

Summers' Motion Approved

In Refusing Deferment

Drummonds States Stand

By PHIL SEMAS
Emerald Editor

Henry Drummonds says he should not be considered "a martyr, a hero, or a super-patriot" because he sent back his draft deferment.

Drummonds, ASUO president, broke a two-day long refusal to comment on his induction into the army—with an interview with the Emerald Wednesday.

He said any effort to make him

ADA Endorses New Grounds For Exemption

WASHINGTON (CPS) — Conscientious objection to a specific war as grounds for exemption from normal military service has been endorsed by the nation's leading liberal political organization.

After several hours of heated debate, the national convention of Americans for Democratic Action (ADA) passed a resolution which also supports elimination of student deferments and the use of a lottery system for those classified 1-A.

The ADA meeting rejected a substitute motion supported by a number of younger delegates which would have endorsed the creation of a voluntary army.

ADA's new position on Selective Service favors a "draft of the youngest first to end the present uncertainties of those between the ages of 19 and 26."

Endorse Objections

The liberal group, which claims 55,000 national members, endorsed conscientious objection for those "who do not have an organized religion and object on moral grounds." It urged "the broadening of national service programs such as VISTA, Peace Corps, Job Corps" but opposed service in these groups "as alternatives to service in the armed forces."

On Capitol Hill, two Republican Congressional advocates of a volunteer army made public a letter to President Johnson attacking the National Commission on Selective Service headed by former assistant attorney general Burke Marshall.

Congressmen Thomas B. Curtis of Missouri and Donald Rumsfeld of Illinois claimed the Marshall Commission members had "summarily dismissed the possibility of a volunteer system of manpower procurement" without any "background information supporting their statements on reenlistment motivation and cost."

Dismiss Arguments

The Congressmen said some of the commission arguments amounted to "pure rhetoric devoid of any meaning." They told the President that "without supporting data and working papers, the strength of your proposals are seriously diminished."

Both Republicans called for full Congressional hearings on the draft, and voiced support for a resolution which would establish a joint Congressional committee to undertake the task.

a martyr "seems to me to be a little silly." He pointed out that "thousands of people join the armed forces each year, including many college students."

He said his action "came simply out of a sincere belief that the Selective Service System needs to be reformed and that the blanket 2-S deferment is not a justifiable way for deciding who will be conscripted."

He said that because of that belief "it would have been hypocritical of me not to have sent back the deferment." And he added that his being drafted has not altered those convictions.

Won't Shoot

"I don't think I could shoot a 19-year-old Vietnamese who thinks he is doing the best for his society and I won't shoot anybody," he says.

"I have the same disinclination toward being drafted and serving in the Viet Nam war as do most college students."

Drummonds said he disagrees with the present draft system on two grounds:

- "It is inequitable."
- "It isolates too much of this nation from the reality that we are waging war unilaterally on a small, weak country in Southeast Asia."

He cited the report of President Johnson's commission on the draft, headed by former Assistant Attorney General Burke Marshall, in support of his view that the draft is inequitable and that college deferments ought to be abolished.

Exempt Too Often

Drummonds said, "Too often students get an exemption instead of a deferment."

He said he agrees with a Marshall Commission statement that "even though educational opportunity is increasingly widespread, the opportunity to go to college still reflects a degree of social and economic advantages not yet shared by all."

Drummonds also questioned the rationale usually given for student deferments, that it is the national interest to allow people to finish their education before being drafted.

He noted that the Marshall Commission also made that finding.

Support Growing

Drummonds thinks support for abolishing deferments is growing. "Last fall it was a radical idea," he said, "but more and more people now accept Senator Edward Kennedy's original proposal for a lottery."

He noted that even General Lewis Hershey, the nation's draft director, now supports the idea of drafting the youngest first in an open lottery.

Drummonds also argues that

(Continued on page 2)

Faculty Also Removes 2.0 As Eligibility Requirement

By ANNETTE BUCHANAN
Emerald Managing Editor

Two motions—one protecting faculty members from classroom evaluation publications and the other liberalizing student activity eligibility rules—passed the University faculty in a two-and-one-half hour closed session Wednesday.

Three other items on the agenda were not considered but two notices of motion were heard before the meeting was adjourned for one week—until April 12.

Robert Summers, professor of law, introduced only three sections of his lengthy motion concerning student reaction surveys but it passed by a vote of 101-64. Summers' motion, as accepted, reads:

"Be it resolved, therefore, that: "I. The University faculty suggests, in helping to implement its foregoing resolution of February 1, 1967, that each and every faculty member, at some time, allow the use of his classroom, if desired by students, for the purpose of collecting data as part of the aforementioned survey or like surveys, and recommends that such data, and any evaluations based thereon, be made available to such faculty member.

"Against the Will"

"Provided however, that any data, or any evaluation based on data thus allowed to be collected, may not be published against the will of the faculty member in a publication issuing from the aforementioned survey, and like surveys, if the faculty member has, prior to the occasion for collection of such data, exercised his right under resolution II hereof.

"II. The University faculty explicitly recognizes, what is presumably taken for granted, namely, the right of an individual faculty member to decline, by giving appropriate advance notice, to have any data, or any evaluations of his teaching based on any data, collected inside or outside of his classroom, published against his will, in a publication issuing from the aforementioned survey, and like surveys similarly recognized and supported by the University faculty, rec-

ognized and supported by the President of the University, and prepared, in part, by a member or members of the University faculty or staff in the course of official duties.

"III. The University faculty explicitly recognizes, what is presumably taken for granted, namely, the right of an individual faculty member to decline, by giving appropriate advance notice, to have any use made of his classroom for the collection of data as part of the aforementioned survey, or like surveys."

John R. Menninger, assistant professor of biology, offered an amendment which would have requested the University president to direct the students responsible for the survey to state that it was a publication of the ASUO and not of the faculty or the administration.

As chairman of the meeting, University President Arthur S. Flemming ruled the motion in order as a valid substitute but was overruled by a show of hands and the amendment died.

Removes 2.0

The motion liberalizing student activity eligibility rules came from J. V. Soeder, chairman of the Student Activities Committee. It removes the 2.0 g.p.a. requirement both for the previous term and accumulatively.

However, activity participants must still be University students, be certified eligible by the Student Union director, or the faculty athletic representative in the case of intercollegiate athletics, be carrying 12 hours as an undergraduate or nine as a graduate and the same during the previous term.

(Continued on page 2)

Greeks and Co-ops

Panel Explores Conduct Problem

By SALLY SHARRARD
Emerald Feature Editor

The panel of four plus one (a tape recorder) outlined the pros and cons of the relationship of the Student Conduct Code to the Greek and Co-op systems during a public meeting Wednesday in the Student Union.

Henry Drummonds, ASUO president, has proposed to the Student Conduct Committee that the Code apply to all University students when they are within the physical boundaries of the campus and that civil law regulate all University students when they are outside the physical boundaries.

This means that Greek and Co-op living organizations would be considered outside the University's physical boundaries.

Lawrence Ross, chairman of the Student Conduct Committee, outlined, through the use of a tape recorder, the responsibility of

the University to develop mature and responsible students and the responsibility of Greeks and University members to participate in making working rules and then living by them. Ross stated that he felt that Greeks should not withdraw from the Code but join with all the University to achieve the Code's aims but that it was up to the Greeks and co-ops to decide for themselves.

Philosophy Necessitates

Henry Drummonds said that the reason he had proposed the change was simply because it was necessitated by the philosophy of the Student Code and to bring the actual practice under the legal code.

Drummonds said that his proposal was not a break between the University and Co-ops but was a delegation of authority from the University to those groups, asking the groups to make conduct rules and to enforce them.

Carol Sly, member of the Student Conduct Committee, said that "presently Greeks are under triple jeopardy" where conduct may go before the police, Panhellenic, Inter-Fraternity Council, or the University of Oregon. Under actual practice all conduct is handled within the houses.

Kicked Off Campus

She noted that Greek nationals are wary of the proposal because they believe Greeks are being kicked off campus. "The University is unique in that we have a Student Conduct Code while most universities do not."

Bill Piche, president of IFC, related the position formulated by IFC which is in favor of the delegation of authority for off-campus conduct leaving the ultimate control in case of abuse with the University.

IFC also proposes that it should have the authority to hear and sanction along with the Student Conduct Committee for on-campus violations.

Mrs. Nosler, Panhellenic executive secretary stated the compromise position of remaining with the Conduct Code and delegating the Panhellenic judiciary board and the IFC Tribunal authority.



TWO OF THE SMALLEST of the more than 900 participants in the International Festival are Karima, left, and Zora, daughters of Mr. and Mrs. Sardar Gafoor. Both will be appearing in the Festival fashion show dressed in their native Afghanistani dress. See story, page 8.

Index

Editorials	page 6
Classified	page 7
Campus Briefs	page 7
Sports	page 4
PL-3	page 7