Printing of photos not evidence of Emerald racism



MARTIN FISHER

"Okie use' to mean you was from Oklahoma. Now it just means you're scum. Don't mean nothing itself, it's the way they say it.'

- John Steinbeck

f a picture is worth a thousand words, the three photos on the front page of Tuesday's Emerald are worth a book or so you would believe, judging from the response they generated.

The photos in question were of the three University of Washington athletes arrested Oct. 31 and charged with first-degree burglary and first-degree sex abuse after an alleged incident at the University Inn.

The publication of the photos. according to some recent critics, was simply another example of the Emerald's racist attitude. Recent letters to the editor suggest the photos served no genuinely newsworthy purpose, and instead merely exacerbate society's pre-existing racial ten-

The publication of photos. when available, serves a number of purposes, not the least of which is to prevent potential confusion as to the identities of the story's subjects. For all anybody knows, there is another Jason Shelley, Prentiss Perkins or Douglas Barnes who attend the University of Washington. The photos serve to clarify their identities

The photos also serve as a notice to the public that, if they have any information regarding the suspects, they should come forward. If a person saw one of the suspects on the other side of town at the time of the alleged incident, it is unlikely that person would recognize the suspect by his name, but is more likely

to recognize the photo. The same principle applies to potential victims of crimes not yet known to police.

But to suggest the photos promote racism is ludicrous. The underlying supposition to the charge is that had the suspects been white, their photos would not have been front-page mater-

It is obvious, however, that the critics either are new to the University, have short or selective memories or choose to ignore the truth. In a letter appearing in Friday's Emerald, three writers claim, "There have been students in this campus convicted of rape and other crimes, yet we are not warned nor do we have printed photographs of assailants of various racial backgrounds.

A quick look back through the past few years' Emerald's reveals no stories about students convicted of rape. However, we should not forget the saga of Michael Patrick Ryan, the 33year-old University student and dorm resident who allegedly raped a woman in the dorm's weight room. Ryan fled Eugene in a stolen car and allegedly raped another woman in Boise, Idaho, before killing himself in

Yes, Ryan's photo appeared on the front page of the Emerald, and yes, Ryan was white. So what's the point? Following the publication of that photo, no one bothered to claim the Emerald was suggesting white, 33year-old men who live in dorms cannot be trusted because they're rapists.

In April, the Emerald ran a photo of a University freshman and fraternity pledge who pleaded guilty to intimidation charges following the beating of a bisexual man. Again, there was no outcry. No one suggested his photo stigmatized young, white males who are freshmen or fraternity pledges

Along the same lines, the photo in Thursday's Register-Guard of Christopher Ray Hare, who is accused of kidnapping and sexually abusing a two-year-old Eugene girl, does not mean the

Guard is suggesting scraggly looking white men with long hair are all potential kidnappers and child molesters.

The Emerald did not print the three photos because the suspects are black. It printed the photos because they were available. The photos appeared the same day in the Guard and the night before on local television news broadcasts. They, too, displayed the photos because they were available, not because of the color of the suspect's skin.

It's important to examine the photos in context with the story they support. Charges of racism would be valid if, for example, the headline read, "Charges against three black athletes reduced," or if the story made reference to black athletes, black suspects or just black men.

Obviously no such references exist, either in the Emerald or any other media. In fact, the only people engaged in racebaiting are those critics who seek to apply racial overtones wherever possible. One letter writer suggested the photos' "prejudicial effect clearly outweighs any beneficial effect."

The photos are not prejudicial. Their publication is not prejudicial. The prejudice exists solely in the minds of those who are incapable of seeing beyond the color of a person's skin and who cannot think beyond the most simplistic terms - black men are bad men and printing their photos proves it.

Other critics have wondered why the Emerald didn't print a photo of a fraternity pledge who was alleged to have raped a University student following a party last year. Certainly it wasn't to protect the identity of the woman, who made her story public in numerous letters to the editor and commentaries on the pages of the Emerald. So what other reason could there have been? Was it because he was white, or because the Emerald doesn't consider rape a serious enough event to warrant running a suspect's photos?

In that case, the student was never prosecuted, and thus his identity, as well as his photo,

was never released to the Emerald. And although he may have been prosecuted under the Student Conduct Code, those proceedings are confidential. The Emerald cannot print what the Emerald does not know.

In fact, during the past three years, the Emerald has only have printed the photo of religious activists who appeared on campus more than a week ago, because doing so simply reinforces the notion that all Christians are bigoted hate mongers who get off on condemning all that doesn't meet their value

The photos are not prejudicial. Their publication is not prejudicial. The prejudice exists solely in the minds of those who are incapable of seeing beyond the color of a person's skin.

printed photos of criminal suspects three times, and two of (mentioned involved white suspects.

The Emerald has printed a number of stories involving both current and former University football players involved in alleged criminal activity, but none of their photos were ever printed. Why? Because the crimes of which they were accused were relatively minor (civil disturbances, recklessly starting a fire, etc.) and thus did not warrant photographs.

Many of the people involved in those incidents also happened to be black. If the Emerald had some sort of racist agenda, would it not have published those photos as well? What reason could the Emerald possibly have for not printing those photos, considering the paper is a "portrait in white racism"

Perspective is everything when trying to interpret a photograph. For example, the sports section of the Oct. 28 Guard contained two photos, both of black athletes. Should this be interpreted as meaning blacks are good athletes, but not much

Or perhaps the Nov. 4 Guard photo of a 78-year-old man, in conjunction with a story about eye disease, is an attempt to stereotype the elderly as sickly. weak and generally less than

Maybe the Emerald shouldn't

Obviously these notions can be stretched into infinity until the only option is to avoid printing any photographs. Information should not be withheld from the public because someone considers it offensive.

On Tuesday, fliers labeling the Emerald as racist appeared around campus. Someone with a little too much time on their hands created a collage of Emerald headlines, letters to the editor and photos of various staff members, yours truly included.

The fliers called the Emerald a "portrait in white racism" and called for firing Emerald Editor Jake Berg. The fliers are harmless, so far as the Emerald and those labeled "racists" are concerned

However, the same is not true for the three men whose photos are the subject of the controversy. The flier also displays their photos in a rather gratuitous manner, using them only because they are black. The flier is racist to the core in its portrayal of black men for the sole purpose of race baiting.

The problem lies with people who act without regard for the consequences of their actions. It does not lie with the media that report those actions. Reality is rarely as black and white as some people would like you to

Martin Fisher is a columnist for the Emerald



Sat. 11:30 am - 2:30 pm

可尖針蝦酸 Steamed Shrimp Dumplings (Ha Gow) 幼黄蕊娘音

Steamed Pork Dumplings (Sin Mai) 酸计基肉排 Steamed Spareribs 野峡蓝牛肚

> Steamed Beef Tripe 性油料竹糕 Steamed Bean Wraps

金黄煎堆仔 Deep-Fried Sweet Sesame Ball 漢字籍 | 林

> Lo Bak Xo 皮蛋瘦肉粥 Thousand Egg Rice Soup 數圖爪

Steamed Chicken Feet

Espresso. Enjoy an Udinese espresso drink at the U of O vs. Stanford game on November 12

Beechwood-roasted Udinese Caffé is an Italian tradition possessing true espresso taste without bitterness and bite.

Enjoy the true taste of Espresso at the following locations:

Ambrosia Restaurant & Bar, Baba Yaga's Dream Co., Downtown Athletic Club, Excelsior Cafe, Euphoria Chocolate Co., Higher Ground Coffee Co., Gazebo Restaurant, Mazzi's Italian Restaurant, Udinese Espresso Cart at the U of O Campus, Udinese Caffé Showroom.



For a free brochure about purchasing Espresso machines call Udinese Caffé at: 1-800-992-9424 Coupon

Buy one Udinese Espresso drink & get a second Udinese espresso drink

FREE!

Expires 12/12/93

Limit: One coupor per person.

Gränd Opening

MCAT LSAT GRE GMAT SAT

KAPLAN, America's #1 test prep company has opened a new Eugene Center.

- Free information about latest exam changes
- Training Library
- Learn about Kaplan's course requirements
- Meet our expert test prep specialists

View classrooms and the

Enjoy refreshments

For information, call 345-4420 KAPLAN

WHATEVER YOU NEED ... IN THE ODE CLASSIFIEDS!