The Oregon Daily Emerald's Entertainment Guide

Decade closes with field of movies that lack in artistic merit

By Thomas Prowell Emerald Editor

It's inevitable. As the last month of the Reagan decade collapses, you're going to be deluged with self-important entertainment critics of every stripe trotting out their "10 Best of the '80s" lists.

You'll see it in The New York Times Book Review. You'll read it in Rolling Stone. You'll be subjected to it on the Today show. But before you take arms against a sea of outrageous infotainment, please consider this:

Go easy on the poor movie reviewers

They probably had the hardest job of all. After culling over the last ten years trying to find the 10 greatest cinematic achievements of the 1980s. they're going to come up short.

One of their movies might be a Brian De Palma film. Another might have a roman numeral in the title. Looking through the rubbish for a movie that rises above the muck, they may promote some goofy, independent foreign film that you've never

The problem they're facing is that the 1980s was not a great decade for movie-making. A look at the Best Picture Oscars through the years confirms this. Who remembers Ordinary People (1980)? Who can explain the attraction of Chariots of Fire (1981) or Out of Africa (1985) now?

While movie-making suf fered, it was instead a great decade for the movie-making industry, which raked in money as it never has before. And sadly the two trends are linked as profits increased, the quality went down.

Who allowed this to happen? Well, in part, we did. Because when there was good, visionary work out there, say, a film like Brazil (1985), we were out watching Back to the Future. Consider this year. Do the Right Thing and sex, lies and videotape caused stirs, but did they gross as much as Batman?

The sad thing about all this is: Sometimes we think we're having a good time seeing this stuff. How else can one explain 1988's incredibly-stupid-but-stilla-huge-hit-film. Twins. Or this year's winner in that non-Oscar category, Look Who's Talking?

Rather than wasting time and trees trying to find the ten most interesting films of the decade. it might be more instructive if we consider the four most interesting film industry stories. to see if there's a way out of the mess. If you're tired of the crap you see on the screen, wait until you see what's behind the

Industry Mergers It's not just the stuff of Wall Street. Frighteningly, big corporations such as Sony and Time-Warner are buying out the smaller picture companies and independents.



Ghostbusters II is one of a series of sequels movie companies produced during the last decade to bolster revenues hampered by takeovers and mergers

In fact, the media giants aren't buying just picture companies, they're buying everything. Publishing houses, record labels, television corporations - it all gets gobbled up.

agenda becomes make money

When making dough now is the main objective, companies will take sure investments before risky ones. And in movies,

> Sequels. They've hit the '80s in untold numbers. They've bred. They'll be

the safe investments are in

the ruin of Kenneth Branagh's reputed new masterpiece Henry V. because moviegoers will assume they've missed Hen-

rvs I-IV

Cutting through the hyperbole, we know that sequels have always been around. And we know a sequel is never as good as the first film, but if we liked the first, we pay to see the sequel anyway. We're social critters; we want to revisit old friends. We liked Ghostbusters. and we hope there's some of the old magic left for Ghostbusters II

With the industry mortgaged to the hilt, every studio is looking for the breakthrough block-Everyone wants a through-the-roof film like Raiders of the Lost Ark, and the surest way to get that through-theroof film is to remake it. Again. And then one more time. Who can afford to take a chance on a Spike Lee or a Gus Van Zant?

Video I love the stuff, but I think the growing home video craze is also responsible for the downfall of the American movie. Consider: going out to the movies now is a big event. It costs five, six, maybe seven dollars. There's parking to hassle with, concessions to pay too much for (or smuggle in). We want to take a date, because we're afraid we'll look silly if we're by ourselves. We don't want to have a bad time, so like the movie industry, we don't take chances.

When we stay at home, everything is different. We can park our butts on the couch. We can be alone.

One more beef with the growing video racket: It's responsible for the one movie news event of the '80s that is truly evil, something despicable even beyond the conspiracy-theory conglomerates merging into Big Brother:

Turn to Movies, Page 13

While movie-making suffered, it was instead a great decade for the moviemaking industry, which raked in money as it never has before.

> This provides the means for hype. You saw the Batman logo, with its little symbol, everywhere because Warner could put it everywhere.

> We'll ignore the implications of a few giant conglomerates controlling all the means of information for now. Whether or not companies have any hidden agendas, they all have one public one - to make money

And when you consider the debt payouts these huge takeovers and leveraged buyouts are burdened with (Sony paid \$3.4 billion for Columbia), the



