk insurance companies out of millions of dollars.

In the article, Rather presented several pieces of evidence pointing to a scam, including a fake accident report, with the signature of Dr. Carl A. Galloway.

Unfortunately, Dr. Galloway says the signature was forged and "60 Minutes," in the persons of Rather and producer Steven Clauber, did not bother to find this out and thus ruined his career.

It is for the court to decide whether or not "60 Minutes" was guilty of slander. However the outcome, it will be an interesting and groundbreaking case.

There are two possible verdicts that would be beneficial to the news media, and two verdicts that would be disastrous.

The first positive result would be to find Rather innocent, on the basis that the magazine show did the proper homework by placing two telephone calls to Dr. Galloway, neither of which the Doctor returned (which is what Rather has testified).

The second positive result would be to find Rather and "60 Minutes" guilty on the grounds that they did not do enough digging.

For the most part, journalism in America is the absolute pinnacle of propriety. If anyone doubts that, they are encouraged to travel to Europe, especially England, wherein nearly every newspaper is edited along the lines of *The National Enquirer*. However, there are cases of misconduct from journalists in America, in newspapers, radio, magazines, and television.

If "60 Minutes," one of the most powerful and unsullied news gathering agencies in the country, can have their figurative pants sued off

for slander, then it will serve to resupport and strengthen the already rigid code of ethics to which all news persons adhere.

The free press is one of the most visible cornerstones of a free society, and the code of ethics is the failsafe system that protects this country from the protectors. If even "60 Minutes" is not invulnerable to slander charges, then every journalist in the nation will tread that much more carefully around the bill of rights.

However, there are still two more possible verdicts which could stem from Galloway vs. "60 Minutes."

The first negative outcome would be to find Rather innocent for the sole reason that he is a newsmen, and thus is above the law. Everyone knows of those journalists who use the first amendment as a shield for any actions they wish to take.

The first amendment was designed to protect society from a repressive government, not to give reporters carte blanche, ala-*The National Enquirer*.

If Rather and his old program are found not guilty by reason of profession, then it will serve only to sully that show's unsullied record.

The fourth possible verdict would be the one many people around the country have already picked, that Rather is guilty because the press thinks it's so high and mighty, and "60 Minutes" is the worst of the bunch.

I have a good friend who works for a nation-wide retail company. Earlier this year, "60 Minutes" did an expose on this company. My friend did not watch that episode because "Well, you know '60 Minutes.' I'm sure they went out to do a hatchet job on us."

There is a great deal of distrust for the journalistic media in this country and if a guilty verdict was returned to put Rather in his place (even if such a verdict was subconscious) it would serve to enforce the idea that all journalists dream of hiding in trees with zoom lenses waiting for Liz Taylor to drive by, and it would weaken the investigative abilities of reporters everywhere.

Make no mistake: One cannot have a free society without a free press, and nothing should be allowed to impede the strength of journalism, not even journalists themselves.

