

# Work Shirts

59c

Blue Chambray Work Shirts at this new low price.

**Men's Wear**  
1309 Adams Ave.  
Grant Bean, Mgr.

La Grande's Cash Store  
For Men

# OREGON OAK HAS FUTURE

OREGON AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE, Corvallis, Ore., (Special)—A big future for the use of Oregon oak in lumber and furniture-manufacture is predicted by W. W. Craig of Salem, who has been attending the industrial conference at the college. Because similar conditions have usually been made, Oregon oak is continually considered inferior to Eastern oak.

"When we compare Oregon oak with Eastern oak, they have not out the best of the Eastern oak," said Craig. "We put a poor average alongside for a comparison and condemn our own product."

"The crushing test is considered to be a fair test—Oregon oak will stand double the test of Eastern oak. The layers of Oregon oak are closer together, the spring wood fall growths being practically equal, so there is a uniform development of the whole tree."

That Oregon people in general have grown to refer to Oregon oak as "scrub oak" was pointed out by Craig. While it is true the average size of the Oregon oak is smaller than that of the East, it should also be remembered that the trees here are younger, on the average.

Craig, who has made a life study of woods, is considered an

# STORMS HALT COVE HARVEST

COVE (Special)—The rain and hail storm Sunday afternoon put a stop to harvesting for a few days.

T. H. Johnson and A. L. Becker, and son, Troy, left for their sheep camp on the Minam Tuesday morning.

Mrs. George Becker, of Cortland, is visiting at the home of her sons, Albert and Clarence Becker. She reports the arrival of a grandson on July 2 at the home of her daughter, Mrs. D. A. McBride, of Walla Walla, Washington.

Mr. and Mrs. G. M. Jaeger and son, Terrell, and daughters, Gladys and Nina, of Meridian, Idaho, en route home from a visit with relatives in California, stopped over for a few days in Cove to visit friends and relatives.

N. Daron, in home from the Grande Ronde hospital and is getting along fine.

Laurel Miller and family returned from Baker Friday, where they spent the past month.

The families of J. J. Baker and Jay Hartley spent the past week camping on the Minam.

E. T. Boswell was on the sick list last week.

L. J. Chadwick is stacking bundles this week.

Mr. and Mrs. Nell Kight and son, visited at the home of John Chadwick at Union recently.

Mrs. Carl Peterson is helping at the Johnson farm for a few weeks.

Mr. and Mrs. L. V. Johnson and children, Rina and Lester, Jr., visited at the T. H. Johnson home the later part of the week. They accompanied by Mrs. Johnson have gone to Eagle Creek for a few days camping and fishing trip.

H. J. Baker was a business visitor to La Grande Monday.

Miss Marian Geise is visiting at Pendleton.

Mrs. Carl Linders, of La Grande, and Mrs. Ella Anderson visited E. T. Boswell Monday.

G. G. Stachland is painting his farm house.

Mrs. L. J. Chadwick took the boys of her Sunday school class for an outing last week.

Farmers are expecting a threshing in this vicinity next week.

Mr. and Mrs. Nell Kight were business visitors to La Grande Monday.

authority in the field. He is now supervising the making of furniture for the boys' school at Woodburn.



**THE GENERAL IS OFF.** Appointed by President Coolidge as head of a commission to settle boundary disputes between Chile and Peru, General Pershing has sailed with his aides for South America.

### FIND IT HERE

Copy for this column must be in by 9:00 a. m.

Dandruff of any nature and falling out of the hair. Our germicide and Dandruff Eradicator for the a bottle stops dandruff in five days and falling of the hair in a month very generally. Sold only by Silverthorn-Wright's family drug store. 7-29-25

Cooked food and spring fryers at the Exchange, tomorrow. Mrs. E. S. Norris. 8-7-11

Skin troubles and eczema of all kinds will relieve all troubles of this nature in a very few days and very generally produces a cure. A new preparation that is sold only by Silverthorn-Wright's family drug store at \$1.99 a bottle. 7-29-25

FREE—A police star to boys and girls at the Sunday matinee at the Arcade. 15-8-25

See Prince Leo, the World's Wonder Horse, Arcade Sunday. 9-8-25

**WHAT'S WORTH DOING** is worth doing well. Get into the new picture contest and the class in the Art of Picture Taking given by Richardson "The Art Man" at Richardson's Art & Gift Shop. It's a horrid of fun and absolutely FREE. 41-8-7-11

Large truck load dry Boxwood \$6.99. L. B. Meadler Lumber Co. Long Tree. 7-24-25

**NOTICE.** I will not be responsible for any debts contracted by Myrtle C. George. JESS W. GEORGE. 8-8-25

**ICE TEA SETS.** See the new glassware, and beautiful Ice Tea Sets at Richardson's Art & Gift Shop. 15-8-7-11

Gen. Chu Pei is one of the great generals of China. He ought to be in charge of the communique. South Bend Tribune.

August tenth last day to pay your water rent without a penalty. 8-8-25

**STARKEY LOCALS**  
STARKKEY (Special)—Mr. and Mrs. Jim Ross, Jr. and family came over from Pendleton last week. Mr. Ross is working on the Thornburg combine.  
Mr. and Mrs. Lynn Ingman, of Cove are back at Starkey to harvest their crop on the A. J. Sullivan ranch.  
Mr. and Mrs. W. A. Smith, Mrs. Jack Stines and Oscar Johnson were in La Grande one day last week.  
Mr. and Mrs. P. V. Gorman, of Perry, were visitors at the home of Mr. and Mrs. H. A. Thornburg Sunday.

**Tokyo Newspaper for Blind**  
First of Kind in Japan

**TOKYO (AP)**—Daily newspaper printed in Braille, the first publication in Japan for the blind, recently had its first issue. It consists of two sheets printed on both sides, giving four printed pages of news for those who cannot see. It is published at a weekly Japanese philanthropist, is financing the enterprise and the editor is S. Hirohata.

As all newspapers in Japan are censored, the Tokyo police authorities are somewhat embarrassed as to how censorship can be applied to the new publication as it is claimed by one in the police department is familiar with the Braille system.

**Russian Wolves Cause Huge Loss**  
MOSCOW (AP)—Description of livestock by wolves during the last year entailed a loss of 4,000,000 gold rubles. During that time, statistics show that 52,000 horses, 60,000 cattle and 25,000 other animals were devoured by the wolves.

That nerve tonic and builder prepared from a new prescription will put "pep" into your system and restore your nerves and general ability in a very few days and it costs you only \$1.00 a bottle. Sold only by Silverthorn-Wright's family drug store. 7-29-25

Reminiscing bearing shop, holes, etc. Norton's Kidney Shop. 10-14-25



**HIS NAME IS JEROME BASSITT.** He lives in San Francisco, and his dream is to make a "Monte Carlo" out of the Aztec City project, now under construction on the border of Mexico, 17 miles from San Diego and four miles from Tijuana.

**NOTICE OF SHERIFF'S SALE ON FORECLOSURE EXECUTION.**

Notice is hereby given that under and by virtue of an execution issued upon an order of sale and decree of foreclosure, which execution was issued out of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Union County on the 5th day of August, 1925, in a proceeding thereinafore entitled: The United States National Bank of Los Angeles, Oregon, a national banking association, plaintiff, versus Bert Conley and Vera Conley, Island City Mercantile and Milling company, a corporation, and the First National Bank of Union, Oregon, a national banking association, defendants, wherein it was decreed: (1) That the said plaintiff have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$18,000.00, with interest at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, until paid, the sum of \$189.00 as attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$25.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of a mortgage described in the complaint, upon the hereinafter described property, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (2) That the defendant Island City Mercantile and Milling company, a corporation, have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$1,255.99, with interest at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, the sum of \$199.00 as attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant Island City Mercantile and Milling company, the lien of said mortgage being a second lien thereon; (3) That the defendant First National Bank of Union, Oregon, recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$612.99, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (4) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (5) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (6) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (7) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (8) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (9) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (10) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (11) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (12) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (13) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (14) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (15) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (16) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (17) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (18) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (19) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (20) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (21) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (22) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (23) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (24) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (25) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (26) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (27) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (28) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (29) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (30) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (31) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (32) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (33) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (34) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (35) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (36) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (37) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (38) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (39) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (40) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (41) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (42) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (43) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (44) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (45) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (46) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (47) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (48) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (49) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (50) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (51) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (52) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (53) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (54) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (55) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (56) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (57) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (58) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (59) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum of \$200.00, with interest thereon at the rate of 8 per cent per annum from July 22, 1925, together with \$15.99 attorney fees, and costs and disbursements taxed at \$10.00, and that said sums were a good, valid and subsisting lien under and by virtue of the mortgage described in the answer and cross-complaint of said defendant First National Bank of Union, the lien of said mortgage being a first lien thereon; (60) That the said bank have and recover of and from the defendants Bert Conley and Vera Conley, jointly and severally, the sum