

## Tuition Increase Suggested

By PHIL SEMAS  
Emerald Editor

PORTLAND (Special)—Tuition is on its way up. The Committee on Finance and Business Affairs of the State Board of Higher Education voted Friday to recommend five changes in tuition and fees to the full board.

The changes would:

- Raise tuition and fees for resident undergraduates to \$123 a term or \$369 a year, an increase of \$13 a term.
- Raise non-resident undergraduate tuition and fees to \$333 a term or \$999 a year, an increase of \$33 a term.
- Raise tuition for all graduate students, resident and non-resident, to \$142 a term or \$429 a year, an increase of \$33 a term.
- Raise resident tuition \$4 per term at Eastern Oregon College, Southern Oregon College, and Oregon College of Education so that by 1970 their tuition will equal that of Oregon State, the University, and Portland State. Students at the three regional colleges now pay \$98 a term, compared to \$110 a term at the three big schools.

● Eliminate special music lesson fees for music majors and prospective music teachers.

The committee also postponed action on a proposal to lower charges for resident medical students from \$403 a term to the same amount paid by all other graduate students.

Final action on that question and all the other proposals will probably come at the next meeting of the

Board of Higher Education December 12 and 13 at Portland State. If the changes are approved, they will not go into effect until fall of 1967.

Tuition was last increased four years ago, effective fall term 1963.

There was no disagreement among the five members of the nine-member board who were present on raising undergraduate tuition.

### OTHER STATES

Most of the debate was over graduate tuition. Several board members felt that out-of-state graduate students should pay more than Oregon residents.

Mrs. Elizabeth Johnson, board member from Redmond, noted that most other states charge a much higher rate for non-resident graduate students than they do for residents.

But Board President Charles R. Holloway replied, "They're not on trial here."

University President Arthur S. Flemming argued that the lower tuition for graduate students has helped to build up the graduate programs at the University and Oregon State.

### "NO IMPROVEMENT"

"I just don't like to tamper with a formula that has worked and is continuing to work," he said.

Flemming also offered a suggestion about making a tuition increase before the 1967 Legislature has acted on the board's budget requests.

"It's conceivable," he said, "that the income from an increase in tuition could be subtracted from our budget

requests by the Legislature because they will say we already have those funds.

"The result would be no improvement in the institutions as a result of the tuition increase. If there is going to be an increase in tuition, every effort should be made to be sure that it results in improvement in the education."

Flemming proposed that the money raised by the tuition increase be earmarked for specific improvements in an effort to keep the Legislature from using the increase as an excuse to make cuts in the higher education budget.

Miles Popovitch, dean of administration at Oregon State, agreed, suggesting that the tuition increase be specifically tied to the board's "C" budget, which includes all improvements and new programs, including major increases in faculty salaries.

### INCIDENTAL FEES

Of the proposed raises in tuition, \$3 will go to incidental fees, raising that from \$22 to \$25.

At the University the incidental fee helps support the Health Service, the Athletic Department, the Student Union and Education Activities budget, and towel and gym suit service in physical education.

Most of the \$3 increase will go to the Health, not only here but at all the schools, but some will also go to the other agencies.

Flemming also suggested that the Health Service might be taken out of the incidental fee area and included in the general budget of the University.

## UO Buildings Get Boost

PORTLAND (Special)—Because the University exceeded its projected enrollment for fall term 1967 by 69 students, its proposed building program for the next two years has been accelerated by the State System of Higher Education.

The University enrolled 13,045 students this fall, instead of the projected 12,976.

State System Chancellor Roy E. Lieuallen reviewed changes his office has made in the budget to the Finance and Business Affairs Committee of the board Friday.

The budget is now under review by the state Department of Finance and Administration and Governor Mark O. Hatfield for presentation to the 1967 Legislature.

The budget for the entire State System was cut slightly because enrollment was 44,219, instead of a projected 46,219.

The budget cuts were the result of revising downward slightly the enrollment projections for the next two years for most schools, although the University's projection was raised slightly.

### OVER 14,000

In fall term 1967 the University is supposed to have 13,676 students and 14,252 in 1968.

The State System budget request was cut \$3.8 million, from \$117.2 million to \$113.4 million.

Almost all University projects on the State System's 1967-69 building priority list were moved up. Significant changes:

● The proposed co-operative dormitories were added to the list as number 27. The project was denied funds last week by the State Emergency Board and so will have to go to the Legislature.

● Moving the proposed new legal center from position number 31 to number 26 increases its chances of being built during 1967-69. Jack Hunderup, State System director of facilities planning, indicated the chancellor's office expects the cutoff point to be somewhere around number 30, although he emphasized that "all these projects are needed."

● The proposed parking structure at the University remained dead last on the list, which was cut from 79 items to 78, but it was changed from a structure to parking improvements.

### UNIVERSITY PROJECTS

This is the status of University projects on the University priority list ("OP" means the priority on the original list, "NP" the priority on the revised list prepared by Lieuallen's office, "Aux. Funds" means primarily funds from student building fees):

(Figures in thousands of dollars)

OP	NP	Project	State Taxes	Federal Grants	Aux. Funds	Total
9	3	Third Science Addition	\$2,855	\$1,000		\$3,855
11	8	Women's P.E. Addition	1,460			1,460
18	15	Architecture Addition	1,645			1,645
31	26	Legal Center	1,665	750		2,415
—	27	Co-operative Dorms			\$ 830	830
33	31	Admin-Services Bldg.	2,230			2,230
39	37	Marine Biology Lab	500	250		750
40	38	Charleston Dorm			325	325
48	46	Tennis, Handball Courts	140		135	275
50	48	Mental Retardation	680	965		1,645
53	51	Physical Plant Addition	225			225
56	54	Behavioral Science	2,215	425	190	2,830
57	54	Music Addition	905			905
58	57	Education Addition	935	330		1,265
61	60	Science Addition	1,795	1,000	190	2,985
63	62	Animal Lab Addition	205	205		410
64	63	Dorm Administration			735	735
65	64	Dorm and Kitchen			2,150	2,150
79	78	Parking Improvements			200	200

### If Flemming Approves

## Law School to Go At 11th and Kincaid

The Campus Planning Committee voted Saturday to put the proposed new Law School building at 11th and Kincaid rather than on the north side of Franklin Boulevard.

The question will now go to University President Arthur S. Flemming, who must make the final decision.

The indications from committee members were that no classroom facilities will go across the highway for awhile.

It had been suggested that the new Law School and new facilities for the School of Architecture and Allied Arts be built

across Franklin Boulevard instead of on the present campus.

But several members of the committee said a detailed plan of what will go across Franklin should be developed before any academic buildings are put on that side of the street.

However, they put off a suggestion by Donlyn Lyndon, chairman of the department of architecture, that they appoint a planning consultant to study the problem because the question was not on the agenda.

Except for some Science expansion, present plans do not call

for putting classroom facilities on the other side of Franklin, according to John Lallas, director of the Office of Planning and Institutional Research.

Lallas said two sites are committed, one for co-operative dormitories and the other for a dormitory food service building.

Other facilities intended to go across the highway are University Press, the Museum of Natural History, a larger nuclear accelerator, a major conference center, research buildings, and land for recreation and parking.

The vote on the Law School facility was unanimous. It is 26th on the State System of Higher Education's construction priority list for 1967-69. The cost is estimated at \$2.4 million for a 73,700 square foot building. The present Law School building, Fenton Hall, will be converted to general classroom use.

Law School Dean Ordano Hollis objected to going across Franklin. He said he wanted to keep the Law School in the "core" of the University.

Discussion of the other building which was proposed as a possibility for the north side of

(Continued on page 2)




Photo by Jim Chaskin

DUCK CENTER Bill Smith reflects the gridiron action on a dismal day at Oregon State Saturday. OSU's Beavers downed the Ducks 20-15 in the rain-soaked battle. Further details and pictures on pages 4 and 5.

### Emerald Title Stays Despite OSU Victory

Due to an unsuccessful wager with the Oregon State campus newspaper, the Daily Barometer, over the UO-OSU football game the Emerald was to have run the Barometer mast head today. The staff of the OSU publication, however, failed to supply said masthead, explaining its absence.

### Index

Editorials .....	page 6
Classified .....	page 7
Campus Briefs .....	page 7
Today's Events .....	page 3
Sports .....	pages 4-5