



**GET COVE  
MILLING CO'S  
GERMS, FLOUR,  
GRAHAM AND  
WHOLEWHEAT  
It's the BEST.**

**GRANDE RONDE CASH CO. INC.**

Distributors to the Dealers.

La Grande Oregon.

## "STUDENTS"

Of household economy give the palm to

### "WHITE QUARTZ FLOUR"

For the baking of delicious breads, rolls, cakes and all pastry.

### "WHITE QUARTZ FLOUR"

will make your loaves light and of a delightful flavor. It will go further in the baking in making more loaves to the amount of flour than from any other flour you can get in this County.

Get it from your Grocer—He recommends it.

"A TRIAL WILL CONVINCE"



**WENAH LUMBER CO.**

Main 732

La Grande, Ore.

**DON'T LOCK THE DOOR AFTER THE HORSE HAS BEEN STOLEN.**

but before, Mr. House Owner. Put the right kind of lumber into the house in the first place—then you won't get sore when you have to replace poor quality with the kind that lasts. Our lumber has the staying and lasting quality and the appearance that counts.

## OLIVER DEFENDS FEE DECISION

**HOLDS JUDGE KNOWLES ACTED ENTIRELY PROPER.**

**Fee Allowed in Morrison Case Discussed by Defeated Attorney.**

Senator Turner Oliver, the attorney who resisted the escheat proceedings of the state in the famous John Morrison case, having been engaged in the trial twice in the lower court and twice in the supreme court, has a communication in last Sunday's Portland Journal in which he explains in

"Dern it," he said, "this here has ruined the first shine I ever had in my life, and I rode thirty miles to Jackson yesterday to git 'em slicked up."—New York World.

## TRIPPING THE LIGHT FANTASTIC

**Statesman Distinguishes Himself in Old Virginia.**

Senator Claude A. Swanson of Virginia is a highly successful campaigner and is exceedingly popular throughout his state. Much of his popularity is due to the fact that he is a "good mixer."

They tell a story in Virginia about how he attended some festivity out in a rural district during one notable campaign and engaged in the old-fashioned Virginia reel. For his partner Swanson had the local belle, and he was doing his best to show his gallantry. It came their turn to forward and back, and Swanson, who is extremely quick and light in his movements, pranced down the center. But the girl was flirting with a rural swain and missed the cue. Back tripped Swanson and down the line again, head erect and elbows keeping time to the music. Once more Swanson balanced gayly forward, when suddenly an anxious feminine voice called out from the onlookers:

"Dance up thar, Sal! Can't you see Mr. Swanson's all a-quiver?"—New York Tribune.

## Your Druggist Stops That Itch

If you are suffering from Eczema, Psoriasis or any other kind of skin trouble, drop into our store for instant relief. We will guarantee you to stop that itch in two seconds.

We have sold other remedies for skin troubles, but none that we could recommend as highly as this, a mild wash of Oil of Wintergreen Thymol and a few other ingredients that have wrought such wonderful cures all over the country.

This compound is known as D.D.D. Prescription for Eczema and it will cool and heal the itchy, burning skin as nothing else can.

A 25c trial bottle will prove it. Of course all other druggists have D.D.D. Prescription—go to them if you can't come to us—but don't accept some big-profit substitute.

But if you come to our store, we are certain of what D.D.D. will do for you that we offer you a full size bottle on this guarantee:—If you do not find that it takes away the itch AT ONCE it costs you not a cent.

detail the facts and circumstances leading up to the allowance to Cochran & Cochran of attorneys' fees amounting to \$7,500.00 for work of about four years upon the case which was hotly contested at every point and which was determined by two Union county juries and the supreme court against the clients of Mr. Oliver. In fixing the fee in this case, in which no fee whatever would have been forthcoming in case of failure, Senator Oliver says Judge Knowles "did just what any other judge would have done under the same circumstances." While he criticizes the acceptance of affidavits instead of having each attorney give testimony in open court, yet he calls attention to the fact that some attorneys swore that in a case where the remuneration for services depended wholly on a successful final determination, one-half the amount in controversy, or \$11,250.00, would have been proper, and shows that Judge Knowles allowed the smallest fee possible, under the evidence. The fact that, although 20 days were allowed to make a counter showing, none was filed, is adverted to. The senator refers to what he terms "exasperating features" of the case in the acceptance by authority of the supreme court of a deposition of an old man in Scotland relative to "town gossip 75 years or more old;" in the acceptance of a deposition of a local witness said by physicians to be unable to appear in court, when, according to the senator, the witness has since been in La Grande quite frequently; and in the allowance of \$563.05 for "expenses" of a witness from Union, Oregon, to Alva, Scotland when, as the attorney states, the witness denied at the trial that he had any interest in the case.

That part of the senator's letter referring to the fee allowed is as follows:

Reflections Unjust to Judge Knowles.

"There has been considerable comment of late through the press of the state on the unusual attorney fee recently allowed to Cochran & Cochran in the Morrison escheat case and some of this comment seems to me to reflect unjustly upon Judge J. W. Knowles before whom the case was tried and who allowed the attorney fee. On behalf of Judge Knowles I desire to say that he acted fairly throughout the trial, under the law as he understood it, and in the allowance of the attorney fee he did just what any other judge would have done under the same circumstances. The matter of the allowance of the attorney fee was presented to the judge on affidavits only, and entirely ex parte so far as the affidavits were concerned. The affidavits had been procured by Cochran & Cochran from a number of the leading attorneys in the state and in these affidavits a few of the attorneys fixed the fee as low as \$7500, a few of them above \$10,000 but the bulk of them at \$10,000.

Lower Sum Taken.

"No counter affidavits were filed by the state, so that the only evidence before the court ranged from \$7500 to upwards of \$10,000. In fixing the fee at \$7500, the court placed it at the lowest sum which was in evidence before the court. I was permitted to appear as a friend of the court and objected to having the attorney fee proved by affidavits and claimed that this fact should be established by evidence taken in open court. I still think that this is the only proper way of determining any disputed fact; but after the court had overruled objections to the affidavit testimony, and denied motions to strike out the affidavits as not being competent testimony and allowed the state two weeks to file counter affidavits, which it did not do, the only thing it could do on the record before it was to find not less than \$7500 nor more than \$11,250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.

"The court did find for the minimum amount as shown by this affidavit testimony. If the court committed an error of law in not striking out the affidavits as incompetent and in overruling the objections to the claim of \$10,000 as attorney fee, an appeal lies to the supreme court which can determine the matter and declare what the law is, but if affidavit testimony is legally competent to establish the fact as to what would be a reasonable attorney fee in this case, the circuit court on the testimony before it could not have found any smaller amount than what it did find."

250, that being the range of the testimony as shown by the affidavits.