

Goooooooo Ducks!

What is school spirit?
Not an easy question to answer is it?
Love of school? Love of campus? Love of team?

To be sure, school spirit is a bit of all of these. School spirit is that little tingle at the back of your neck when you hear the fight song or see a Lemon and Green letterman's jacket in the front of the row of Eng. 202.

School spirit is the Fighting Ducks of Oregon tromping Dee (fatman) Andros in Corvallis. School spirit is Steve Prefontaine gamely limping home to claim another American record.

School spirit is the pluck and perseverance of Dick Harter's glorious B-

Ballers as they rise from the depths of despairing defeat to third place in the Pac-8.

School spirit is the annual Oregon-UCLA basketball game—the battle of the titans, the game of the century, the contest of the age.

Tonight those wonderful Kamikaze Kids have a chance to bring the big "V" home to Oregon.

Victory over UCLA!
The National championship!
Peace and brotherhood!

When Ronnie and Gerald and Mark and Doug and Billy (and all the other marvelous players) take the floor tonight

against the big bad Bruins, the rafters of old Mac Court should shake as they've never shaken before.

School spirit is backing your team to the hilt as they thrust the dagger of justice into the cold black hearts of the Californian enemy.

No quarter!
No rest!
No peace!

Until the Ducks slay the Bruins and bring victory back to Eugene.

Go Ducks, go.
Fight Ducks, fight.
Win Ducks, win.
For Oregon.
Quack.

Commentary

'Winkelman plan' —how realistic?

By John (Scott) Andrews

Editor's Note: Andrews is a junior in political science at the University, a member of the Oregon Student Association executive committee and a representative of IUS to the Oregon State Legislature.

INITIATIVE: ALLOCATION OF STUDENT INCIDENTAL FEES

At registration each student of the University of Oregon will be given a complete list of ASUO programs, including the Athletic Department and EMU, and will be required to allocate his or her incidental fee among the various ASUO programs (including a special category, to be spent as the ASUO Senate sees fit). The percentages will be summed and converted to a dollar amount, based upon the amount of incidental fee, and this dollar amount will continue the funding of each program.

This provision shall override and nullify the previous methods of allocating the incidental fee, and shall be binding upon the ASUO Senate and Executives as of May 15, 1972.

This is a copy of the petition voted on by students here at the University Spring term, 1972. It is now infamously known as the "Winkelman Plan". The plan is probably the biggest farce ever perpetuated on this campus.

At the ASUO Senate meeting of March 7, 1972 John Winkelman submitted a motion much like the initiative above. The difference was that the motion kept the funding of the Athletic Department and the EMU in the hands of the Senate. The motion passed by a large majority, but not until it had been amended to be a check-off system to be administered by a student committee. This plan could have been put into effect without the initiative.

There are several problems with both plans, but the Senate motion is the only one of the two that is even remotely possible. Consider these points:

1) The Athletic Department and the EMU are budgeted under a contract, and could not be cut out. These two programs alone eat up over \$435,000 of the incidental fee budget. This leaves only about seven dollars per term per student that could be allocated under the "Winkelman Plan", not \$18.

2) By state law the State Board of

Higher Education has complete control over the student incidental fees. The State Board has, in turn, given most of this responsibility over to each institution's President. This means that, if he chose, President Clark could make the budget out himself without any student input. This is, in effect, what is being done at Portland State.

Here, Clark has given the ASUO Senate the right to make the general decisions affecting students. He in turn rubber stamps the budget and sends it to the State Board for final approval. The rules for these responsibilities are written in the form of incidental fee guidelines, and the Incidental Fee Committee Report. That report reads in part: "This document and the delegation herein may be withdrawn or

revised at annual intervals by the President or at the initiative of the ASUO after consultation between the parties." (emphasis mine)

3) In a memo to Cliff Zukin, President Clark wrote; "Although I find a good deal of merit in Mr. Winkelman's referendum, particularly in trying to assess the students' preferences in the expenditure of their money, I do not believe the suggested procedure practical and therefore could not find it acceptable. (emphasis mine)

4) Nobody can estimate how much implementing the plan would cost. The counting and figuring would be immense, a job at least for a computer. Over three thousand students do not pay full incidental fees. These are part time

students, and keeping track of each one, how much incidental fee each pays, and where that money goes would require a very sophisticated program.

5) How many students would stop at registration and take the time to do an intelligent job of allocating his or her fees?

The ASUO Senate spends hundreds of hours reviewing budgets, deciding the needs, uses, and importance of programs. Students just do not have enough time to do all that individually.

It becomes readily apparent that any change in the allocation system is going to have to be researched, and researched well. I hope the proponents of new plans will do a better job than Mr. Winkelman has.

Letters

'Misquoted' law

Just asking, here, for a little equal time. Your story on the Hilyard St. Market was no doubt true. The market does have a good wine selection, and it's hard to deny that Brooke seems like a pretty nice guy. A very mellow place—good vibes.

But along with his wine, Brooke has, displayed prominently in his market, a sign which he says is a state law, which states that any person of "questionable age" (21-26 Years) cannot be sold beer or wine unless he produces an OLCC card. This sign is about 16 by 13 inches big, and has the appearance of an official ordinance. Brooke has consistently (and always with sympathy and a smile, to let you know that he's really on your side) refused to sell beer and wine to persons without the card, piously pointing to the law and saying that he believes you, but you see, the law . . .

There is no such law. Oregon Revised Statutes, 471.130 states

1) All licensees and permittees of the commission, before selling or serving alcoholic liquor to any person about whom there is any reasonable doubt of his having reached 21 years of age, shall require such person to produce his identification card issued under ORS 471.140. However, if the person has no identification card, the permittee or licensee shall require such person to make a written statement of age and furnish evidence of his true age and identity.

2) The written statement of age shall be

on a form, furnished or approved by the commission, including but not limited to the following information . . .

Such a form is regularly provided to retailers by the Oregon Liquor Control Commission. District Sgt. Frank Ransom, of the commission, told me that he has provided the Hilyard St. Market with a supply of these forms.

I have told Brooke all this. But the "law" still hangs there, right behind the potato chips and fritos.

Brooke is entirely within his rights to refuse to accept any I.D. He's got a business to protect. State laws dealing with selling to minors are severe.

But the point is that he should be honest. If he doesn't trust someone's I.D., then he should damn well tell them so. He shouldn't point to a misquoted law.

It is vital that people who must abide by laws know what the laws are. This sort of deliberate misrepresentation, that very possibly has the tacit support of the OLCC, can only result in an increased contempt for both the laws themselves and the agencies which enforce them.

The Hilyard St. Market is a good store. They've got a good "cellar." The place is clean and friendly. Brooke goes out of his way to hire students. But the sign should come down.

Charles W. Holzhauer
Journalism

Know by the censors

I'd just like to see the Bible Richard Nixon reads. More than once I've wondered who his censor was there. Now, I love him, don't want to see him shot, fool that I may be, I even forgive the man too, for his censor obviously didn't know what he was doing leaving out whole parts of the authors' intending naming of "Christian values" like forgiveness, love for one's enemy, charity for all, you can see the list isn't at all complete here—complete it yourself.

Oh well, I guess the 'Christian' image gets him into the hearts and homes of millions of say, Average Americans who earn their names well, by voting for him.

Or is it really just more Churchianity? I mean, the end of 'benign neglect' would bring an end to misery and suffering to many who are painted as 'chiselers' and 'bums' disguised as ordinary human beings in real old-fashioned need of some charity . . . no way, I guess, alas, it doesn't show a profit and further preservation of a system of socio-economics that sees its victims as the bad guys, villains while those who perpetuate it and are at the reins are the good guys, heroes, all honorable and prideful men.

Right on?

Or like the baby who in his or her ghetto crib sees its first animal crawling into its crib . . . Rat On! to Busine\$\$ a\$ U\$E YOU - ALL.

Frank Morris