<u>opinion</u>

Increased activity

The University's campaign to entice undergraduates is showing definite results, especially the radio advertising that lists six different degree programs and their stature according to national rankings.

"Activity" - the bureaucratic name given to such things as admissions applications and requests for information — is up 13 percent in the admissions office, according to administrators.

That's good news for the University. It's not only good PR work and a boon to enrollment, but it gives some high profile credit to top-notch, nationally ranked programs in Energy Conscious Architecture, ranked first; Art Education, ranked second; Human Development and Performance, fifth; Journalism, seventh; the College of Education, 13th; and Marketing, 20th.

An alternative view

It seems that students are getting what they asked for, an alternative view.

In the last two issues, The Commentator, that bastion of campus "enlightenment," has given the University a unique analysis of student elections where a 1,715-790 defeat is a victory and a 1,331-1,218 victory is a defeat.



'C'MON, SAM, I PROMISE I'VE ALMOST GOT THE PROBLEM LICKED — JUST LITTLE DRINK TO KEEP ME GOING WHILE I FINISH HIM OFF. JUST GIMME ONE MORE

letters

Disgusting

I remember sitting at the breakfast table thumbing through the Emerald like a loyal student attempting to keep in touch with campus politics. The reporting in the Emerald was usually disgusting, but I knew the comic strip "Oliver" was somewhere near the end of the publication

The Emerald was lucky to have a winner of the Region 10 (Western U.S.) Society of Professional Journalist, Mark of Excellence contest in 1983 on their staff. John Gorman, the outstanding cartoonist who wrote "Oliver" was the recipient of this award. However, I, like many of my neighbors, have noticed "Oliver" 's disapperance from his usual place next to "Bloom County". This displeases us.

I was left to wonder if "Oliver"'s constant girl chasing offended the moral conscience of the student editor. If this is true, I'm sure Gorman is just as well off not making my breakfast stroll through this cheap newspaper more enjoyable or even tolerable.

Paul Matthe economics

Biased

The recent article entitled "Roommates beware" is among the most flagrant examples of biased, yellow journalism (in keeping with the fine tradition

maintained by the Emerald staff) that I have recently come across. To begin with, only one side of the story is presented. As a result, the reader is subject to Jacquie Steiner's slanted perspective. In fact, the "parasitic" third roommate was able to prove his case in court by providing canceled checks and receipts as evidence that he did, indeed, fulfill his obligations to each of his roommates. Next time, please make a stonger effort to present both sides of the story.

Hal Zweben junior, English

Graph error

The recently painted graph along 13th Avenue has received much attention over the past few weeks. This graph, which allegedly represents the federal budget outlays for fiscal year 1985, is misleading, inaccurate, and inappropriate.

A most serious error is, simply stated, incorrect figures. The graph depicts military spending as comprising almost two-thirds of the total budget (\$431 billion out of a \$687 billion budget); in fact, 1985 budget proposals submitted to Congress allot less than one-third of federal expenditures to the Pentagon (\$305 billion out of \$925.5 billion). The distorted figures are partially due to the inclusion of the national debt interest payments under the category of military spending. The faulty logic of

Managing Editor

those who constructed the graph dictates that our immense national debt is entirely war related, an absurd suggestion. In reference to Ross West's letter: "This graph was, as they say, just the facts ma'am"; actually, sir, they clearly are not.

Ironically, in the year of Orwell, groups such as CALC and SNuFF that continually accuse the government of "doublespeak" and half-truths must themselves resort to deceit and "Big Brother" tactics of chicanery to promote their ideological claims. The complex issue of military spending merits honesty and integrity as cornerstones to debate. Without these primary principles, discussion of the issue inevitably deteriorates into a stalemate, with nothing accomplished.

Michael Schaeffer freshman, classics Paul Maslen freshman, business

Makin', breakin'

Pres. Ronald Reagan, do you realize what power you have? Do you realize that you can make or break humanity? That you have become responsible for every living thing on this planet? Has it come to your consciousness that what breathes fresh air; what smiles and laughs in joy of friendship and love; what soars with the help of the west wind; whatever exists in its uniqueness of design on

Debbie Howlett

Sandy Johnstone

this earth can be erradicated with the push of a button?

It should be obvious, that with the current coarse of action we are sure to be reduced to cave dwellers once again. We have come so far - from the Iron Age, the Egyptians, Greeks, Romans, to the Reformation, the Industrial Revolution, and the Information Revolution - and yet we have done so little to preserve all that we have accomplished. Just think of the loss, to have demolished all human successes and achievements that have accrued from the beginning of time.

Each one of us has a gun held to our heads. Yet why haven't we actively addressed this problem and sought solution as it stares us in the face. This problem demands that we must no longer seek a balance of power, but a balance of sanity. Life and existence itself must be our focus: not our differences of opinion and ideology. It is required of us to learn to accept our differences and work with them not die with them. So Mr. President, let's act on the problem by developing trust through constructive communication to save our future, and the future for generations to come.

Dave Bryant

Simpleton

Dear Dave Zauner, with all due respect, you are a simpleton. Your awareness of nuclear arms statistics and ability to make calculations from them is touching but your shallow reflections upon them shows your political immaturity. Does it actually take so much more analysis to realize world events may not be what they appear? Did it ever occur to you that a large portion of the real world picture may be hidden from les citoyens? You say 'Reagan's 'bargain-chip' diplomacy has obviously continually failed." But did it ever occur to you that there may be a completely different reality beyond the obvious? Did it ever occur to you that reactions of fear and distrust for the president (such as yours) may actually serve the interests of Soviet officials?

Zauner, no one wants nuclear arms. But I propose the road to their eradication is more twisted and confusing than either of us would like to believe. You must fight nuclear arms in your own way, I in mine, and the president in his. But I must say that the president has a little more inside information that we do. Let us all support him

> Paul Parks senior, journalism

Justice

I noted with pleasure and amusement that the IFC chose to uphold Mary Hotchkiss' veto of funds for the Commentator. The Commentator developed a personal vendetta against Hothckiss this past year for daring to suggest that the sign in the EMU should be changed and for taking other stands which ruffled its political feathers. That vendetta culminated in their April Fool's Day issue in which (under the guise of being "witty") they insulted and humiliated Hotchkiss in the ugliest ways imaginable. It was a new low.

Therefore, I think it's a genuine case of poetic justice that, as her final act in office, Hotchkiss was able to derprive the Commentator of student funding for next year.

I'm also glad that Julie Davis felt that funding the Commentator would "damage people's notions of what the right to vote means." That's a good beginning.

It would be great if we had a publication on campus in which all kinds of "alternative" views could be expressed, but that certainly wasn't what the Commentator was doing. Rather than giving us fresh perspectives, it tended, much more often, to give us journalism at its biased, petty, vindictive worst, and I think the overwhelming vote against funding for next year reflected the feeling among students that the Commentator was in no way representing their interests.

> Carol Imani English Wednesday, May 30, 1984

oregon daily emerald

lay except during exam week and vacations by the Oregon Daily Emerald Publishing Co., at the University of Oregon, Eugene, OR.

The Emerald operates independently of the University with offices on the third floor of the Erb Memorial Union and is a of the Associated Press. General Staff

Advertising Manager Classified Advertising Production Manager

Susan Thelen Victoria Koch Jean Ownbey

Ad Sales: Rachel Bellamy, Cindi Blagg, Laura Buckley, Julie Bulrice, David Kosse, Jo Meeks, Roberta Oliver, Richard Skeen Production: Laurie Becharas, Sharla Cassidy, Michael Clapp, Kelly Cornyn, Kathy Gallagher, Carrie Greaves, C. Hanson Christy Horning, LeeAnn Lovgren, Lauri Neely, Kelly Neff, Mark Pynes, Michele Ross, Margaret Solonika, Debbie Stott, Tim Swill-inger, Colleen Tremaine, Hank Trotter, Kathy York

Larry Swanson

News Editor Assistant News Editor Brenda Thornton Cort Fernald Michael Clapp Photo Editor Sports Editor Doug Levy Sidelines Editor Entertainment Editor Angela Allen Morgan Kim Carlson Assistant Entertainment Editor Night Editor Sandy Johnstone **Associate Editors** Higher Educati Doug Nash Departments and Schools Melissa Martin Student Government Jim Moore Features Julie Shipper Politics Brooks Dareff Reporters: Costas Christ. Diana Elliott. Paul Ertell. Brent Paz. Lon Steinhauer. David Stone. Steve Turcotte. Lois Yoshishige. News and Editorial 686-5511 Display Advertising and Business Classified Advertising 686-3712 686-4343 Production 686-4381 686-5511 Circulation