

Editor predicts shutdown

PSU Vanguard board member quits

A student member of the Publications Board of the Portland State **Vanguard** has resigned and the editor of the student-funded paper claimed late Monday that the board will move to withdraw its authorization to print in an upcoming meeting.

Patrick Bennett, who filed a complaint in December with the board asking removal of editor Kathleen Hawkins and managing editor Ray Worden for refusal to run CIA advertising, withdrew that complaint and tendered his resignation last week. He cited

harassment of his friends by unspecified persons as his reason.

Hawkins said the board would "refuse to authorize publication" at a scheduled April 15 meeting and is "trying to close down the paper." She said that the board termed the **Vanguard** "fiscally irresponsible."

Board chairer David Smeltzer said "nothing is in the works" for a shut-down order and the **Vanguard** will "endeavor to continue to function."

Smeltzer said the **Vanguard** has not met expected ad revenue and stands to run an \$8,000 to \$15,000 deficit this year. "It means the **Vanguard** has real problems," he said, adding that the board was "trying to do our best to deal with the financial problem."

He acknowledged, however, that the **Vanguard** would close down for economic reasons only in the event of a "real financial bind."

Bennett's resignation and his withdrawal of the complaint against Hawkins and Worden still leaves their jobs in jeopardy. Smeltzer intimated that if Attorney General Lee Johnson rules the board has the authority to order publication of CIA ads and the editors again refuse to comply, then "somebody will file a complaint."

He also said the board is considering whether or not the two editors' non-student status would be grounds to deny them pay.

oregon daily emerald

An Independent Newspaper

Vol. 77, No. 100

Eugene, Oregon 97403

Tuesday, February 17, 1976

B-1 Bomber: 'Public pressure' needed

Opponents of military spending are focusing their efforts on a manned, supersonic weapons de-

By JACK WILSON
Of the Emerald

livery system they estimate will cost \$92 billion over a period of 30

years—the B-1 bomber.

Congress is expected to consider the bomber later this year.

"If the B-1 bomber is defeated it will be the first time a major weapons system was defeated by public pressure," said Stefan Ostrach, a researcher for the Stop

the B-1 Bomber-National Peace Conversion Campaign, Monday in the EMU.

Ostrach spoke on the economic impact of the B-1 bomber and military spending in general. Peter Schneider of the University political science department preceded Ostrach with a short talk

on the mechanics of defense spending, explaining the strategy of counterforce and the process of weapons system development.

"The essence of the Air Force is to have manned bombers," Schneider said. "We're going to have a manned bomber. If you knock this one out you're going to

have another one."

Ostrach disagreed, saying that if the B-1 bomber is stopped "it'll be the end of the manned bomber."

The chief objection to the bomber Ostrach said, is its expense. "If what you're interested in is creating jobs, you don't go around building B-1 bombers." Promoters of the bomber claim the project will create 70,000 jobs.

Conversion from military production to civilian production "is a political problem, not a technical one," according to Ostrach. He said corporation executives called for a permanent war economy at the end of World War II and that the economy has been geared for militarism ever since.

One reason for this, Ostrach said, is the high profits defense contractors can make. "There's nothing better than dealing with the Pentagon. You have one customer with \$100 billion a year to spend."

Schneider said public control of defense strategies is difficult because of the complexity of arms development projects.

He also said the defense establishment is so large and complex that some projects acquire a bureaucratic momentum of their own. "No one man can watch the whole process," he said.

As for the B-1 bomber, Ostrach said he is "confident, but not over-confident" that Congress will not appropriate money for the project. Although no presidential candidate except Fred Harris has spoken out against the bomber, Ostrach said 175 congressmen have indicated their opposition to the project.

Ostrach will speak again Wednesday at 12:15 at the Central Presbyterian Church, 1475 Ferry St. He will also participate in a panel discussion with Robert Smith of the University economics department and others. The discussion is scheduled for 7:30 p.m. Wednesday at the Labor Temple, 2300 Oakmont Way.



Photo by John Hellman

Political science Prof. Peter Schneider speaks to the group.

Hawaii Club revived; plans small luau

The University Hawaii Club is making a comeback after disbanding nearly three years ago.

Jeri Hirai and Byron Ahina, co-chairers of the club, say student interest spurred the re-formation of the club, which has recently gained ASUO recognition. They say it is open to "anybody from anywhere" who wants to chip in with help and contribute ideas.

"Right now we're more of a social club," says Hirai. "We're

having a lot of fun doing things like service projects and working on the luau together."

In past years, the Hawaii Club staged an annual luau, but in 1972 the last of the luaus was held in Mac Court before an audience of 250 Eugeneans.

"The Hawaii kids did a wonderful job with the luau," says Joy Goolsby, food service director at Carson Hall. She had helped with the preparation of the club's Polynesian cuisine

for 11 years. "The luaus were always very successful. They always made a profit, and all money above and beyond the production costs was donated to different charities."

According to Goolsby, the high demand for tickets showed that the community looked forward to the luaus.

"The kids could have easily accommodated another 200 people, but that would have meant planning food and enter-

tainment for another night," she says. "They planned the luaus to accommodate 600 people and they had no trouble filling it to capacity."

"But the luau got to be too big of a thing, and nobody wanted to take the bulk of the responsibility," she says.

According to Hirai, the club is planning a luau for spring but at this point lacks the strength and backing to pull off a full-scale fund-raising production.

(Continued on Page 7)