Frohnmayer, Cook clash on role of office

By Thomas Henderson

Of the Emerald

For a campaign grabbing few headlines, the race for attorney general is sparking plenty of heat — at least between Democrat Vern Cook and incumbent Republican Dave Frohnmayer.

Cook, a former state senator, is building his campaign around a brass knuckles attack on Frohnmayer's record, charging that the attorney general has been derelict in his official duties.

Frohnmayer, dismissing Cook's charges as ridiculous election year rhetoric, is sticking by a record he says is its own defense.

The candidates clash on practically every front, but nowhere more sharply than on the issue of ballot measures and the attorney general's role in their preparation.

The fair and understandable wording of ballot measures is one of the attorney general's most important functions, Cook said. Yet, 28 ballot titles prepared by Frohnmayer's office were challenged in the Oregon Supreme Court and 23 of them were judged unfair, misleading and insufficient, he said.

"That's the worst record an (Oregon) attorney general has ever had in regard to ballot titles," Cook said.

Calling challenges to ballot titles the oldest political game in the book, Frohnmayer said anyone who believes reviewing ballot titles is the major responsibility of the attorney general should introduce legislation to abolish the office.

Besides, he added, most people know how they will vote on measures before going to the polls. "I trust the voters more than my opponent," he said.

Cook rejects such arguments. "When I hear him say things like that it just makes my blood curdle," he said, charging that Frohnmayer is merely rationalizing a disrespect for the initiative process.

Elected to the state House of Representatives in 1956, Cook has logged 24 years in the Legislature. He was elected to the state Senate in 1960 where he served until he was unseated by fellow Democrat Ruth McFarland in the 1980 primary.



Dave Frohnmayer

A 1952 graduate of the University's law school, Cook was the youngest legislator in Oregon history when he entered state politics. During his legislative career, he served on the House Local Government Committee and was chair of the Senate Judiciary, Revenue, Natural Resources, and Military Affairs committees.

Frohnmayer did his undergraduate work at Harvard University and was a Rhodes Scholar at Oxford before receiving his law degree from the University of California at Berkeley in 1967.

He taught law at the University law school from 1971 to 1981 and served as special counsel to the University's president from 1971 to 1979. He served three terms in the state House of Representatives before being elected attorney general in 1980.

The attorney general's office represents Oregon in all legal proceedings in which the state is involved or has an interest. The office also provides legal counsel to all state departments, boards and commissions.

It also provides written legal opinions when requested by the governor, state agencies or the Legislature. However, the attorney general is prohibited by law from rendering opinions to anyone else.

This last duty, along with the attorney general's responsibility for ballot titles, is a major sore point between Cook and Frohnmayer.

Cook says the attorney general should be the lawyer of the people, issuing formal opinions and taking court action in the event of official illegality. This, he said, is the attorney general's right and duty under common law.

Frohnmayer, on the other hand, said the letter of the written law prohibits the attorney general from issuing opinions unless asked. The role of the attorney general, he argues, is defined by statutory, not common, law.



Vern Cook

Citing several questionable official acts (including the state's handling of Rajneeshee voter registration in Wasco County) he said went unchallenged by Frohnmayer, Cook added that the incum-

bent's attitude "is the same as a sheriff watching a bank robbery in progress and doing nothing but watch the robbers haul off the loot because the bank president did not ask him to do his duty."

Frohnmayer said he rejects Cook's charge that as attorney general he has served the bureaucracy instead of the people.

He said he represented Oregonians by challenging an Internal Revenue Service ruling that would have denied federal tax credits for energy conservation to Oregon veterans. He added that he has also fought the oil companies when they threatened to overcharge Oregonians.

In addition, Frohnmayer said he has vigorously enforced the law, seeking to modify insanity as a criminal defense. He says he has cracked down on illegal drugs, untaxed gambling and large-scale hijacking.

Frohmayer said he supports Ballot Measure 8, the "victims' rights" initiative that would revise at least 19 criminal laws concerning police powers, trials, evidence and sentencing, but he opposes Ballot Measures 6 and 7, which whould reinstate the death penalty.

Calling Frohnmayer a "hang 'em high attorney general," Cook said his opponent's support of Measure 8 exemplifies his "prosecutor's frame of mind."

"(Frohnmayer) doesn't have any respect for the Oregon Constitution," he said.

WE NEED A CHANGE!

...Eugene is the FOURTH fastest shrinking city in the nation! Abandoned homes and empty stores testify to the county's antibusiness, no-growth image. We can send a signal that we are determined to change this image by electing TONIE NATHAN.

...TONIE's opponent is a leader of the nogrowth movement. In 1977 he PERSONAL-LY sued to stop a high-tech, clean industry from locating in Eugene. We lost 1000 potential jobs and the story of the suit was spread nationwide. In July of this year, speaking during a commissioners' meeting, he reaffirmed his no-growth, antibusiness views.

...TONIE's opponent received a 51% negative (or poor) rating from residents who participated in a comprehensive independent study conducted by the polling firm of Bardsley & Haslacher, Inc. (R-G, 5/25/84). It's time for a change.

TONIE NATHAN IS A CHANGE FOR THE BETTER

TONIE NATHAN is intellectually inquiring, suspicious of power, independent of mind, and willing to work for her ideals. It is no wonder that she is perceived by people of all backgrounds as an inspiring and persuasive leader.

As the first woman on the Board of Commissioners in years, she will bring a wide range of new perceptions, sensitivity, and fresh ideas to county government. Working in harmony with the other commissioners, TONIE can provide the vigor and enthusiasm needed to pull the county out of its present doldrums.

As county commissioner, TONIE NATHAN will be a change for the better.

VOTE FOR A CHANGE FOR THE BETTER



TONIE NATHAN

Efficient - Creative - Dedicated

for County Commissioner

(A nonpartisan office)

Paid for by the Comm. to Elect Tonie Nathan Bere Lindley, Treas., P.O. Box 10152, Eugene, Or., 97440

TONIE NATHAN ON THE ISSUES

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND DIVERSIFICATION

We need non-polluting industries that will provide a new source of jobs and stable economic base and we need to encourage expansion of existing local businesses.

We need to work cooperatively with the University of Oregon, our number one employer, and the timber industry, the foundation of our economy, to help them through rough times.

COUNTY SERVICE...

We must end excessive waiting for permits, information and licenses. We must reschedule county hours to better service the public.

ADMINISTRATION...

We must have a strong professional administrator who can provide the consistent managerial direction necessary for stable government.

COST CUTTING...

We should support a charter amendment to reduce the Board of County Commissioners to three persons elected-at-large. This would save the county approximately \$100,000 and eliminate political infighting.

We should review all county services annually. Any agencies not needed should be eliminated. We should consolidate services wherever possible.

SOCIAL SERVICES...

We must provide alternatives to jail overcrowding. We must communicate more effectively with the needy and be more flexible with our help.

ENVIRONMENT...

We do not have to sacrifice our good living environment to obtain a good working environment. We can and will maintain our high quality of life.