

oregon daily emerald

An Independent Newspaper

Vol. 77, No. 84

Eugene, Oregon 97403

Monday, January 26, 1976




Photo by Greg Clark

Cutting up

Ducks cut down Oregon State to the tune of 83-68. The loss was the first for the Beavers in the Pac-8 race, but the fourth in a row at The Pit. See story on Page 5.

Judge requests parental information

Protestors plead innocent

By TOM FLUHARTY
Of the Emerald

Eight persons accused of disrupting University Pres. William Boyd's inauguration Jan. 17 pleaded not guilty Friday in Eugene Municipal Court.

Charged with disorderly conduct are Peggy Jo Brammer, Rebecca Ann Vance, Sara Frances Maltzman, William Sherman Doolittle, Daniel Blair Greenstein, William Lutz, and Alan Ray Riddle.

Jean Ellen Woodruff is charged with interfering with a police officer.

Larry Brown, court-appointed attorney for all but Doolittle, requested seven days to file a motion. Doolittle, acting as his own attorney, made the same request.

Judge Frank Bocci agreed and set Feb. 2 as the deadline.

Bocci also asked Brown to supply the names and addresses of the parents of the defendants by Tuesday.

Brown resisted at first and questioned the request. Bocci said the seven have claimed an inability to pay for lawyers and he wants to "know if the affidavits they've filed are true."

At least one of the defendants has expressed concern about parents being contacted, Brown said, adding that all of the accused are over 18 and should be considered "emancipated."

"I want that information and I'm entitled to have it," Bocci said. "If I don't get it, I'll withhold your authority as a court-appointed attorney," he told Brown.

The eight were arrested in connection with a demonstration held during Boyd's inauguration ceremony in the EMU Ballroom. The demonstrators were protesting tuition hikes, Teamster lettuce on campus and cutbacks in University programs.

Brammer, Greenstein, Lutz and Vance have been identified as University students.

Dorm rates may rise, \$150 pre-payment axed

By PAUL KOBERSTEIN
Of the Emerald

Prospective dorm residents will no longer be required to submit a \$150 pre-payment to reserve space for 1976-77. However, the State Board of Higher Education may institute that pre-payment level if attitudes of the Inter-institutional Fee Committee members are any indication.

The fee committee met Friday in Johnson Hall to discuss room and board rates, which may increase ten per cent next year. The committee also was told that the University wants the authority to demand the \$150 pre-payments.

Housing now hopes to be granted legal authority by the board to require the pre-payment for the 1977-78 school year.

Debate on proposed rate increases

though, occupied most of the fee committee's attention. Inflation, student demand for space and pressure to pay debts were given as reasons for higher fees. University room and board rates for this and next year were projected as:

	Multiple	Single
1975-76	1,220	1,586
1976-77	1,320	1,716

Projections of rates at the University Inn were higher but included telephone service:

	Multiple	Single
1975-76	1,494	1,894
1976-77	1,650	2,145

No decision was reached by the committee concerning next year's rates. Further discussion is scheduled for an April meeting.

Hearst trial:

Is it a case of prosecuting the kidnaper or the victim?

SAN FRANCISCO (AP)—On the eve of the opening of the federal bank robbery trial of Patricia Hearst, defense lawyers F. Lee Bailey and Albert Johnson planned to file a landslide of last-minute motions before U.S. District Court Judge Oliver Carter.

Carter, hospitalized briefly last week for minor surgery, was expected to be on the bench today to hear pre-trial motions. The trial is scheduled to get under way Tuesday.

One of the motions the defense has said it would submit will ask Carter to quash the indictment against Hearst on grounds that the grand jury which issued it was not shown evidence which might have tended to exonerate her.

In a recent interview, Bailey and Johnson talked about the upcoming trial and their plans for defending the daughter of San Francisco newspaper executive Randolph Hearst.

Hearst was kidnaped from her Berkeley apartment in February 1974 by the terrorist Symbionese Liberation Army. She later said she had joined her captors and renounced her family. In a tape recording released shortly after the SLA robbery of a Bank of Hibernia branch here, Hearst said she willingly participated. But Bailey and Johnson say she was coerced by SLA soldiers.

"So far as I know, the government has never prosecuted a kidnap victim before," Bailey said. "Usually, they prosecute the kidnaper."

He hinted that Hearst's defense will rest largely on prosecution of the only two SLA terrorists who remain alive—Bill and Emily Harris, jailed in Los Angeles awaiting trial on other charges there.

Bailey said the Harrises will be accused by the defense of brainwashing Hearst and forcing her to commit bank robbery. He said the couple wrote the script for Hearst's tape-recorded "confession."

"She was reading from a piece of paper drawn by the Harrises," he said. Testimony from brainwashing experts will play a key role in Hearst's defense, her attorneys said.

"We've got one witness who's had innumerable contracts with the Air Force," Johnson said. "He's worked with captured pilots from Vietnam and Korea with prisoner of war experiences. It's on the SLA tapes. Miss Hearst was a prisoner of war."

Bailey predicted that Hearst's trial will set legal precedents because "usually the government proves that the guy was in the bank, the bank was robbed and he had a weapon. There is an inference that he intended to do it."

In Hearst's case, however, "the government should have to specifically prove the voluntariness of the intent," he said, noting that in most criminal cases the government has no obligation to show motive or state of mind of the defendant.