MEDFORD DAILY TRIBUNE, MEDFORD, OREGON, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 1908.



E. E. Phipps vs. J. H. Settlemeier and F. W. Settlemeier, W. E. Phipps, at-torney for plaintiff; Vawter & Pardin, injunction T. E. Pottenger vs. Lulu Phillips; suit to quiet title. Harvey, Miles & Mul-George

ounts. 150



W. Colvig, and Gus Newbury, attorneys for plaintiff; Reames & Reames and J. L. Hammersley, attorneys for defend ant. (III) (III) son et al; snit to remove cloud from title. Vawter & Pardin, attorneys for

MUCH WORK

Long List of Cases Under-

way For Coming Term--

The following civil and criminal cases

are on the docket of the circuit court

Criminal Actions.

turbing the peace. State of Oregon vs. Sam Hudson;

rape. State of Oregon vs. Lottie E. Sim-

State of Oregon vs. James F. Coss,

State of Oregon vs. James Hays;

assault with attempt to kill, State of Oregon vs. Jack Montgom ery; selling liquor without license.

State of Oregon vs. August Bucc ine; assault with intent to kill.

State of Oregon vs. William Eaton;

State of Oregon vs. E. M. Hostetter; assault with dangerous weapon.

Actions to Recover Money.

George W. Stevens vs. Bankers Re-

serve Life company. Colvig & Durham, attorneys for plaintiff. M. Bryan vs. Western Oregon Orehard

company and Fred Lundahl, W. E Phipps, attorney for plaintiff. H. M. Coss vs. J. M. McIntosh. Col

vig & Durham, attorneys for plaintiff, Joseph Currie vs. Robert D. Powell,

Vawter & Purdin, attorneys for plain-

John Walters vs. A. D. Walters, F. J.

Newman attorney for plainff; H. K. Hanna, Jr., attorney for defendant.

Wadhams & Kerr Bros. vs. Rose Hay-mond. H. D. Norton and H. K. Hanna,

Fitzgerald, Vawter & Purdin, attorneys for plaintiff; Withington & Kelly, at-torneys for defendant,

Alonzo Ord vs. George Ura, W. E. Phipps, attorney for plaintiff.

Ashland Manufacturing company vs. Woods Lumber company, F. M. Cal-

kins, attorney for plaintiff; E. D. Briggs, attorney for defendant,

Amos Ninninger vs. Ferd Million and Leona Million. C. B. Watson, attorney

Charles Kohn, doing business under name of Charles Kohn & Co., vs. J. H.

McClendon, Colvig & Durham, attorneys

II. C.fl Messenger vs. G. O. Van Nat-S. S. Pentz, attorney for plaintiff;

B. S. Pentz, attorney for defendant,
D. Briggs, attorney for defendant,
W. Walters vs. A. J. Stevens et al.
W. E. Phipps, attorney for plaintiff.

Crater Lake Lumber company vs.

John Wolff and George King. Vawter

W furth, attorneys for derendant. Crater Lake Lumber company vs. Fherericka Wolff and George King, W. E. Phippe, attorney for plaintiff; Vaw-ter & Purdin, attorneys for defendant.

Gold Hill Bank vs. Gold Hill Canal ompany et al. J. L. Hammersley and

William Colvig and Reames & Reames

attorneys for plaintiff, W. W. Kirk vs. J. F. McKay, H.

Norton, attorney for plaintiff; mes & Reames, attorneys for de-

C. H. Pierce & C. C. Pierce vs. Clar-

one Wheeler, Chris Sorensen and H. L.

Getchell, O. C. Boggs, attorney for

plaintiff; Reames & Reames, attorneys

attorneys for defendants. Lucy Mee vs. J. N. Messler.

& Pardin, attorneys for defendant.

W. E.

E. T. Huth vs. Scott Bruce,

Phipps, attorney for plaintiff.

for plaintiff.

for plaintiff.

fendant.

for defendants.

Jr., attorneys for plaintiffs. Forbes Supply company vs. J. H

ine; assault with intent to kill.

selling liquor to a minor.

State of Oregon vs. Ehler Band; dis-

for the coming term:

mons: adultery.

Jr.; libel.

Many Civil Actions

FOR HANNA

Horace Pelton vs. Gold Hill Canal plaintiff. company. Colvig & Durham, attorneys for plaintiff.

J. W. Dressler and J. B. Wood vs. Joshua Patterson, R. G. Smith, attor-ney for plaintiff; Reames & Reames, attorneys for defendant. A. J. McDonnell and L. E. Smith vs.

T. B. Goodsell et al. C. B. Watson, at torney for plaintiff.

Douglas County bank vs. D. M. Par-Fullerton & Oreutt, attorneys for plaintiff.

Emil Poil vs. Charles Hooper. Harvey, Miles & Mulkey, attorneys for plain tiff.

J. B. Dungan vs. Gold Hill Canal company. W. E. Phipps, attorney for plain-tiff.

Suits in Equity.

Edgar Hafer vs. Medford & Crater ants.

Frederick C. Page vs. Laurence Le

Frederick C. Page vs. Laurence L. Grover, et al. Vawter & Purdin, attor-neys for plaintiff; suit to quiet title-result of review. Reames & Reames, at-torneys for plaintiff; Colvig & Durham P. G. Allen vs. Pearl Mining com-pany, Peter Applegate et al. Colvig & Durham, attorneys for plaintiff. Reames & Reames, attorneys for de-for divore. F. M. Calkins, attorney for divore. F. M. Calkins, attorney

fendants. fendants. Suit to forcelose lien.

attorneys for plaintiffs; Vawter & Purdia and Charles Prim, attorneys for defendants.

Cecelia L. Maegley vs. W. C. Kit o, administrator estate G. Karewski; suit to quiet title. Gus Newbury, at torney for plaintiff; Beames & Reames,

key, attorneys for plaintiff. J. E. Watt et al. vs. John W. John

Revenue Mining company vs. T. F. Hopkins et al., W. I. Vawter and Chas. Hopkins et al., W. I. Vawter and Cass. Prim, attorneys for plaintiff; Colvig. Durham, attorneys for defendants. J. F. Reddy, receiver, vs. Herence Fee, R. E. Fee, C. P. Fee, doing bugi-ness as Fee Bros. Reames & Reames, attorneys for plaintiff.

L. C. Sivers vs. G. P. Ammons. Hough & Blanchard, attorneys for plain-tiff; Rohert Glenn Smith, attorney for defendant.

Frank Jordan vs. M. M. Sheldon and William Jones; suit to forcelose lien. E. D. Briggs, attorney for plaintiff; Colvig & Durham, attorneys for defendants.

E. C. Sherman vs. E. E. Bagley et al. Reames & Reames, attorneys for plain-tiff; A. C. Hough, attorney for defend-

Lake Railroad company, Reames & Gus Newbury, administrator estate Reames, attorneys for plaintiff; Col. of A. Pool, vs. George R. Wilkerson and vig & Durham, attorneys for defend-| Dosie Wilkerson. Gus Newbury, attorany for plaintiff. Alfred Smith vs. City of Medford;

for plaintiff.

Pearl Duncan vs. Jesse H. Duncan; Starling Mining company vs. Edward suit for divorce, George W. Trefren, A. Spaulding et al. Reames & Bennes, attorney for plaintiff.

Martha Stunkard vs. Matthew Stunkard; suit for divorce. Harvey, Miles & Mulkey, attorneys for plaintiff.

attorneys for defendant. William Puhl vs. Adolph Schultz and attorney for plaintiff.

William Schultz; suit to appoint re-ceiver. Peter Deisch and Resn. s & Reames, attorneys for plaintiff; H. K. Dorham, attorneys for plaintiff; Wal-

The Customer That

Is Particular Is the

One We Want

Crater Lake Lumber Co.

MEDFORD, OREGON

Albany or Corvallis, thence Corvallis & Eastern R. R. Train service daily and the trip a pleasure throughout.

Rate From Medford SEASON SIX MONTHS' TICKET, \$10.00

Our elaborate new summer book gives a concise description of Newport, acluding a list of hotels, their capacity and rates. Call on, telephone or write

> A. S. ROSENBAUM, Local Agent, Medford

WM. McMURRAY, General Passenger Agent, Portland

.3



THE MEDFORD DAILY TRIBUNE HAS THE BEST NEWS SERVICE IN SOUTHERN OREGON.

0