A Chinese container ship in the Long Beach, Calift, Abrouge container ship in the Long Beach, Calift, Abrouge container ship in the Long Beach, Calift, Abrouge Casualties container ship in the Long Beach, Calift, Abrouge Casualties container ship in the trade wor that includes the U.S. China and Mexico.

Casualties add up for U.S. ag



Ray Norwood, sales and marketing director of Auvil Fruit Co., Orondo, Wash., with bins of the last Gala apples of the season, picked on Sept. 14. Auvil lost 20 percent of its sales volume and price in cherries exported to China because of retaliatory tariffs and figures its apple exports to China will also be down.

From apples to milk and from almonds to wheat, ongoing disputes take a multi-billion-dollar bite out of revenue

By DAN WHEAT

RONDO, Wash. — Though smaller than some of its Washington state competitors, Auvil Fruit Co. exports 80 percent of the cherries it grows.

Because of that dependence on exports, when China slapped tariffs on U.S. fruit as retaliation for Trump administration tariffs, Auvil Fruit felt it.

"It was a very big hit. I don't want to say a dollar loss, but it was a 20 percent decrease in price and volume," said Ray Norwood, Auvil Fruit director of sales and marketing.

Northwest cherries were an early trade war victim, with losses estimated at \$86 million in China, the only country to impose a tariff on cherries.

Though the dollar impact of the tariffs are estimates, ag-

ricultural exports are suffering losses that will top \$4 billion this year and are projected to increase next year.

The hit to apples sold in Mexico, India and China is estimated at \$129 million for the coming year. Dairy losses may be about \$115 million this year and \$415 million in 2019, but when oversupply to the domestic market and downward pressure on prices are considered, the cost to dairy producers and processors will be closer to \$1.9 billion this year and \$3 billion next year.

Other commodities that will sustain big hits this year include almonds at \$1.6 billion and pork at \$1.14 billion.

Turn to TRADE, Page 10

Washington judge lets Fish and Wildlife target wolfpack

Hearings coming on broader claim

By DON JENKINS Capital Press

OLYMPIA — The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife can move ahead with killing one or two wolves in the Colville National Forest after a judge declined Sept. 14 to intervene at the request of environmental groups.

Thurston County Superior Court Judge Carol Murphy said

she would not interfere with Fish and Wildlife's attempts to balance wolf recovery and social tolerance in northeast Washington.

She added, however, that she was eager to take up the broader claim that the department's policy on culling wolfpacks hasn't gone through required scientific and public review.

"This is a very difficult and controversial situation, she said.

The Center for Biological Diversity and Cascadia Wildlands sought a temporary restraining order to block Fish and Wildlife

from targeting a pack in northern Ferry County. The pack has attacked at least six calves since Sept. 4. Department guidelines call for it to consider removing one or two wolves after three attacks in 30 days.

The unnamed pack has three or four adults and likely two pups, according to Fish and Wildlife. In the same territory, the department shot seven wolves in 2016 and one in 2017.

The hearing Sept. 14 replayed some of the issues aired when the two environmental groups

obtained a restraining order Aug. 20 from another judge blocking the department from shooting a wolf in the Togo pack, also in Ferry County. Murphy lifted the restraining order Aug. 31, and the

department shot the wolf Sept. 2.

Murphy said she wanted to hear arguments before the end of the year on whether Fish and Wildlife's lethal-control policy for wolves violates the State Environmental Policy Act and Administrative Procedure Act.

Turn to WOLVES, Page 10



Don Jenkins/Capital Press

Kettle Range Conservation Group Executive Director Tim Coleman speaks at a rally Sept. 14 in Olympia to protest plans by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife to shoot one or two wolves in northeast Washington. Coleman is on the department's Wolf Advisory Group.

Funding available to curb sudden oak death in Southwest Oregon

Quarantine established in Curry County

By GEORGE PLAVEN Capital Press

The Oregon Department of Forestry and USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service are partnering to help private landowners in southwest Oregon slow the spread of an invasive tree-killing disease known as "sudden oak death."

Since the mid-1990s, the disease has devastated millions of susceptible trees in California, including tanoak, coast live oak, Shreve's oak and California black oak. The disease was first discovered in

Curry County, Ore., in 2001. The Oregon Department of Agriculture immediately quarantined a 9-square-mile area, which has since grown to 525 square miles, roughly one-third of the county.

NRCS Oregon has requested up to \$500,000 through the Environmental Quality Incentives Program to reimburse landowners for removing infected trees. Priority will be given to landowners within the quarantine area, which stretches from Brookings north to Gold Beach, though anyone who has the disease on their property is eligible to apply for assistance.

Known by the initials SOD, the disease is caused by the fungus-like pathogen Phytophthora ramorum. The disease

thrives in wet forest environments, and spreads via airborne and waterborne spores. Symptoms include bleeding cankers on the tree's trunk and dieback of foliage, eventually killing the tree.

Randy Wiese, the lead forester working on SOD for the Oregon Department of Forestry, said there is no cure for the disease. Infected tanoaks are cut down, piled and burned, along with all tanoaks in a 300-foot radius.

While SOD can infect adjacent Douglas-fir trees, Wiese said the disease is generally non-lethal in conifers.

"Most species, it will get into them and it's just sort of there," he said.

Turn to FUNDING, Page 10



Capital Press graphic

