

Oregon Daily Emerald

Friday, March 11, 1988

Eugene, Oregon

Volume 89, Number 119

Chancellor to remain home at University

By Paula Green
Emerald Reporter

Members of a State Board of Higher Education committee have decided that the office of the state system chancellor should remain at the University.

Board members Robert Adams, John Alltucker and Arlene Schnitzer recommended Wednesday that the chancellor's office should remain in Eugene, while maintaining the branch office in Salem, and initiating a "more visible presence" in Portland.

Board President James Peterson appointed Adams, Alltucker and Schnitzer in January to investigate the possibility of moving the chancellor's office.

The committee appointments came shortly after the announced resignation of Chancellor William E. "Bud" Davis. Peterson at the time was quoted as saying the change in chancellors provided a good opportunity to examine the location issue.

After interviewing approximately 50 past and present college presidents and chancellors, legislators, and college faculty members, the committee concluded that the move is unnecessary.

Committee members prepared a summary of their findings, and presented a series of reasons opposing the move, which included the significant cost involved.

The committee also found a campus atmosphere is more conducive to the goals of the higher education system, and that the chancellor is an educator before he is a state official.

Proponents of the proposed move suggested Salem as a new office site because it is the state's seat of government. Portland was suggested because it is the economic center of the state.

The committee members agreed that the chancellor should keep regular office hours at the Salem branch while the Legislature is in session, and should make regular visits to Portland.

The recommendation from Adams, Alltucker and Schnitzer will be presented to the state board for consideration at its March 18 meeting.

Area coffee, tea houses are hot



Jennifer McFarland, manager of Espresso Roma Cafe on East 13th Avenue, works on satisfying patrons' thirst for coffee and tea.

Photo by Anuy Cripe

Atmosphere, drinks win students

By Andrew LaMar
Emerald Associate Editor

The coffee or tea house is an age-old phenomenon. Through the years, warm, friendly places offering a hot cup of coffee or tea have been popular places for people to gather, converse and read — especially near a college campus.

"Coffee and conversation and study seem to go together," said Jennifer McFarland, who manages Espresso Roma, a cafe on E. 13th Avenue that opened last October and has had steady business. "It makes complete logical sense to me that it would be successful."

Students in particular are interested in discussing and searching, and coffee houses are perfect settings for that, she said. Also, many students prefer to study in coffee houses, where they can absorb the atmosphere and sip coffee while they read, she added.

Although cafes and specialty coffees are nothing new to campus, they seem to

be increasingly popular. Many campus-area restaurants and stores now sell gourmet coffee and tea, but at the turn of the decade few did.

However, Joe and Shirley Valentine changed that about seven years ago when they opened their small wine and cheese store adjacent to the Fall Creek Bakery on E. 13th Avenue. Joe Valentine said that when he attended the University in the early 1960s, he often visited a coffee house to converse with friends.

He added that his interest in coffee and wine led him to open his store, which sells a variety of coffee and tea specialties as well as wine.

More than half his sales come from coffee, and more and more people are buying coffee all the time, he said.

"Coffee is a kind of neglected beverage. People don't give it the respect it deserves," Valentine said. "People are used to going to a restaurant and having this dishwasher coffee passed around almost for

Turn to Coffee, Page 4

Student athletes block ballot request

By Stanley Nelson
Emerald Editor

The Incidental Fee Committee held a brief meeting on Thursday after a sponsor of a potentially controversial spring ballot measure recanted his request.

The IFC also set a date to draft a letter of opposition to proposed changes in incidental fee allocation rules.

Ron Munion withdrew the ballot request after failing to gain support from student athletes who he contends would benefit from the measure. If approved in the spring election, the measure would

wrest control of 10 percent of the University Athletic Department's incidental fee allocation and give supervisory control of that money to the Student Team Council, composed of 23 student athletes.

The Athletic Department yearly receives more than \$1.1 million in incidental fees from students.

In a statement prepared for the hearing, team council representatives Todd Kunzman and Kellie Brust said, "We are not prepared, nor do we wish to become as completely as the writers had designed." In a unanimous decision, the council voted not to endorse the ballot

measure.

Munion and IFC Chairman Bob Baldwin presented the ballot proposal to the team council on Tuesday.

Munion said he believed the presence of the University Athletic Department director and associate director influenced the vote, and added he and Baldwin had four minutes to make their presentation at a 7:30 a.m. meeting. The two plan to meet with team council representatives again in an attempt to gather support for the measure.

Both added they do not oppose the

Turn to Ballot, Page 7

Architecture students sweat through project reviews

By Mike Drummond
Emerald Associate Editor

It was midterm and final project review time for University architecture students this week.

In an unusual academic atmosphere of teeth grinding, hand gesturing, camaraderie and criticism, more than 400 architecture students held their two-term projects up for peer and faculty scrutiny in studios scattered throughout Lawrence Hall. Architecture projects are subject to half-term and final review at the end of each fall and winter quarter.

Landscape, urban and rural

Classes show plans for library expansion, Portland's east side

models, ranging from the elaborate to the mundane, invited debate and praise from the lay person to the seasoned expert in a week-long session that is something akin to an actor's audition.

Student designs addressed fictional as well as realistic settings, from a cottage and studio for a couple and an American Film Institute building for mythical Gotham City, to designs for the Riverfront Research Park, the University library expansion and redevelopment of Portland's in-

dustrial east side.

Although the budding architects' projects are not slated for real-life applications, associate architecture professor Michael Shellenbarger said aspects of some student designs will make it to professional drawing boards.

Such may be the case for Shellenbarger's architecture 481 class, which was up for midterm review Thursday.

Shellenbarger's 17 students are working on the University main library expansion, designing their own visions of how the

dition should look. And Shellenbarger said prospects were good that his students could help shape the library's design.

"We're using the same plan as the University Library Expansion Committee and their architects will be using. We're playing it as realistic as possible," Shellenbarger said.

"Undoubtedly the architects will pick up some ideas from the students," he said, and he noted that planners once used several of his students' ideas at the Oregon Museum of Science

and Industry.

One graduate student in his architecture 481 class, Doug Jernberg, said the only criterion they were encouraged to follow was to relate to the library's original motif.

"There have been three additions to the 1930s original, and the additions don't really do the original justice," Jernberg said. During review periods, which last several hours, students mingle with colleagues and professors, waxing intellectual and discussing design strengths and weaknesses.

Review time is a stressful and

Turn to Review, Page 4