

Dining room acquires no-smoking section

By Sandy Carter
Of The Print

Non-smokers, arise! The Intimate Dining Room is ours!

That's right. No longer must we sit besieged by a gray pall of nicotine fumes, as eyes, noses and throats protest and our hair and clothes assume the odor of a tobacco lobbyist's boardroom.

Thanks to the effort of Reed Campbell, the ASG senator

who made it look very easy, we now have a room to call our own. All fresh air seeking students, faculty and visitors who have for so long watched, impotent but enraged, as smokers held down the only tables protected by the inconspicuous "No Smoking" signs, may breathe deeply again and utter a silent prayer of gratitude to ASG.

A nit-picker might ask, "Why

wasn't it done sooner?" But why dwell in the past. Spread the word, all of you who treasure this new sanctuary from indoor air pollution.

The only people happier than us should be the smokers, who have long been the objects of castigating looks and violent fantasies by those of us who tried to eat in the cafeteria anyway and found it a hopeless and endless flight from the

curling purple plumes of burning "coffin nails."

I urge all who are gladdened by this development to demonstrate their support of the clean-air movement at this college by filling the newly gained territory, thereby showing the administration our true numbers. In terms of the future, we must seek a higher profile if we hope to influence school planning in our direction. We have to become visible as a faction to be considered when the cafeteria is enlarged.

Heaven knows the smoking population of the College is visible. Scarcely a hall or office

goes unpolluted, and even classrooms are not sacrosanct to some students and faculty who cannot or will not control their nicotine cravings.

Smokers certainly have the right to pollute their own bodies. But I draw the line at their right to contaminate enclosed, commonly used air. Courtesy or cowardice often prevents non-smokers from voicing their objections to cigarette smoking, but I seldom see it interfere with a smoker's need for a "fix."

Non-smokers, unite. Maybe next time WE can get the room with the windows.

Off campus center College to expand

In an effort to serve the surrounding population better the College's Board of Education is seriously looking into the possibilities of establishing an off-campus center for the College, hopefully in the Milwaukie area.

There is an immediate urgency for the College to move beyond its friendly Beaver Creek confines and expand into other parts of our fast-growing county.

Bob Ellis, associate dean of community education, has stressed that with Portland Community College's expansion into southeast Portland, and their full-program center on 82nd Ave., that it would actually be just as easy for students living in the North Clackamas area to go north to PCC as it would be for them to go south to CCC.

This could obviously pose a threat to the College's enrollment, which is a good enough reason to be contemplating expansion, but not necessarily the only reason.

In a school board meeting Nov. 14, Ellis reported that there are now between 800 and 1,000 students per quarter coming from North Clackamas high schools but there are no facilities now available in their area to offer daytime classes.

These facts make it obvious that the establishment of an off-campus center in the Milwaukie area will soon become essential in order for the College to

keep up with the county's continuous expansion to the north of the present campus site.

Already the Clackamas Town Center (a project which will include a shopping mall expected to be one of the largest on the west coast, and condominiums initially expected to house 10,000 new residents) is under construction on Sunnyside Road and 82nd Ave. This influx of new Clackamas County residents is another reason why an off-campus center should be included in the College's future.

It appears that the College has recognized that an off-campus center has become a necessity for the years to come, and has already begun to find some immediate solutions.

Ellis has indicated that the College will be working closely with Clackamas County, the North Clackamas School District, the Milwaukie City Council and Education Service District. Clackamas County has already begun a site feasibility study and there is a possibility that all four groups may share a multi-use facility between them.

All the details of exactly where a facility will be and the cost factor involved will be decided in April, but the College has noticed a need for expansion in the community and has taken positive action in their goal to keep serving the community efficiently and effectively.

Eleven give views

What do you think of reinstating the draft?

DOUG JONES (19): "I think it's wrong. It's a violation of my rights to be forced to do something I don't believe in, like war. However, if it's war, I will go."

CARIN CHEEK (18): "I don't like the idea of it. I don't think it's fair to make people go to war. It's too bad there is no one in government that is able to find another solution other than war."

NORM KUHLMAN (counselor): "It concerns me because I have a son of draftable age. We have to have registration before war. It's vital that the government knows where the national resources are."

NORM BERNEY (counselor): "I think it's necessary. But the draft should only be used during actual war time."

ROBIN KOON (17): "They're not taking me. They're going to be taking guys, so I'm not worried. They'll be taking people who aren't in college before me."

BARBARA ERICKSON (31): "It's something we owe to our country. It's a way of taking care of ourselves. I do believe that women should be drafted;

however, I do like one comment that a woman said: I'll be glad to be drafted as soon as the ERA is passed."

JEFF MC CALL (19): "Personally, I don't think there should be one, unless we actually have to. If we did go to war, I'd see the point."

CATHY ZINCK (18): "I don't like it. I haven't really thought about it. It seems far away."

JIM NOSEN (25): "I think it's a scare tactic for Russia. It lets them know that we're not just talking and we're ready for action. You have to start registration now because it's going to take time to get everything set up. We can't wait for a war time situation."

CHRIS WIBLE (18): "I don't think it's needed unless there is a definite threat to the U.S. government. Registration is all right, but chances are, if there is registration, the draft isn't far behind."

SANDY CARTER (33): "I really think that it wouldn't hurt any 18-year-old of either sex to put in a year in a basic training situation. Not killing training, but a public service, physical fitness situation. If women want equal rights, they should register for the draft, too."

The problems of Russia

By Tom Rhodes
Of The Print

Boy! Am I upset! Those mean, written and nasty Russians! How dare they kick out our American Journalists? Don't they believe in truth, justice and the American way? Written creeps! Uncivilized lilypads!

I'll bet they don't even have color TV! Barbarians. That is what they are. I say we go over there and show them the American way. Let's beat the crap out of them Ruskies! That'll show them we're right.

But don't hand me a gun. I am against war. Sure, I love my country but I don't

want to hurt anyone. Especially those with blonde hair. They're so cute.

I know. You're asking me, "How far are you going to let them go?" Or, "You have to draw the line somewhere." I'll draw the line right on the edge of Milwaukie (I'll let them have Oregon City). The second they step over that line, though, they'll be in BIG trouble.

Of course, we don't have to get into a war with the Russians. All we have to do is play "Raindrops Keep Fallin' on My Head," over Radio Oppressed Europe. They would say, "Oh, you Uh-mud-i-cuns wright zuch beautiful zongs!

Okay, we get out ut Avgahniztan."

If we did get into a war with the Ruskies, we would beat them hands down because God is on Our side. I guess I would go and fight, even though I am against war and killing. I would go to war and kill people. Even though I believe in one thing, I'll do the other. Why? Uh...because they're new?

Well, Russian isn't a bad language. And Yiddish sounds great in a Texas accent. So, it may not be bad if...but if we do go...I don't want to...but...then...of course there is...Huh?

the print

19600 S. Molalla Avenue, Oregon City, Oregon 97045

Office: Trailer B; telephone, 656-2631, ext. 309

editor: Leanne Lally; news editor: Mike Koller
arts editor: Elena Vancil; feature editor: Kelly Laughlin
sports editor: Brian Rood; photo editor: Duffy Coffman
copyeditor: Sandy Carter
staff writers: Sue Hanneman, Ramona Isackson, Don Ives
Lee Jeffries, Matt Johnson, James Rhoades, Tom Rhodes
Susy Ryan, Sherry Succo
staff photographers: Cathy Gross, Robert Hand
advertising manager: Tim Tycer; business manager: Ron Allen
advertising representative: Dan Champie
professional adviser: Suzie Boss

The Print, a member of the Oregon Newspaper Publishers Association, aims to be a fair and impartial journalistic medium covering the campus community as thoroughly as possible. Opinions expressed in The Print do not necessarily reflect those of the CCC administration, faculty or Associated Student Government.

Page 3

Wednesday, February 13, 1980

