Supercollider tunnel has been sealed up

The estimated \$5.5 billion project that has turned into an \$8 billion, 54 mile tunnel is dead. The supercollider project that has been in the works since 1983 was voted out by Congress. For many they say, it is a sad day in science. Republican Jake Pickle, D-Texas, said,"The people who killed the collider are worse than the people who killed Santa Claus.'

Santa Claus seems to have been missing his rounds for quite some time now. Actually, there has never really been a Santa Claus, as far as politics is concerned. Hope-

fully, that won't come as a shock to Pickle. There is no super collider either. Pickle, equating the death of the project with the death of Santa Claus, should pro-vide a little insight to the significance of the project.

The 54 mile tunnel was to be used to study the origin of matter.

that was to far surpass its original cost. Its estimated completion date of 1999 is as far off as the project itself.

The 54-mile tunnel was to be used to study the origin of matter. This would be accomplished by forcing proton beams to collide in the tunnel. Projects like these are of the upmost interest and importance in the science community, but an estimated one billion dollars will be spent on the shut down. This billion dollars will enable the project to stay intact for possible future use, when Santa Claus is of significance important in the management of this country.

This is more than Congress merely flexing its political muscles. It is a great use of political power to scrap a project that should have been scrapped many years ago. The origin of matter seems of little importance at the present or even the future when compared to the trillion dollar debt that the US has acquired. Cuts have to be made, and finally, it seems a clean cut was made. If deficit reduction is going to happen, then continuing to deduct from projects like the supercollider is a wise choice. Science is a long term investment. Fortunately it is not long enough to see the light at the end of this 54-

This recent decision will probably make the future dismissal of funds to science related projects somewhat more difficult. The supercollider will no doubt be used as an example of the management of science-related money. Maybe that will be in the benefit of everyone, and future projects will be required to be scrupulously researched prior to the disposal of funds, at least to the point of seeing a trace of light at the end of the tunnel before digging at the beginning.

Science is an endless quest of money requests to fund longterm projects to answer, in this case, the unanswerable. Science does hold many of the necessary keys to the future. If the supercollider project would have been one of these keys, then the funding would have

Oregon Daily Eme

The Oregon Daily Emerald is published daily Monday through Friday during the school and Tuesday and Thursday during the summer by the Oregon Daily Emerald blishing Co., Inc., at the University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon.

The Emerald operates independently of the University with offices at Suite 300 of the Memorial Union and is a member of the Associated Press.

The Emerald is private property. The unlawful removal or use of papers is prosecutable.

ley Anderson David Thorn Jeff Paslay

。 第一章:"我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是我们的人,我们就是一个人,我们就

Associate Editors: Scot Clemens, Student Government/Activities, Rebecca Merritt, Rivers Janssen, Higher Education/Administration

News Staff: Leah Bower, Dave Charbonneau, Meg Dedolph, Amy Devenport, Malia Fields, Martin Fisher, Sarah Henderson, Arik Hesseldahl, Edward Klopfenstein, Yin Leng Leong, Trista Noel, Elisabeth Reenstjerna, Lia Salciccia, Scott Simonson, Stephanie Sisson, Susanne Steffens, Julie Swensen, Mictele Thompson-Aguiar, Kevin Tripp, Amy Van Tuyt, General Manager: Judy Riedl Valter Production Manager: Michele Ross

Advertising: Freilme Bell, Lyndsey Bernstein, Subir Dutta, Nicole Herzmark, Teresa Isabelle, Jeremy Mason, Michael Millette, Van V. O'Bryan II, Rachael Trull, Kelsey Wekell, Classified: Becky Merchant, Manager, Victor Mejia, Sim Tze Teck

Distribution: Brandon Anderson, John Long, Graham Simpson

Business: Kathy Carbone, Supervisor, Judy Connolly

Advertising Director: Mark Walter

Production: Dee McCobb, Production Coordinator, Shawna Abele, Greg Desmond, Tara Gaultney, Brad Joss, Jennifer Roland, Natt Thangvigit, Clayton Yee346-5511 Display Advertising

Classified Advertising













OPINION

Seats sold; students left in cold



SCOT CLEMENS

I don't like football.

Not that I'm one of those namby pambys who deplore the violence and seemingly incomprehensible pointlessness of the game. It just never caught my

Yet I realize that I stand in the minority, and therefore don't mind the fact that I pay for other people's football games through my student fees. For those who don't know (or never bothered to think about it), the ASUO via the IFC — pays the athletic department about a million bucks a year so that students can get into athletic events free, or nearly free. This system has worked for many years. Indeed, it worked well ... until about two weeks ago.

The Ducks were to play USC that weekend. Yet, Coach Brooks had some other things on his mind. According to the minutes of the October 4, athletic department meeting, "There was a discussion about selling section five as reserved seating for the USC game if student ticket sales do not improve.

Ah, section five. This is the section nestled up in the Southwest corner of Autzen Stadium. (It's a lovely view of. . ., well, the corner of the football field. And some football players. when ever they happen to be in view. In sports parlance, these seats suck.) It is also the section the ASUO - again, via the IFC tried to give back to the Athletic Department last year.

However, the Athletic Department didn't want them back (can you blame them?). According to associate athletic director

Sandy Walton there were two reasons they didn't want the seats back. First, they felt that students would lose out if they sold the seats back. Once you sell a section back to the department they don't often give them back evidently. (Thank God we have the athletic department to stop the IFC from making dumb decisions! If it weren't for the department the IFC would have been a mess for the last few years.)

Second, the athletic department is not dumb, they know they can't sell these tickets to anyone but the most desperate football fans.

So a deal was struck and the students had section five to themselves, almost.

Why almost?

Because according to the contract the department can sell tickets in the student section if students don't pick up their tickets by the Thursday before game

In the past this meant that general admission seats were sold in the student section. But on the fateful day of October 7. Brooks decided to sell them reserve. Once this decision was made, the entire section became reserved and the prices for all the seats went up to \$19.

When game day finally arrived, a large group of students waited to purchase tickets (granted the smart ones bought theirs before Thursday and were already sitting down). That day, over a hundred students were turned away (The ASUO estimates it was between 300-400. the department says 100-200). The estimates notwithstanding. 508 reserve tickets were sold in section five, leaving over four hundred seats unoccupied. (Yes, you number-crunchers, there was room enough for all.)

Let's do a little math: nineteen dollars, times 508 seats, equals \$9,662. Two dollars (the price of student admission), times 200 (a good average), equals \$400. Fifteen dollars (general admission price), times 508, equals \$7620.

It seems it is much more lucrative to turn the section into reserve than to leave it general admission. So what did we learn? The athletic department would rather sell few seats to fetch a higher price regardless of the spirit of the agreement with the student body. We also learned the department doesn't mind selling the students seats, then kicking them out, then reselling the seats for a higher

Now - I want to make this perfectly clear - the athletic department did not violate the contract. It is allowed to sell those tickets as reserve. The problem is that it did not warn students, nor was it done in a spirit that many people appre-

According to ASUO President Eric Bowen, he called the department when he got word of the plan the week before the game. He then called to confirm them and was told that section five would not be sold as reserve. Yet, on Thursday the department phoned him saying that, sure enough, the plans were back on. Bowen, not a hothead, was miffed.

Brooks did apologize for sending the student would never happen again. He also stated that he was responding to a funding problem. God knows the department has bills to pay, but perhaps in the future they will see to it that students don't lose out on a deal they should be able to count on.

Bowen, however, would be well advised to look over the contract when it is up for renewal and recommend that the IFC get rid of the loophole and any other clause that may be misused. Perhaps the ASUO can even unload section five.

Scot Clemens is an associate editor for the Emerald.