

Campaign letters

For Condliffe

For the past year, Jack Condliffe has served as vice-president of the UO Student Employees Union (Local 1893, AFSCME). In that year, Jack has been instrumental in increasing representation and pushing for better working conditions for student employees. The job of vice-president of our union is primarily that of watchdog enforcement of our contract and of representing students with grievances. In this capacity he has been excellent.

In addition to his primary function, he is also our chief negotiator in our ongoing negotiations.

One of the principal issues in this year's campaign is student lobbying for increased state support for higher education and for state funding of day care (CCDC) and women's athletics. Jack is firmly committed to an increased lobbying effort for these goals. He is also strongly committed to affirmative action, especially in the ASUO itself.

Jack's leadership in the student union and his participation in the state and county Democratic Party have proven his abilities to open up and improve the ASUO executive as its president.

Allison Petigura
President, UO Student
Employees Union

For Davis

I endorse Jim Davis for ASUO president.

This year Jim has served the students as ASUO vice-president for program administration and finance. His efforts were instrumental to the successful implementation of a rational, efficient budgeting process free from the political pork-barrelling of the past. Jim's budget analysis will prevent the incidental fee from spiraling as it has so commonly before.

During the past 11 months, I have been impressed by his total commitment to his work, his administrative skills and ability to work constructively with a wide range of personalities.

Jim and I have had our disagreements. We have differing conceptions of how an office should be run and the strategies for achieving particular goals. But I find that a commendable trait. No one who knows him has any doubts that as ASUO president he will take an innovative and independent course.

No other candidate has even a fraction of Jim's knowledge about the politics of the University and none will be able to maintain our greatly increased influence in University decision making. This campaign will provide the students with a distinct choice between an experienced and proven worker with a clear understanding of what issues and opportunities face the ASUO in the coming year and the traditional flock of dilettante candidates seeking the position for its own sake.

I will be glad to further discuss Jim Davis' exemplary abilities and qualifications. Please feel free to contact me.

Jim Bernau
ASUO president

For Stewart

The SUAB has the potential to be an effective tool to give students a voice in University affairs through participation in the University Senate and general faculty meetings. To reach this goal, the SUAB needs members with a grasp on the issues facing students as well as the initiative and know-how to affect the necessary changes.

David Stewart has shown an understanding of the problems confronting students in their attempts to gain a quality education. His commitment to hard work and his ability to effectively communicate qualify him for SUAB position three (3). I am convinced that David Stewart has something to offer the students at the University of Oregon.

Kevin Sweeney
bus. adm., soph

For Tyler

Employment for life — get elected to the Incidental Fee Committee! This seems to be the philosophy of the IFC chairperson and the incumbent, Mr. Parr, in regard to yesterday's letter of endorsement. Their call for "continuity" is frightening. Perhaps the incumbent simply can't find any other justification for retaining office, but even more dangerous is the possibility that they actually believe what they're saying.

To suggest that failing to elect an appointed incumbent, one who has only three months experience, will cripple the effectiveness of the IFC is not only preposterous, but irresponsible. He doesn't even have a voter mandate. The Incidental Fee Committee is a good program. It's the current members who are responsible for the IFC's present inadequacies, and they should be held accountable. As for the incumbent's skill at researching, the IFC minutes make one mention of it. On February second, he was assigned to research the sailing club — due February sixteenth. That date was postponed until March first due to lack of sufficient research.

Dave Tyler does have the necessary research skills, provided by two years of debate experience. Perhaps the new voice he'll bring to the IFC will disturb the "continuity." If continuity means lack of budgeting criteria, repeal of the appeals procedure, numerous abstentions, insufficient research and lack of student input, then it certainly is no asset to the IFC. Elect Dave Tyler to the Incidental Fee Committee. He's a new voice — and its time for a change.

Greg Minckley
pol. sci., senior

For Whetstine

We need your support for the only honest candidate seeking the presidency of the ASUO...Izzy Whetstine. Why waste your vote on some ambitious future junior executive; seeking office only to enhance his academic portfolio? Let's call a spade a spade...The ASUO represents no one. Why pay students to represent students, to show students that representing students is a farce? All



the ASUO president does, is shuffle papers, only to be reshuffled by President Boyd. Izzy Whetstine won't shuffle those papers. He'll pass the buck to begin with. A vote for Izzy ain't dizzy!

Charles Lebo
arch., soph

For Condliffe

Will 1976 be the year of status quo politics for the ASUO? It will be if the powers that be in student government have their way.

For a more open ASUO I support Jack Condliffe for president. Jack's involvement in the real political world as an organizer for Fred Harris' presidential campaign and his efforts to provide EMU food workers with decent conditions and wages, demonstrate his commitment to progressive social change. This is the year that someone with proven credentials outside of Suite 4 politics should take over the helm of the ASUO.

David McTeague
pol. sc., jr.
Jeff Warren
geog., fr.

For Davis

Jim Davis has worked in student government and student programs over a period of four-and-a-half years. During this time I have had the opportunity to know him as an outstanding student leader and sincere program developer.

Jim is a tenacious, steadfast innovator. He suggests ideas that involve faith in student responsibility and energy. Despite obstacles, Jim fosters such ideas into reality. A recent example of such commitment occurred with a course Jim proposed last summer, "Student Program Management." This course involved developing curriculum for training ASUO program leaders in concepts of personnel management, budgeting, volunteer training, program evaluation and utilization of campus/community resources. Jim stayed actively involved with its curriculum design fall and winter terms and provided an enthusiasm that inspired members of the class to be equally challenged. Results included a flow of energy to program training and communication that benefited over forty participating student leaders.

In the ASUO government this year, Jim's responsive attention to the budgeting process, personnel supervision, office communication and program advocacy has set a standard for future student

governance. A relaxed, friendly climate of cooperation and help followed Jim in his work, and carefully developed policy statements marked his written communication with other student leaders.

Jim's background in ESCAPE has been extensive in training and supervision. In 1972, Jim initiated Friendship with the Elderly, an outreach volunteer program to help senior citizens. Since then, Jim has been a leading student advocate and volunteer for service to the elderly. Later, Jim served as ESCAPE's division head of Community Services and as an active member of many task committees. His ability to encourage others and remain with ideas until actualized were primary qualities he brought to the leadership roles he accepted.

In the many situations in which I have worked with Jim, he has continually demonstrated sincerity to task, innovation to design, responsibility to cause, and honesty to communication. I have learned to trust Jim Davis' ideals and to accept the incredible energy that comes forth to make them real. I believe he is an ASUO presidential candidate who can be trusted to follow through on the election platform he has proposed and who will sincerely earn your trust and respect as an advocate for student issues from all sectors of the campus.

Judith Coffey
faculty adviser,
ESCAPE

For Stewart

I am running for SUAB position three, business administration.

Having attended another university, I have some basis for comparison in examining the resources allocated to the business school. It has disturbed me that the business school is used as a revenue generator for the University at the expense of quality education for its students. The administration relies on the excuse of a "liberal arts formula" to explain why we must endure large lectures and limited course offerings. There have been echoes that studying business is something less than a true academic discipline. Doesn't the administration realize that ultimately the business school must have more resources so as not to limit enrollment? The University has a stake in seeing the business school enrollment expand in the face of overall declining student enrollment so as to preserve the "full-time equivalent student" level necessary for full legislative funding.

As a member of an unfavored constituency I wish to challenge this misallocation of resources.

Those with questions or opinions are welcome to call me at 686-2875. A statement of my platform will appear as an advertisement in the *Emerald* on April 28, 1976.

David Stewart
soph., finance

For Minden

Like a certain comedian, students at the UO "don't get no respect" far too often. President Boyd states that a university should be run by "academic processes rather than by political processes." What he means by this, is that students should have no say in decisions that affect them deeply (i.e. faculty promotion and tenure). I say this is unjust. The UO is not a research institute, it is an educational institution! Students are not a "necessary evil," we are the reason the University exists! We are a consumer whose rights have too long been ignored!

My goal is to see the SUAB become a platform for the voicing of student concerns. I also support the idea that the SUAB should lobby for student interests at the next legislative session, perhaps there our rights will receive the respect they are too often denied by the administration and State Board of Higher Education. If you care about your educational future, please vote!

Mike Minden
candidate, SUAB No. 12

Letters policy

The *Emerald* will accept and try to print all letters containing fair comment on ideas and topics of concern or interest to the University community. Because of space limitations, letters must be no more than 250 words, typed, triple-spaced, dated and signed with the person's major. No unsigned letters will be published. Longer opinion columns will be published whenever possible after being submitted to the editorial page editor. The limit on opinion columns is 800 words, using the same format as letters.