Vol. LXVI

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENB, WEDNESDAY, MAY 4, 1966

No. 119

Primary Today; Polls in Dorms

ASUO primary elections begin at 8 a.m. today. Voting will be held to select finalists from the 43 candidates who have filed for 23 ASUO

Two juniors are in the running for ASUO President: Henry Drummonds, chairman of the Senate's Public Affairs Committee; and John Ressler, a political science major.

Their running mates are, respectively, Lee Bollinger, senator-atlarge; and Kirk Aronston, a sophomore in pre-law.

Students may vote in today's ASUO primary election in any of the following locations on campus:

- 150 Science
- Student Union terrace
- Commonwealth Hall quad
- Sidewalk between the Library and Prince Lucien Campbell
- 18th and University streets

Polling hours at those locations will be 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. today. Polling booths will also be set up in all dormitory lounges, and will be open between the hours of 10 a.m. and 2 p.m.

Students must present their study body cards when voting.

Other candidates include:

 For the Senate—Bob Caufield, Ed Davis, Randy Gragg, Errol Hammond, Hal Kingslien, Emily Lynn Knupp, Roger Leo, Tom Mesher, Paul Tuttle, Dave McCloskey, Bart Poston, and Gordon Empey for senator-at-large. Mike Donahue, chairman of the Senate's Governing Committee, is a write-in candidate for senator-at-large. Donahue withdrew from the vice presidential race Monday, following Bill Hansell's withdrawal from the Presidential ticket.

Barry Staw for senior representative; Sue Bartron, Jan DeBerry, and Wilma Baker for sorority representative; Sandra Madsen and Nancy Lovely for off-campus women's representative; Sandra Wesley, Robin Tuttle, and Nancy Holmes for women's co-op representative;

Howard Harrison and Steven Lamb for men's co-op representative; Philip Barnhart and Girayer Aknouny for upperclass men's dorm representative; and Mike Wooden for fraternity representative.

No petitions were filed for upperclass women's dormitory representative or married student representative

• For class officers-Mark Dennett and Tom Jernstedt for senior class president; Scott Farleigh for junior class president; Chris Mullman and Jonn Abramson for sophomore class president;

Art Knauss for senior class vice president; Michael Retver and Dave Bohlman for junior class vice president; and John Eason for sophomore vice president.

Board Considers Budget Requests

Editor's Note: Due to a shortage of space in Tuesday's paper, all of the meeting of the Student Union and Educational Activities Budget Board could not be reported. This is the remainder of the budgets on which they heard information.

The Student Union and Educational Activities (SU-EdAc) Budget Board heard budget requests from the Rally Board, the Model United Nations, and the International Education Center Monday.

The Rally Board budget, traditionally included in the ASUO budget, was dropped this year by ASUO President Steve Goldschmidt, who said that his office had little administrative control over the budget.

Skip Clemens and Ken Settlemier of the Rally Board came in with a separate budget of \$3,160. The Rally Board received \$800 from the Athletic Department. Last year the Rally Board had a budget of only \$1,100, or a \$300 subsidy from SU-EdAc.

The bulk of the increase is in travel expenses, with smaller increases in uniforms and other expenses. Clemens and Settle-mier said they felt the rally squad should be reimbursed in full for its expenses, as it has not been in the past. That accounted for the major portion of the increase.

Henry Drummonds, a member of the Budget Board, asked whether the athletic department would be willing to increase its subsidy to the Rally Board.

"Last year they paid about 70 per cent," he said, "but under this budget they will only be paying 30 per cent."

Clemens said the Athletic De partment had been approached but felt its budget would be cut tight money. and did not have the money available

(Continued on page 2)

Students and Faculty

Council Approves Pass-Nonpass P.E.

Emerald Managing Editor

A recommendation that the a student's grade point average. faculty approve a proposal for a pass-nonpass grading system for physical education courses passed by the Student-Faculty Council Tuesday.

At the last meeting of the Faculty Senate, this question was referred to the curriculum committee for further study, before being presented to the full fac-

lower division service courses had no experience in it," he would no longer be figured into charged.

Three members of the School of Health, Physical Education. and Recreation presented their views in the two-hour meeting. Dean Arthur A. Esslinger began the discussion by inquiring about the PE classes taken by student members of the council.

He discovered that of the four student members present, two of them had each taken one course. In essence, the proposal means the others none. "It's pretty hard that PE grades for one-hour, to evaluate something if you have

It was pointed out that several of the student members are graduates or upper-division transfers, and thus did not have to fulfill the PE requirement at the University. Dave McCarthy, a graduate in sociology, asked Esslinger how many PE courses he had

Bill Camp, a senior in sociology, pointed out that the Council was not designed to promote a student-faculty dichotomy, that the purpose was to work together as individuals on the problems rather than as students or fac-

The members of the Council were not chosen because of their experience in the PE depart-ment," ASUO President Steve Goldschmidt told Esslinger. "They are here to discuss all things which affect students."

Then Esslinger demanded to know: "What mandate do you-have from the student body to

Polls Cited

Goldschmidt mentioned some polls which have been conducted on the campus, then yielded the floor to Henry Drummonds, chairman of the ASUO Public Affairs Committee, who further discussed the polls.

Esslinger countered with a survey conducted by the PE department which contradicted the ASUO poll. The merits of each survey were discussed at length before Horace W. Robinson, professor of speech, asked Esslinger to "summarize his reaction to the

"I want to discuss another poll first," Esslinger responded Esslinger responded. substantial part of the students favor letter grades in PE classes." He gave the figures as 70-30 in favor of including PE grades in

the University gradepoint.
"We are not interested in a popularity poll, but in what would make a better system of educa-tion," Camp said.

Goldschmidt asked that the

group address itself to a broader, more philosophical problem concerning the value of grades as a motivating factor.

Esslinger Explains

Esslinger then gave three reasons why the department wants to retain the conventional grading system.

The grades are based on learning, an "excellent basis." We want to be able to grade just like the rest of the faculty.

· A level of performance from D through A is represented. You can't tell what a P means. He explained that the department receives many inquiries about students' PE grades from potential employers. Grades which cannot be interpreted will interfere with the educational process, he commented.

· A grade is a tremendous motivator. Grades stimulate the students to higher efforts. He mentioned that a student with grade problems might work especially hard in a PE class in order to remain in school or keep from being drafted. Other schools have reported that students lose their

(Continued on page 8)

Aid for Education Passed by House House poked another hole in ed down by the House Education President Johnson's budget Mon- Committee, which demanded con-

day when it sent to the Senate a \$2.95 billion higher education the rate of \$190 million this

Passage was by voice vote without any audible dissents after about half an hour of debate before less than 100 members.

The legislation provides for loans to students and for grants and loans for construction of college academic facilities.

Hoped to Save

Johnson had hoped to save \$160 million this year by substituting lion—not including the student private capital for direct federal loan program—follows the adminloans. But he ran into heavy opposition from both Congress and the banking industry.

last seven years, is regarded as highly successful and popular in Congress. Bankers cautioned against shifting such a big load to third of the construction costs. private lending agencies at a

A compromise administration

tinued full federal financing at consider this issue?" year. In urging the switch, Johnson originally had budgeted only \$30 million.


The money authorized by the bill would continue for three years, at expanding annual rates, the program of grants and loans for construction of college academic facilities enacted by Congress in 1963.

First-year Cost

The first-year cost of \$750 milloan program-follows the administration's budget recommenda-tions. But sharp increases are projected for the future.
The \$453 million authorized for

The loan program, which has helped more than 890,000 students in 1,700 colleges over the struction of undergraduate facilities would climb to \$700 million in fiscal 1968 and \$900 million in 1969. The federal share is one

The committee believes even time of high interest rates and these sums will be insufficient to meet the need for classroom space to take care of rapidly exproposal that would have pro-vided partial federal funding of doubled in the last 10 years.



THIS IS ONE of the many sports participated in yesterday at the Millrace Olympics. Many coeds found themselves going for a quick but unexpected dip. The Olympics, part of the Junior Week activities, included canoe jousting and tug-of-war contests between living organizations. By the end of Photo by Bob Denniston the day most participants and spectators had gone in at least once.

Index

Editorialspage	6
Classifiedpage	7
Campus Briefspage	5
Sportspage	4