

Panel suggests 'no-growth' for University in 1970's, reviews 1964 guidelines

By CLAY EALS
Of the Emerald

PORTLAND (Special) — Growth probably will not be a byword for the University through the 1970-80 decade.

Revised guidelines for the University, which forecast no "significant expansion" in the 1970's, are currently being considered by the State Board of Higher Education.

The board's academic affairs committee reviewed such revised guidelines Dec. 18 and recommended board approval.

The board will consider approval of the revised guidelines for the University at the board's next meeting Jan. 22 here.

The bulk of the guidelines are identical to those the board approved for the University in 1964, which are made up of 17 general objectives.

The revisions in the guidelines concern the University's role in the 1970's.

Following are the basic revisions:

"The board does not envision any significant expansion in the 1970's in the curricular allocations given the University of Oregon.

"The University is a mature, well-developed institution with substantial obligations to the state growing out of the wide-ranging curricular allocations given the University by the board.

"The University's primary emphasis in the 1970's will be in carrying out its present assigned missions in the State System (of Higher Education)."

One exception to the "no-growth" philosophy expressed in the guideline revisions is that, if the University will be able to add to its curriculum in the 1970's, "it appears likely" that additions "will lie in the health service area."

The exception states that the health service resources of the University and Eugene will be examined "in the board's continuing exploration" of how the state system can serve Oregon's need for health service preparation programs.

But, the exception adds that such a reference to health service "is not to be interpreted as an intention on the part of the board . . . to establish a second medical school in the 1970's."

"The board envisions no such need."

Discussion at the Dec. 18 academic affairs committee meeting centered not on the guideline revisions for the University in the 1970's, but on the original guidelines passed in 1964.

The original guidelines are made up of 17 general objectives, which include providing "educational opportunities of high quality, within the designated scope of the University's functions, to all who can benefit from them."

Other objectives include maintaining teacher excellence, preserving "an atmosphere of freedom," and providing "the kind of professional education for both undergraduate and graduate students which will enable them to render to a rapidly changing society effective service consistent with high ethical standards."

Oregon Daily Emerald

Also, the objectives include providing "the opportunity for the total development of the student."

Elizabeth Johnson, committee chairer, said at the meeting that she had several "reservations" about the original guidelines.

She said that guidelines are too vague and general, and should "speak to the necessity for relating (the University's) objectives and goals to the manpower needs of the students and society."

She asked, "If they (the University and other schools in the state system) have these broad, all-encompassing, global objectives, what do they do if a student or somebody says, 'These are your goals and how are doing this?'"

Johnson questioned the general wording of "total development of the student."

State system Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs Miles Romney told Johnson that the guidelines say the University should provide the "opportunity" for the "total development of the student," and that the guidelines do not "guarantee" it.

State system chancellor Roy Lieuallen also said the guidelines are merely intended to provide for the University a broad basis on which to operate.

John Mosser, board member who does not sit on the committee but attended the meeting, added, "We really don't know all that much about how the educational process works and why it works."

"It isn't the academic grading that's doing it. Maybe it's just being there and rubbing elbows with the intellectually alert." Because of this, "We can't be overly definitive in saying what we are doing and why," he said.

After the discussion ended, the committee came to a consensus, recommending approval of the University guidelines, original and revised.

But, Johnson said she wasn't completely satisfied. After the committee came to a consensus, she said, "Well, I'm still concerned with some of those (guidelines) and are we performing on them?"

The academic affairs committee not only considered guidelines for the University at its Dec. 18 meeting, but for the eight other schools in the state system as well: the University Dental School, Oregon State University, Portland State University, Southern Oregon College, Oregon College of Education, Eastern Oregon

College, the University Medical School and Oregon Technical Institute.

Guidelines for all nine schools gained recommendations for approval, except for the University Medical School. The committee determined that the medical school guidelines document needed redrafting.

During the consideration of OSU's guidelines, committee members determined that OSU "ought not to look to the development of graduate programs in the humanities and social sciences — now or in the future."

Adding such programs at OSU would be "diversionary" to OSU's functions as a "great" land-grant, sea-grant university in the state system, committee members said.

OSU President Robert MacVicar told the committee he would like to see more sharing of functions between the University and OSU.

"We (OSU) do have some opportunities where we hope we can work with the University of Oregon to have a whole program without each institution feeling it has to have a complete program," MacVicar said.

Discount youth fares to end

The Easter and summer plans of many University students to travel home will be severely affected by the elimination of discount youth fares announced Dec. 7 by the Civil Aeronautics Board (CAB). The National Student Lobby (NSL) and senior citizen groups are seeking to reverse the CAB decision through Congressional action.

CAB voted 3-2 that domestic youth fares are unjustly discriminatory and should no longer be permitted. The decision implied that same fate for international youth fares.

"The wolf is at the door," said NSL Executive Director Layton Olson. "Although CAB has set no specific date for terminating youth fares pending a hearing early in 1973 on the effect of such a move, the discounts could very well end as early as March."

THE BOOK FAIR

USED BOOKS

TEXTS MAGS RECORDS

45 W. 7th Closed Sunday & Monday

House of Records has moved to 258 East 13th

still with low prices and fine selection

\$5.98 retail for \$3.25 and below

342-7975

ASUO Cultural Forum presents

LEO KOTTKE & Sunnyland Band in concert



Thursday, January 11

8 pm

EMU Ballroom

Tickets: \$2.00

Sun Shop, Chrystalship,

EMU Main Desk

NEW WORLD COFFEE HOUSE
1249 ALDER - 344-2026
MON-FRI 9:30-12 SAT 10:30-12 SUN 5:00-11:00

CONTINENTAL BREAKFAST
NEW WORLD COFFEE HOUSE
SERVED 9:30-11 MON-FRI, 10:30-12 SAT