



A work of art?

Linda Kensinger, a humanities junior from Lexington, Ky., concentrates on her fingerpainting which was a part of the 'Primal Painting' exhibition held Friday in front of the EMU.

Reynolds proposes campus food booths



Lottery for tickets soon

By MARY DON Of the Emerald

Yes, sports fans, there will be a lottery to raffle off the remaining 2,000 coveted combination athletic passes. Unfortunately, no one seems to know when, where or how the lottery will take place, or who will be allowed to enter the contest.

According to the general plan, students should be able to register for the lottery today, Tuesday and Wednesday with winners and alternate winners being chosen Wednesday night. The lucky winners would have through next Monday to purchase their tickets, after which alternates would be able to buy any remaining tickets.

Incidental Fee Committee (IFC) Chairer Terry Kay who is in charge of the lottery, could not be reached to discuss the plans he has made for the lottery. Interested students should contact Kay, the IFC, or the ASUO executive. Presumably, information should be available from these sources as soon as lottery plans are complete.

Probably the largest problem in designing the raffle will be deciding who not to let enter the lottery. Jane Aiken, a student who has sat on several athletic department-related committees, has observed that some students, like cheerleaders and band members already attend games. She feels they should not be eligible for the lottery.

Others have questioned whether students who have already had an opportunity to buy athletic tickets—pre-registered freshmen, law students and students who registered before 11:30 Thursday should be able to try for remaining passes.

Students who have already bought athletic passes have had their fee cards stamped and will most probably not be allowed to enter the lottery. But weeding out other potential ineligibles (like cheerleaders) by looking at their fee cards will present a reall challenge to raffle arrangers.

Kirby Garrett and Darrell Murray of the ASUO executive and Aiken all seem to feel that the current confusion is the result of a lack of foresight last spring in planning the athletic ticket sales. They say the selling of five passes every half hour at each registration fee both was naive in demanding unreasonable cooperation from students.

Aiken expressed a feeling shared by many other sports fans when she called the current situation "absolutely asinine" and said, "The fanatic that I am, if I don't get a fair crack at tickets, they're going to hear about it—loud and long."

Interview reveals Davis' opinions

By DENNIS PFAFF Of the Emerald

The University's position of not allowing groups other than the EMU to sell food has apparently been reversed.

Dick Reynolds, EMU director, released a statement Thursday suggesting that covered platforms be built on campus to accommodate student groups who want to raise money. The facilities, Reynolds suggested, would be built along the closed-off portion of 13th Avenue which runs through campus.

According to a *Eugene Register-Guard* story, Reynolds said until new policies are adopted which would allow the construction of the platforms, he may permit a "reasonable number of organization sales" on EMU grounds. This new stand is reportedly supported by University President Robert Clark.

Last year, food sales by MEChA, the University's Chicano student group, in combination with a student boycott of the EMU because of the lettuce-purchasing policy of the University, caused up to a 40 percent drop in EMU food sales. This was the reason the University objected to food sales on the EMU terrace.

Reynolds' proposal for three 12-foot by 12-foot platforms with canvas roofs would have to be approved by the Campus Planning Committee. The EMU would pay the costs of constructing the booths and recover its outlay through rent paid by students using them.

The proposal will be presented to the Planning Committee at its first meeting of the year in about three weeks.

Reynolds' also suggested the student union set up a three-member panel to develop criteria for the market place and to settle any disputes over its use. The criteria they approved would be subject to approval by Reynolds.

Presently, it is not known if groups will be the only ones permitted to use the booths.



Angela Davis

By LEE SIEGEL Of the Emerald

The following interview with black activist Angela Davis was conducted Saturday en route fron Portland to Corvallis. In the interest of accurately conveying Davis' ideas to our readers, the Emerald is publishing the complete interview in three parts with an absolute minimum of editing. Parts two and three will be published during this week.

Davis is currently co-chairer of the National Alliance Against Racist and Political Repression. She has been making many speaking engagements to urge involvement in her cause.

In this segment of the interview, Davis speaks of her attitude toward the media, her role as a spokesperson for the movement, her current activities and the condition of Ruchell Magee and political prisoners in general.

Feelings toward the media

EMERALD: You've been subject to quite a bit of distortion in reporting, first over the thing at UCLA, when you were made out to be a symbol or a heroine, and then later during your trial the opposite became true. A lot of the press just assumed you were guilty. How has that affected your attitude toward the media? Do you think that it is now possible for you to come across to people as you really want to be shown?

DAVIS: When we are speaking sbout the established media, I really don't expect them to report what we are doing objectively unless we can bring the kind of pressure that compels them to do that. I know that during the trial, what often happened was this:

The prosecutor would put a witness on the stand and ask the witness many leading questions designed to prove what the prosecutor felt was his case. We then cross-examined the witness and would break down the witness' entire story.

The press reported the prosecutor's questions and the answers, and often completely ignored the fact that throughout cross-examination the witness had been impeached.

(Continued on Page 13)