# Male heterosexual finds anal sex repugnant

To the Editor:

I've seen two different pieces in your paper recently objecting to calling anal intercourse as anything but "deviate intercourse" and find it amazing that you actually carried it. The notion that forcing an erect penis into an organ nature designed for defecation is normal is at best ludicrous, and to call people "homophobic" because they find this activity gross and revolting is just putting another nail in the coffin of the homosexual ideology.

I myself believe in live and let live but please, no more whining about how we heterosexuals who find anal sex repugnant are hysterically homophobic because they [sic] can't accept the picture of two males having intercourse without

a female sex organ involved.

I think the statistics alone testify as to the safety of this practice, and even before the aids epidemic surfaced anal intercourse was usually considered a perverse pleasure enjoyed by few.

Michael Avance Portland

#### Editor's response:

We appreciate your opinion, Mr. Avance, but we seriously doubt that you will find much sympathy for it in this community.

May we assume that it is only anal intercourse between males that you find repugnant. Cunnilingus and fellatio are also labeled "deviate intercourse" and are legally proscribed in 24 states.

Homophobia, and the physical and mental abuse against gays and lesbians it encourages, is firmly based on such attitudes as you express. If you really believe in "live and let live" nothing would be "normal."

# Female reader offended by phone sex ads

To the Editor:

As a regular reader of Just Out, I have watched the ads for telephone sex for several months. As their numbers increase each month so does my dissatisfaction with the paper's advertising policies. I understand that this paper is a business, and that advertising pays the bills. However, last month's ad showing a man's bare buttocks went too far. I am offended by the "meat marketing" of sex for gay men — and the sexual exploitation of anyone.

I urge you to reconsider accepting such ads. They appear to be consuming what is otherwise an excellent paper, one which I look forward to reading from cover to cover. April's edition had one full-page ad for telephone sex (in addition to numerous smaller ones); May had two full pages. I am a little nervous to look at June, let alone July.

Meg Rowe Portland

#### Showcase for gay stereotypes

To the Editor:

Almost two months after the Portland Gay Men's Chorus's production of Lou Harrison's Young Caesar, I have still to see any comments on the contents of the opera. The scathing Oregonian review, Mr. Berggren's letter to Just Out and the two Just Out reviews by Dr. Tantalus and Harold Moore seem to have focused on how adventurous it was for the local chorus to have undertaken the work.

However, no one seems to have stated the obvious: Young Caesar is a showcase for gay stereotypes that predate The Boys in the Band. It is hard to understand why no one in the late 1980s seems to have been insulted by the minc-

ing ways of narrator Steven Fulmer, the clichéd roles of Dionysus, Julia and Caesar himself (and most of the lesser roles), and the sadly predictable double entendres in dialogue.

One is willing to forgive the Chorus for overreaching itself, one can even applaud it for trying. But surely the gay community has come further than believing that a "gay opera" has much to do with staging stylized orgies, nearnudity, capering silliness and gaudy costumes. For many this is what it meant to be gay in the 1950s. As a community coming of age through the double agony of laying claim to our rights and coping with a killing epidemic, surely we have learned that this sort of shallow stereotyping of gay people cannot serve our or anyone's interests.

The real question lies not in whether the Chorus achieved its artistic goals, but why anyone chose this stereotyped vehicle in the first place.

Jerome Perlinski St. Helens

### Juniper House appeal gets results

To the Editor:

All of us at Juniper House want to thank Just Out for publishing the story on the needs there. The response was fabulous: qualified nurses, flower arrangers, people who can cook, a furnace fixer, guys who have cars, one who wants to give money for "daily luxuries," several who have no money but only time and love — the most-needed thing of all.

Because of that same article, Linda Lee, the gorgeous female impersonator, and her troupe will give a free performance at the House. Who could ask for anything more? Again, a thousand thanks.

Marion Miller Portland

# Don't buy a spa with your clothes on.



## Grab your swimsuit and come in for a "test soak."

When you're shopping for a car, kicking tires is no substitute for a thorough test drive. By the same token, sitting fully clothed in an empty spa is no substitute for a thorough "test soak."

Especially when you could experience the heavenly feeling of a Hot Spring Spa.

The churning water is hot and soothing. And the high-density insulation will keep it that way. Even in a blizzard.

Notice the comfortable seating and how easy it is to move about. Feel the powerful hydrojets relieve stress throughout your body. And enjoy the vigorous massage of our exclusive Jetstream on your aching feet and calves.

Finally, test our revolutionary Moto-Massage\*, the exclusive hydro-therapeutic jet that gives a non-stop, gentle backrub.

When it's all said and done, we think you'll find you just can't buy a better dressed spa.

Bring in this ad for a free gift with spa purchase.





