SACK USED TO ELECT FULTON SAYS HENEY

Prosecutor Tells of Senator's Election and How It Was Accomplished at the Eleventh Hour—Reads New Affidavit by Webster.

Alleged dishonorable methods in securing his election to the senate are charged against United States Senator Fulton in an affidavit executed by H. A. Webster, ex-representative from Clackamas county, and read by Francis J. Heney in his address at Portland Monday night. In this affidavit, Webster charges that Senator Fulton at the 1903 session of the Oregon state legislature, when Fulton was elected to the senate, proposed to offer Webster "financial inducements" in return for his vote for senator. This affidavit, together with considerable correspondence that associated Fulton unfavorably, though indirectly, with the land-fraud defendants in this state, were presented by Mr. Heney.

On Good Citizenship.

For more than two hours Mr. Heney gave a lecture on good citizenship and many in his audience were wondering if he was going to "make good" and spring any addition and more specific charges against Senator Fulton, as he had promised. He had been discussing at considerable length municipal conditions as they existed in San Francisco before the recent graft investigation and pointing out the extent to which money was employed for corrupt purposes. He then referred to the 1903 session of the legislature and told how Fulton's election was accomplished on the last night at the eleventh hour by the sudden acquisition of 11 additional votes necessary to an election. W. W. Banks, explained Heney, was one of the 11 and he was rewarded with appointment as Assistant United States Attorney John Daly, another of the necessary 11, was afterward made surveyor-general.

Reads Webster Affidavit.

"How did Fulton get those?" he quoted Heney. "We shall see." In saying he produced from his portmanteau the Webster affidavit, on which the following signature had hardly dried, which he read. It follows:

"I was elected a member of the Oregon legislature from a representative district in Clackamas county, at the June election of 1902.

"After the convening of the legislature I voted for Charles W. Fulton for United States senator, with the understanding that if I did so I would receive from Senator Fulton, or his friends, a certain sum of money. Shortly before the end of the session I learned that Fulton had made no agreement which would prove satisfactory to my interests, and therefore I informed Senator Fulton that I would withdraw my support from him. This conversation took place in the senate chamber and had not the time been so short, I should have demanded the return of my vote. As it was, I had barely time to get it back and transfer it to Senator Fulton's opponent before the senate adjourned. Senator Fulton promised me that if I would stick to him he would see that I was not the loser by the transaction. I understood that he had made similar promises to other members, and I was given to understand that the sum of $15,000 was the amount he had to pay for the votes he needed. I was also given to understand that the money was raised by the lumber interests of Oregon, and that Senator Fulton was not the only man who had been assessed. I was also given to understand that the sum of $15,000 was the amount that had been paid in the case of Senator Mitchell, and that the same had been raised by the same interests. I was also given to understand that the same interests had contributed the same amount to the election of Senator Mitchell. I was also given to understand that the same interests had contributed the same amount to the election of Senator Lane. I was also given to understand that the same interests had contributed the same amount to the election of Senator Smith. I was also given to understand that the same interests had contributed the same amount to the election of Senator Chamberlain. I was also given to understand that the same interests had contributed the same amount to the election of Senator Ben Selling. I was also given to understand that the same interests had contributed the same amount to the election of Senator Moreland. I was also given to understand that the same interests had contributed the same amount to the election of Senator Hawley. I was also given to understand that the same interests had contributed the same amount to the election of Senator Gearin. I was also given to understand that the same interests had contributed the same amount to the election of Senator Bourne. I was also given to understand that the same interests had contributed the same amount to the election of Senator Blanchard