

1975 W. 7th • Eugene 687-0040

Anorexia Nervosa & Bulimia

Binge Eating Crash Dieting Vomiting **Laxative Abuse** Compulsive Exercise **Compulsive Dieting**

When you're ready to quit we're ready to help. Just call 686-7372.



The **Eating Disorders** Program at Sacred Heart

NF/ Continued from Page 1

federal government and is not

I don't think the kind of challenge that took place in Oakland will happen in Eugene," he said.

Oakland's law, he said, specifically took on the federal government by attempting to regulate activities at a nearby naval base and a Department of Energy office.

He said the Oakland decision would have no effect on Eugene's existing ordinance nor the charter amendment on the

However, "Whether it will be effective in scaring people, I don't know.

City councilor Shawn Boles. a supporter of a stronger nuclear free zone, said the wording of the Eugene charter amendment has no provisions that would abrogate rights of the federal government.

'If you haven't read it, don't vote on it." he said.

City councilor Roger Rutan. committee member of Citizens Against an Irresponsible Nuclear-Free Zone Ordinance, said he believed the Oakland decision may set a precedent for nuclear free zones in cities including Eugene

In addition, Rutan said, the Oakland decision has added ammunition to an already aggressive campaign against a stronger nuclear free zone. He said a written decision on the ruling is expected before the

Eugene city election.

The previous two times the nuclear free issue has been brought before voters, Rutan al decision of whether the city should be a nuclear free zone.

However, because the city now has a nuclear free ordinance in place, he said, voters are not likely to approve the more restrictive measure.

zone measure to use the Oak land decision as ammunition against the ballot measure.

The opponents are muster ing their forces," he said. "We need supporters to get out and not be so apathetic and nonchalant about it.

The existing ordinance is pretty much what you call a warhead free zone," he said, and is not what voters wanted.

'What the ruling says is that we were not off base with it.'

— Roger Rutan

Rutan said the city council adopted a stripped-down version of the ordinance because the one the voters approved had been unconstitutional, unenforceable, vague and mess.

The Oakland decision, he said, shows that the Eugene city council made the right decision.

"What the ruling says is that we were not off base with it." he said

David Zupin of Eugene PeaceWorks said the Oakland decision was not entirely unexpected, because the judge in the case, U.S. District Judge John Vukasin, is an opponent of the nuclear free zone move-

Zupin said he expects opponents of a stronger nuclear free

"People have the right to be angry with elected officials for failing to carry out what people approved the first time," he

PeaceWorks is one group that is actively campaigning for a yes vote on the ballot measure.

'We see what we're working for as a genuinely effective way of keeping Eugene nuclear free." Zupin said.

In addition, he said, it is a way to prevent a minority of special interests wanting to invest in nuclear weapons from violating the democratic pro-

"This issue needs to be debated and talked about in every town hall." Zupin said. "All the controversy and all the debate is exactly what the nuclear free movement was meant to



Order NOW for an additional \$20 OFF!

JOSTENS

10 a.m. - 3 p.m. \$30 Deposit Required May 4,

UO Bookstore



