

OREGON MIST.

PUBLISHED EVERY FRIDAY. SIDE-HEAD PARAGRAPHS.

DAM WASHED OUT.—The dam belonging to W. D. Connell, on Sprague creek, a tributary of Tide creek, washed out last Sunday.

MARRIED.—We are in receipt of the Little Falls, (Wash.) Star, containing the marriage notice of Thos. F. Ellis and Mrs. Ellen Turner.

A FREE FERRY.—The Stark street ferry in Portland was opened for free passage to foot passengers on New Year day.

FOOT BADLY CUT.—Joseph Everard, who has been in the employ of Dr. Cliff for several months, had the misfortune to severely cut his foot last Tuesday.

ASSESSORS QUARREL.—Over in Wasco county there is a dispute between the two assessors as to who is entitled to hold the office until March 1st.

PLANK WALK MEETING.—It is desired that all persons interested in the building of a plank walk between St. Helens and Houlton should meet at the courthouse in St. Helens next Monday evening at 7:30 o'clock.

THE HOLOCAUST.—The list of dead in the Silver Lake holocaust published in the Eugene Guard last week is subject to this correction from later advices.

DIVERSE OPINIONS.—Down at Newport they discuss the question of what would happen if Bonner & Hammond got the Oregon Pacific, says the Corvallis Times.

AN HONEST MAN.—Twenty-two years ago N. P. Briggs, of Corvallis, had a claim of \$118 against the estate of a Mr. Houghton, of which H. N. Hastings was executor.

LAST SHAM BATTLE.—The sham battle just concluded at Fort Klamath will, in all probability, be the last one in which the Indians on the Klamath reservation will participate.

AN OLD SOLDIER'S RECOMMENDATION.—In the late war I was a soldier in the First Maryland Volunteers, Company G. During my term of service I contracted chronic diarrhoea.

CLATSKANIE.

Rev. White spent last Sunday in Rainier attending the quarterly meeting exercises for this circuit.

Mrs. Frank Merrill and son, Orville, returned the first of the week, after an absence of three weeks among friends up the river.

Preparations are being made for an entertainment under the auspices of the Epworth League, to be held in the church on Friday evening 26th.

Professor T. J. Cleeton and wife spent a couple of days in our city last week greeting old friends.

A surprise party was given to Professor J. D. Hawes last Monday evening at the residence of J. A. Fabrique.

The melting snow and heavy rains of the past week swelled the streams about here to an enormous size.

Foot badly cut.—Joseph Everard, who has been in the employ of Dr. Cliff for several months, had the misfortune to severely cut his foot last Tuesday.

Assessors quarrel.—Over in Wasco county there is a dispute between the two assessors as to who is entitled to hold the office until March 1st.

Plank walk meeting.—It is desired that all persons interested in the building of a plank walk between St. Helens and Houlton should meet at the courthouse in St. Helens next Monday evening at 7:30 o'clock.

The holocaust.—The list of dead in the Silver Lake holocaust published in the Eugene Guard last week is subject to this correction from later advices.

Diverse opinions.—Down at Newport they discuss the question of what would happen if Bonner & Hammond got the Oregon Pacific, says the Corvallis Times.

An honest man.—Twenty-two years ago N. P. Briggs, of Corvallis, had a claim of \$118 against the estate of a Mr. Houghton, of which H. N. Hastings was executor.

Last sham battle.—The sham battle just concluded at Fort Klamath will, in all probability, be the last one in which the Indians on the Klamath reservation will participate.

An old soldier's recommendation.—In the late war I was a soldier in the First Maryland Volunteers, Company G. During my term of service I contracted chronic diarrhoea.

PERSONAL AND GENERAL.

County court adjourned Saturday. James Muckleleft Sunday for Salem where he expected to remain several days.

W. H. Dolman went to Salem the first of the week to attend to important business.

Dr. Cliff was called to Woodland Sunday to see Mrs. Albert Henke, who is seriously ill.

County seat petitions have been circulated in this end of the county during the past week.

John Cooper and Gracie Dart, who have been seriously ill with typhoid fever, have entirely recovered.

We learn with sorrow of the death of Mrs. Blackford, wife of E. C. Blackford, editor of the Clatskanie Chief.

We have been assured by the parties interested that the bank at this place will be open for business within a short time.

A gentleman was in from the vicinity of Bunker Hill Monday with a panther scalp which measured 7 feet 9 inches from tip to tip.

Rev. J. C. Wilson preached his first sermon in St. Helens last Sunday night, which was well received by the unusually large audience present.

Mrs. Jacob Brouse returned to her home in Rainier Tuesday, after visiting relatives here. She was accompanied by Mrs. Both, of that place.

A feed and commission house is said to be one of the possibilities for St. Helens some time soon.

J. A. Waddle, of Portland, representing W. J. VanSnyder & Co., was in the city Tuesday looking after the business interests of his firm.

The Ocklaha towed a ship up past St. Helens Tuesday, and came so close to the wharf you could almost see the color of the captain's eyes.

Noted quite a slack-up in the going to Portland. Mr. Dolman put a stop on them by cutting under Portland prices.

Some time ago Mr. Simon Goldbaum of San Luis Rey, Cal., was troubled with a lame back and rheumatism.

Chamberlain's Cough Remedy is famous for its cures of bad colds. It opens the secretions, relieves the lungs and aids nature in restoring the system to a healthy condition.

One absorbing topic of interest during the week was the discussion of the action of the school board mentioned in your issue of the 4th.

The canvass of the vote of the several county school superintendents by the state board of education, consisting of Governor Penneyer, Secretary of State McBride and Superintendent McElroy, for a series of textbooks to be used in the public schools of Oregon for a period of six years, under the law, exhibits the following results:

First—No textbook has received a majority of the votes cast under the following topics: Orthography, spelling, blank drawing, physical geography, rhetoric and composition and English literature.

Second—There has been no change in the following textbooks that are now in use, and they have been re-adopted for use in all the public schools of the state, to-wit: Swinton's new word analysis; Barnes' new national readers; Spencerian system and copy-books; Fish's arithmetic; No. 1 and 2; Brock's mental arithmetic; Montell's elementary and comprehensive geographies; Smith's series of primary textbooks in physiology and hygiene; Steele's physiology and hygiene for high school and advanced schools; Barnes' primary and brief history of the United States; Loomis' general history; Montell's popular science; Robinson's algebra and geometry; Bryant & Stratton's system of bookkeeping; Steele's chemistry, botany physics, astronomy and geology.

Third—The textbooks that have been changed are as follows: Maxwell's first book in language, introduction to English grammar and advanced English grammar have been adopted instead of Barnes' language lessons; Sill's English grammar and Clark's normal grammar; Peterman's civil government, Oregon edition, has been adopted instead of Young's classbook.

MAYGER ITEMS.

James R. Buckler has gone to Portland on a visit to friends.

Mrs. A. Croker has returned from Portland and looks well and hearty.

W. K. Traylor and son and G. E. Wagner are making wood together for the Mayger company.

Mrs. Thomas Severn and her sister, Daisy Ewing, returned home on Saturday from their visit to Seaside.

The fishermen are doing well here at present. They are not only making good catches, but receive a good price.

Ed. Anderson, of this place, brought home his bride last week. We all wish them a long life of happiness and prosperity.

Mrs. William Fluhrer, who has been on a visit to her daughter in Michigan for some time, is expected to return in a short time.

Elmer Meserve came out from Delena last Sunday and reports having had a very hard time getting through, because of fallen timber.

The snow has left us once more and the streams are booming. The result of the whole thing is that the Mayger Company are pretty heavy losers.

Wm. Blackford is still young in heart if not in body, for we saw him one day last week with no less than five young ladies riding on his sleigh.

Arthur Croker is lining and papering his house and giving it a general finish. It will be, when done, the finest house on Knob Hill, except the residence of Mr. Blackford.

Last Saturday night the steamer Dixon brought several passengers to our burg, among them being J. Boles and Mrs. Durstine.

Denies the statement.—In these columns appeared an item last week which reflected on Mr. Siuda and Mrs. Ramblaski, both of whom live in the Poland settlement on the Nehalem river.

Mr. H. H. Doan, of Rainier, took her departure, with her children, last Monday, for Sandwich, Illinois, where she will join her husband, who went hence last August.

People desirous of buying a holiday present would do well to order from G. HEITKEMPER, The Portland Jeweler, Corner of Third and Morrison.

Notice to Creditors.—The undersigned having been appointed administrator of the estate of Ole Olsen, deceased, all persons having claims against said estate are requested to present the same to me with proper vouchers at my residence, in the town of New Island, in Columbia county, State of Oregon, within six months from the date hereof.

SHERIFF'S SALE.—By virtue of an execution, judgment, order and decree, duly issued out of and under the seal of the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon, County of Columbia, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W. J. VanSnyder plaintiff, and against W. A. Meecher and Mary E. Meecher as defendants, to me duly directed, dated the 18th day of January, 1900, upon a judgment and decree rendered on the 4th day of January, 1898, and entered on the 5th day of January, 1898, in favor of W