Thursday, July 14, 2022

#### **OUR VIEW**

## Why we should be worried about fentanyl

rug overdose death in Oregon more than doubled from 2019 to 2021. The number of overdose deaths from opioids alone more than doubled — from 280 to at least 656. It was mostly due to the synthetic opioid fentanyl.

How can you read that announcement from the Oregon Health Authority and think what we are doing is working?

Some of the increase in overdoses is believed to be due to the social isolation and economic dislocation from the pandemic. But there is also an increasing availability of fentanyl.

The Oregon-Idaho High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area said in April 40% of all counterfeit pills in circulation contain fatal amounts of fentanyl.

The Oregon Health Authority seems to be doing good things. It's letting everyone know about the problem. It's doing its best to ensure people have access to naloxone. That is what is called a rescue drug. Give somebody a shot of naloxone when they have an opioid overdose and it's likely to save them. The state is also working to implement a mapping program that provides real time overdose updates to local communities.

Some people will try drugs and get addicted. If you have known someone struggling with addiction, you know it's not as simple as asking them to stop. So there are efforts to educate drug users.

They are told to assume pills they get have fentanyl unless the person who handed it to them was a pharmacist. They are told not to use drugs alone and keep naxolone around and visible if they do use drugs. Fentanyl test strips are made available.

But here are two ideas. Naxolone may or may not be covered by health insurance. Coupons can be found online to help lower the cost. Should Oregon require health insurance to cover naxolone?

And second, we may sound like a broken record on this, but we can't help but think Measure 110 may not have been constructed quite right.

That was the ballot measure that decriminalized possession of small amounts of drugs, such as heroin and methamphetamine. The measure also took marijuana tax revenue and funneled it into more drug treatment.

More treatment works best if people have something getting them into treatment. Measure 110 makes it easier than before for people who are caught in possession of drugs to avoid treatment.

That isn't good.

#### **EDITORIALS**


Unsigned editorials are the opinion of The Observer editorial board. Other columns, letters and cartoons on this page express the opinions of the authors and not necessarily that of The Observer. **LETTERS** 


 The Observer welcomes letters to the editor. We edit letters for brevity, grammar, taste and legal reasons. We will not publish consumer complaints against businesses, personal attacks against private individuals or comments that can incite violence. We also discourage thank-you letters. · Letters should be no longer than 350 words and must be signed and carry the author's name, address and phone number (for verification only). We will not publish

anonymous letters. Letter writers are limited to one letter every two weeks. Longer community comment columns, such as Other Views, must be no more than 700 words. Writers must provide a recent headshot and a one-sentence biography. Like letters to the editor, columns must refrain from complaints against businesses or personal attacks against private individuals. Submissions must carry the author's name, address and phone number. • Submission does not guarantee

publication, which is at the discretion of the editor. **SEND LETTERS TO:** 

letters@lagrandeobserver.com or via mail to Editor, 911 Jefferson Ave., La Grande, OR 97850







# Compromise only goes so far





RYNDA CLARK & **MATHIEU FEDERSPIEL** OTHER VIEWS

arlier this month, our organizations joined with our allies and went to court to restore protections for large trees in Eastern Oregon. The editors of this paper argued it represented failure on all sides. We agree. To a point.

Anytime we go to court, it is the result of failure. In this case, it was the Forest Service's failure to abide by the law. A fundamental concept of our democracy is that no one — not even the government — is above the law. Regardless of their means, citizens have a right to hold their government accountable.

Our primary concern is for the health of our forests and communities. We support some thinning near communities and appropriate restoration of forests that have been degraded by fire suppression, logging and overgrazing. None of those things require cutting our biggest and oldest trees or logging the backcountry.

When a political appointee signed a decision to undermine decades old protections for our forests, it capped off a politically driven process. It also cut sovereign tribes and the general public off from legally required opportunities to seek a better outcome.

Left with no choice but to allow the illegally amended rule to stand or challenge it in court, we chose to fight for our forests and our rights. Had the substance of the decision been different, we'd fully expect the logging industry to do the same.

We understand there are other perspectives out there. While we find their rhetoric misleading, we acknowledge the logging industry has a right to free speech and to use their political clout to increase their profits.

The real failure is with the Forest Service, which failed to honor commitments made more than two decades ago.

Supporters of the new rules that allow logging the largest 3% of trees often tell the half-truth that they replaced protections that were meant to be temporary. They don't mention those protections were meant to be temporary until the agency crafted comprehensive rules that would take all interests into account — including those of us who advocate for clean water, wildlife habitat and healthy communities. That never happened.

The agency regularly made exceptions to the rules. Sometimes with our support.

When we learned the Trump administration was changing the rules, we were skeptical. Still, we participated in good faith. Just as the editors suggested, we sat down and offered compromises and proposals we thought could lead to a good outcome.

However, those olive branches were brushed aside. During a period of historic conflict and distraction, and under tremendous political pressure, the agency pushed toward a predetermined outcome.

That outcome was opposed by dozens of conservation, climate, Indigenous and public health groups, thousands of citizens, 115 independent scientists, and even former Forest Service leadership.

We played by the rules. The agency did not. So, when a political appointee ended the process by signing a decision that violated numerous laws, we were left with little choice but to exercise our constitutional

We join the editors in wanting to see compromise from all sides and a better path forward. We also agree that Sen. Wyden has a history of bringing folks together as he did with his East Side forest bill years ago.

rights and stand up to our own government.

For that to happen again, protections must be restored, with the goal of working toward a viable solution. We'll be waiting at the table.

Rynda Clark is on the leadership team of the Great Old Broads for Wilderness, which has four active chapters in Oregon. Mathieu Federspiel is on the leadership team of the Juniper Group of the Oregon Sierra Club.

#### **CONTACT YOUR REPRESENTATIVES**

### **GOVERNOR**

**Kate Brown** 

160 State Capitol 900 Court St. Salem, OR 97301-4047 503-378-4582

### **STATE REPRESENTATIVES**

**Bobby Levy, District 58** 900 Court St. NE, H-376 Salem, OR 97301

503-986-1458 Rep.BobbyLevy@state.or.us

#### **Greg Smith, District 57**

900 Court St. NE, H-482 Salem, OR 97301 503-986-1457 Rep. Greg Smith @ state. or. us

#### **STATE SENATOR**

Bill Hansell, District 29 900 Court St. NE, S-415 Salem, OR 97301 503-986-1729 Sen.BillHansell@state.or.us

#### SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION

## SUBSCRIBE AND SAVE

NEWSSTAND PRICE: \$1.50 You can save up to 55% off the single-copy price with home delivery. Call 800-781-3214 to subscribe.

#### Subscription rates:

Monthly Autopay	\$10.75
13 weeks	\$37.00
26 weeks	\$71.00
52 weeks	\$135.00

## **JBSERVER**

An independent newspaper founded in 1896

#### www.lagrandeobserver.com

Periodicals postage paid at Pendleton, Oregon 97801 Published Tuesdays, Thursdays and Saturdays (except postal holidays) by EO Media Group, 911 Jefferson Ave., La Grande, OR 97850 (USPS 299-260)

The Observer retains ownership and copyright protection of all staff-prepared news copy, advertising copy, photos and news or ad illustrations. They may not be reproduced without explicit prior approval. COPYRIGHT © 2022

## 541-963-3161

Toll free (Oregon): 1-800-781-3214

news@lagrandeobserver.com

#### **POSTMASTER**

Send address changes to: The Observer, 911 Jefferson Ave. La Grande, OR 97850

#### STAFF

Regional publisher	Karrine Brogoitti	Но
Interim editor	Andrew Cutler	Ad
News clerk	Lisa Lester Kelly	Ad
Reporter	Dick Mason	Na
Reporter	Isabella Crowley	Gra
Reporter	Shannon Golden	

i	Home delivery adviser Amanda Turkington
	Advertising representative Kelli Craft
,	Advertising representative Amy Horn
l	National accounts coordinator Devi Mathson
,	Graphic design Dorothy Kautz

