

# Vern Cook praises long record to garner support for senate bid

By GREG WASSON  
Of the Emerald

Most Oregon counties have a majority of Democratic voters, but the state's two U.S. senators are Republicans. Portland Democrat Vern Cook would like to help change that. Cook is seeking the Democratic nomination for Sen. Mark Hatfield's seat.

Cook was in Eugene Wednesday asking the audience of about 10 people gathered at the Lane County Demo Forum to support him in his try for Hatfield's position. Cook listed his activities in the Democratic Party since 1952 as reason enough to grant him the nomination.

"I defy anyone to point to another Democrat in this state who has a longer record, a more consistent record as a Democrat and a more effective record as a legislator."

Cook blasted newspapers in Portland and Salem which have editorially said the Democrats can't offer Hatfield formidable opposition in November.

"The truth of the matter is," Cook said, "the Portland press and the Salem press are part of the corporate coltrollers and the only kind of Democrat

they like is a Democrat who is no different than Republicans."

Cook has been a state senator since 1961. The Oregon Senate was controlled by a coalition of Democrats and Republicans from 1961 to 1973, and Cook says he didn't play by the rules.

"I was one of those who never considered voting with the coalition. As a result, I was punished by not being given positions of importance. I've not only contributed to the Democratic Party, I must say I've made my sacrifices."

Cook is confident he'll be the Democratic nominee for the U.S. Senate, and says he'll need the support of Democrats to win in November. He predicts that one out of four Republicans will cross over in November and if that prediction holds true, he said two out of every three Democrats must support him.

Responding to questions, Cook criticized the Panama Canal treaties, took exception to Hatfield's income from public speaking and voiced suspicion of moves toward disarmament.

"I don't think communists are any more trustworthy than fascists," he warned. "We live in a world where there is no international law and he prevails who has the biggest stick."



Vern Cook ... consistent record

## Memoranda show split views on surplus fund policy

By RICHARD WIENS  
Of the Emerald

Gerald Moseley seems to be the mid-point in discussions between the EMU Board and the EMU director about a policy the board recently approved that gives the Incidental Fee Committee (IFC) control over EMU budget surpluses.

Moseley, who has been associate provost for student affairs since February, is evaluating the May 2 policy statement that sur-

plus money accrued by the EMU must be turned over to IFC's unallocated reserve. Both the statement's chief proponent, EMUB Chairer Dusty Rhodes, and its main opponent, EMU Director Adell McMillan, have sent Moseley at least three memoranda to explain their positions.

After receiving a recommendation from Moseley, University Pres. William Boyd will make the final decision on whether surplus EMU funds, which run about

\$30,000 yearly, will be returned to the IFC. McMillan wants the EMU to keep the funds and apply them to future EMU budget deficits.

McMillan outlined her position in a nine-point memorandum to Moseley. Rhodes responded Wednesday with a memorandum of his own, his second to the provost in five days, which attempts to refute McMillan's assertions.

For example, in her memorandum, McMillan wrote that the policy statement is "unacceptable" because it would cause a loss of

flexibility needed to make day-to-day management decisions to end the year with a balanced budget.

Rhodes responded it is "irrational" to assume that day-to-day management "requires authority such that there be no policy constraint."

McMillan wrote that the EMU's policy of hanging on to surplus funds has allowed it to save money by taking advantage of salary savings, lower than anti-

pated fixed charges and over-realized income in program areas.

Rhodes wrote that because of the EMU's practice, "students may be paying for activities or services promised in the budget document but never provided because funding was reallocated to other operational areas."

Moseley, in no hurry to make a recommendation to Boyd on the matter, says "I'm just trying to get myself calibrated on it. We're looking at the long-range impacts."

*Help Maintain our Livability*

# VOTE YES

on

# Measure 61

(Sewer Bond Issue)

## May 23rd

*Insure clean water for the Eugene/Springfield area  
while paying only 25% of the cost!*

pd: Clean Water Committee, John Alden, Treas., PO Box 767, Eugene, OR 97440