Oregon DAILY EMERALD

Tuesday, November 27, 1990

Eugene, Oregon

Volume 92, Issue 63

Briefly



Protests continue against the University administration for its decision to ban the Grateful Dead from playing at Autzen Stadium next summer, but University Vice President Dan Williams said the protesters are having no effect.

See story, Page 5

Students call the University Crisis Center
Helpline for help on everything from trouble in relationships to academic problems. The line is open 24 hours a day.

See story, Page 3

Sports

SALEM (AP) — The Oregon Lottery's basketball betting game is a financial flop that should be discontinued, the state Lottery Commission decided Monday.

Commission members approved a recommendation by Lottery Director Jim Davey that the weekly Sports Action game not include results of National Basketball Association

In its first season last year, Sports Action added results of NBA games to its lineup as the National Football League season was ending. But wagering dropped sharply after football was over.

"Last year just didn't generate the type of revenue we'd like to see from the (basketball) game." Davey said after Monday's meeting. "Definitely, football is the game people are interested in."

Football betting averaged about \$375,000 a week last season. Basketball betting dropped as low as \$35,000 a week.

Sports Action sales totaled \$7.2 million last year.

Monday's decision by the Lottery Commission does not affect the football betting game. Ticket sales in that game are doing well so far this year. lottery spokeswoman Marlene Meissner said.



Make music, not war

Musician Stuart Shulman joins other students Monday at the corner of 13th Avenue and Kincaid Street to protest the U.S. presence in the Middle East. Shulman said the protests will take place every Monday until U.S. troops leave the Persian Gulf region.

Photo by Andre Ranieri

Letter says voters not anti-education

By Peter Cogswell Emerald Associate Editor

State System of Higher Education Chancellor Thomas Bartlett has sent an open letter to state system university presidents discussing the future impact of Ballot Measure 5.

University president Myles Brand said he believes the letter is a positive step in dealing with the potential damage the measure, passed by voters Nov. 6, could cause to higher education throughout the state.

"It is positive in that the chancellor is taking time to communicate with people on the extent that he knows about it," Brand said.

It is important for the chancellor to keep open lines of communication throughout the state system and the letter is one way of doing this, Brand said.

Bartlett says in the letter he does not believe the passing of the measure was a vote against public services and certainly not a vote against higher education.

"It was a vote against excessive reliance on property taxes." Bartlett wrote. Later in the letter, he calls for looking to new political leaders in the state government to deal with the situation.

Brand said the letter makes it clear that the existing situation is not resolved and that the message from the chancellor's office is not to panic.

"It also makes it clear that any solution to resolve Measure 5 is going to have a tuition surcharge," Brand said.

Bartlett writes in the letter that the state system "is convinced that that there will need to be significant new tuition surcharges on the revenue side."

The state system and campus administrators are trying to understand the potential impact of the measure, to analyze their options, to communicate to campus constituencies and to communicate with political leadership, Bartlett wrote.

The University administration is in the process of distributing the letter across campus to faculty, staff and students. Students will most likely have the letter made available to them through student groups, though a final decision has not been made, a representative of Brand's office said.

School administrators asked 'What if?'

System's report to discuss possible effects of Measure 5

By Joe Kidd

Emerald Politics Editor

The state's executive depart-

ment last week ordered all state-funded agencies — including Oregon's Higher Education System — to submit plans how to respond to a 10 percent budget cut next year.

The higher education system

The higher education system received the request Monday, requiring Oregon's system of universities and colleges to present the executive department with possible scenarios if a 10 percent higher education budget cut were made, said Dave Quinzer, associate vice chancellor of budget policy.

Although the requirement does not deal with actual cuts, the move is one of the state government's first steps in preparing for the impact of Ballot Measure 5, the property taxlimiting initiative passed in the Nov. 6 elections. And with talk about budget cuts growing, the requirement has propelled speculation about when some type of new funding source will be found.

Quinzer said the planning requirement "said we should be prepared to bring information to the executive department... to make 'what if' recommendations if cuts were made. That doesn't mean we are going to take a cut. It asked what we would do if we were to take a cut."

The higher education system will submit possible scenarios to the executive department before the end of the year. Quinzer said. At the same time the system will present its final budget requests for the next two years.

As for specific reactions to a 10 percent cut, Quinzer said it is "too damaging or damning" to talk about which areas would be affected, and that the higher education system will ask to respond with "general discussion in broad terms.

"If we were to identify certain programs as the ones that should be cut, we might as well go ahead and cut it," he said. "If we did that, the faculty and students in those programs would view themselves as the least important or the least qualified. In the end, that would be shooting ourselves in the foot."

Beginning in July 1991.
Measure 5 will require the state to come up with an additional \$260 million from the general fund to support public schools during the 1991-92 biennium.

The higher education system has estimated that if those losses were evenly absorbed by the other agencies supported by the general fund. Oregon's universities and colleges could stand to lose up to \$120 million during that same period. Those estimates climb to a total loss of more than \$900 million from higher education over the length of Measure 5's six year phase-in period.

Because the measure limits property taxes and increasingly requires more dollars for the state's public schools — up to 40 percent of the general fund by 1995 — legislators say budget cuts will be part but not all of the solution. The most commonly mentioned scenario holds that some type of new tax



Rep. Larry Campbell

will eventually be proposed to voters.

But for state-funded agencies like higher education, the question of when a new money source will be found is crucial.

"The issue of replacement revenue is obviously the most critical," Quinzer said. "When those replacement revenues will be in place is of very great

Turn to REPORT, Page 4