

## For Feldman

I've known Gary Feldman for four years and don't always agree with his decisions. But in that time, I've become convinced that his decisions are not only thoroughly researched, but are also fair. He judges programs on their individual merit and on their value to the student body.

During the past year, Gary has involved himself with nearly every facet of the ASUO government and with all student fee supported programs. He serves as a member of the EMU Board, served as Vice-chair of the IFC, and is currently the chair of the IFC. In each of these positions, he has always remained completely accessible to anyone that wishes to discuss any of his decisions.

Gary is tough with our money; there really can't be any other attitude when dealing with incidental fees. I think it is important that we re-elect an independent candidate who has no ties with any program which would influence his decisions concerning the allocation of our money. I urge you to re-elect Gary Feldman to the Incidental Fee Committee.

**Mark E. Powell**  
Senior, Physics and General Science

## Gillan for IFC

It's election time again and avoiding the issues seems to be the rule rather than the exception. Some candidates for IFC also avoid unfashionable advocacy by pledging the minimum such as "a close watch on the purse strings" or "more student input" (one would hope so). But where do the IFC candidates stand on the controversial funding issues? Up for re-election, Mary Gillan is exceptional not only because she understands how the IFC can be financially responsible but also because she is openly supportive of various minority programs, day care and the Amazon Cooperating Tenants. I strongly encourage voting for a candid candidate, Mary Gillan.

**David Zupan**  
Education

## For Tyler

Why am I running for re-election? After all, I haven't done much. I was elected to the IFC last June in a special election to fill a vacancy. So far this fall, there's only been two meetings of the Incidental Fee Committee. I was serious about the reform proposals I ran on last spring. Obviously there hasn't been time to accomplish much. Even in this short time, however, I've familiarized myself with the 1976 goals and budget requests of all ASUO programs, and have recommended eleven reforms of the IFC rules of procedure, including banning abstentions reinstating the appeals procedure and increasing committee accountability.

When these reforms are hopelessly enacted by the new committee they will serve to make the IFC more effective and responsive to the student body. I am running for re-election to a full term in order to complete the goals I was elected on, including active participation in the spring budgeting process, development of a comprehensive ASUO guide to programs, strict

adherence to budget criteria, and increased student input as a gauge of program effectiveness.

To be effective, IFC members must be 1) conscientious, 2) responsive to their constituents; the student-consumer, and 3) assertive. Incidental fees come directly from the pocket of every student. Elect a committee that will be responsive to the student body it serves. Re-elect Dave Tyler to IFC.

**Dave Tyler**  
Member-IFC  
Sophomore, Economics

## Bartel for IFC

It gives me a great deal of pleasure to see Paul Bartel running for the Incidental Fee Committee. During the year I was ASUO Vice President, Paul served as ASUO Research Assistant in the Program Administration and Finance sector of the ASUO Executive.

In this position Paul worked in almost every facet of the ASUO administration and budgeting process, including coordinating the ASUO poll of the student body. I could always count on him to work hard and do a fine job at whatever task he undertook.

The job of an Incidental Fee Committee is not just fun and games. It is a hard, thankless job in an evermore complicated budgeting process of the students' 1.3 million dollars of incidental fee monies. For this reason, the students would be well-advised to elect someone as sincere and capable as Paul Bartel. I strongly urge his election to the Incidental Fee Committee.

**Jim Davis**  
Doctoral Student,  
Human Development

## For Schmunk

I endorse Steve Schmunk for Incidental Fee Committee membership.

Last year, Steve served as ASUO Comptroller. In this position, he effectively managed the financial affairs of the ASUO Programs and Executive. By working long hours and sacrificing weekends and vacation periods, he instituted badly needed reforms in the accounting and fiscal planning systems. Through his skillful management, ASUO agencies were provided the necessary data for the optimum use of resources and long range planning.

Among his many responsibilities, Steve attended IFC meetings in order that the members have the information necessary to make rational decisions. Clearly, his cooperative efforts increased the effectiveness of the IFC.

Steve has an extensive knowledge of ASUO Programs, budget criteria formulation, and the accounting system. He has also demonstrated the self-sacrifice needed to get the job done properly.

I urge you to cast your vote for Steve Schmunk.

**Jim Bernau**  
1975-76 ASUO President

## For Condliffe

We are writing to support Jack Condliffe in his efforts to be elected to the Incidental Fee Committee. We're convinced that Jack is committed to the betterment of programs like ours supported by your incidental fees, but at the same time is conscientious about the need to conserve funds.

We are particularly impressed by his commitment to affirmative action in programs and in the university. For one example, Jack believes that day care should be state supported, but as a last resort would support IFC funding for this vital need.

Jack is active in county and state politics and is concerned with the environment, the quality of education and student rights. He is President of the Housing and EMU Food Service Employees' Labor Union and has worked towards bettering employee protection.

Jack is knowledgeable about the IFC and our programs and deserves your vote.

**Bruce Walker, Director**  
Survival Center

**Bill Dickey, Asst. Director**  
SEARCH

**Marie Johnson, Staff Member**  
Women's Referral and Resource Center

**Cindy Cutler, Instructor**  
SEARCH  
Oregon Environmental Issues

## For Ohlendorf

Randy Ohlendorf is a candidate not to be overlooked for the position of junior class president. A vote for Ohlendorf is a vote for an organized candidate who is conscious of the wants and needs of the junior class. Ohlendorf's record speaks for itself. He has performed well in pursuing the interests of students here at the university. His participation on several ASUO committees, including the Student Athletic Commission and the Student Health Insurance Committee illustrates the fact that this candidate is no newcomer to student affairs.

Most important he is a candidate who will serve all of the various interests of the junior class. As an individual Ohlendorf has been dissatisfied with the ceremonial performance of most class officers. As shown by the recent attempt to discontinue class officers, each class executive must move in a new direction, filling the ever changing needs of students. Ohlendorf will make the junior class presidency a position worth voting for. As an active participant in student affairs I welcome the interest and dedication of Randy Ohlendorf and heartily endorse his candidacy for the position of Junior Class President.

**Stephen K. Beard**  
EMU Board Chairman  
Business, Sociology

*Editors note: The Emerald applauds the apparent show of interest that has resulted in our being inundated with letters urging students to vote for this or that candidate. However, we fear we may run short of letters space before election day. We advise our readers that letters from students will receive first consideration and that letters dealing with student issues will be printed before those of general interest.*

## EWEB needs Pratt

A comparison of the candidates for Eugene Water and Electric Board (EWEB) Commissioner in Wards 2 and 3 shows Camilla Pratt to be superior to Chuck Pressman in every respect.

Dependence on nuclear energy doesn't worry Pressman. He argues against closing the doors on any energy options we might have. Pratt hopes enough can be done in the area of conservation to make exercising EWEB's thirty per cent option on nuclear power from Trojan unnecessary.

Pratt is full of ideas on how to encourage conservation and says that the cheapest energy is energy we don't use. She favors restructuring rates to encourage conservation rather than growth and wants EWEB to seriously consider the possibilities of lifeline rates and of charging higher rates for consumption during peak demand hours. Pressman also favors conservation (doesn't everyone?) but isn't ready to make the pocketbook decisions necessary to change consumption patterns.

Pressman thinks EWEB should go slow on the development of alternate energy sources such as wind and solar since these sources cannot contribute significantly to our energy supply in the immediate future. Pratt thinks the time to begin investigations of alternate energy sources is now.

Pressman had never attended an EWEB Commissioner's meeting until he decided to run for the office. Pratt has attended the meetings for nearly three years as an observer for the League of Women Voters. During that time she has gained a thorough understanding of the operations and policies of the utility. Pratt would be an effective Commissioner from the day she took office.

Water is abundant in Eugene, but Pratt has the foresight to plan for the day when that will no longer be true. Pratt believes Eugene should start thinking about recycling grey water and other conservation measures before water shortages occur.

Pressman is seeking the Commissioner's position from the very best of intentions. He has a long record of service to the community and might have made a good EWEB Commissioner 10 years ago. But Pressman lacks the vision and imagination needed to develop Eugene's future energy policies.

Pratt is knowledgeable, sharp and perceptive. She is aware of national energy problems and their local manifestations. Her thinking is years ahead of Pressman's, and that makes it necessary, not just desirable, that she be elected to represent Wards 2 and 3 on the EWEB Commission.

## Silvermoon for EWEB

Jon Silvermoon, candidate for Eugene Water and Electric Board Commissioner in Wards 1 and 7, has been called a radical and a visionary. Maybe a visionary radical is exactly what we need.

When Silvermoon talks about EWEB he doesn't talk about bond issues or cooperative utility financing of new generating facilities. He talks about simple things such as plastic toilet tank inserts and retrofitting buildings for solar energy.

Silvermoon's opponent is John Tiffany, who has served on the Commission since 1962 and as President since 1968. Tiffany deserves credit for the fact that EWEB has a AAA bond rating and the fact that Eugene residents receive low-cost, convenient utility service. But EWEB won't lose its bond rating if Tiffany is replaced, and utility rates are going to up no matter who is elected.

The EWEB Commission could use a member who is open to new ideas. The Commission needs staunch conservationists. Eugene needs EWEB representatives who are suspicious of nuclear energy. Silvermoon fills the bill in all three respects.

Electing Silvermoon to the EWEB Commission would not mean we would see wind generators sprouting all over Eugene the day after the election. One person can't change the entire Commission's policies. One person, however, is enough to suggest possibilities that might not otherwise be considered. Our current energy situation calls for imaginative and daring solutions. Silvermoon would be a constant source of ideas, some good, some not so good, but at this stage of the game we can't ignore any alternatives, however far out they may sound.

Silvermoon has promised to represent Wards 1 and 7 as best he can, and we believe the residents of those districts could restrain Silvermoon's radical conservatism if they thought it was necessary. We ask the people of Wards 1 and 7 to place their trust in young, imaginative leadership in the formation of Eugene's energy policies.