

Support Fund Drive

Students Have An Opportunity To Provide Benefits For Themselves

The Student Development fund drive, which starts today, will give University students a unique opportunity to contribute to a project which will be of direct and visible benefit to the University.

Money donated to the drive will go to build a University camp for student use. Students are asked to contribute the unused portions of their breakage fee for this purpose.

We think that both the intention of the drive and the way money is to be collected are good ideas. The camp, with its eventual overnight facilities, is needed as a recreational area and as a place for meetings, retreats and conferences by student and faculty groups. Such an area will be a definite asset to the University.

The method of collecting money provides a relatively painless way for students to

help the University and themselves. Each student will be asked to sign a card authorizing the University to turn over the unused part of his breakage fee to the drive, and in this respect his donation will probably not be missed very much.

Students will also have an opportunity to donate their breakage fee directly to the regular development fund if they prefer. This money would be used to provide revenue for general University projects not covered by state funds, such as faculty travel or scholarships.

We hope that students will take advantage of this opportunity to help provide themselves with facilities. Too often, students forget that they can play an important role in improving the University as well as benefitting from the improvements instituted by their predecessors.



The New Senate

The new ASUO Senate passed its first real test last Thursday night, and it did so with flying colors. For the first time in the past three years we were proud that it was our Senate in action.

The questions they asked on the NSA legal debate were logical and reasonable the decision they finally made was the only sensible one.

The Senate also discussed but came to no decision on the majority and minority reports on student discipline.

Perhaps the most significant matter to come before the Senate in some time, the current discipline controversy offers the senators an opportunity to make their influence felt in an area of real importance and interest to the average student. A strong recommendation to the faculty supporting the viewpoint of one of the three reports will be important.

We hope that the Senate will see fit to support one of the three reports, for the issues are already complicated enough. To throw another set of amendments and ramifications into the matter would only confuse the situation further. Also, we doubt that

the ramifications and amendments of the student senate would have much effect on the final outcome. A strong resolution for one of the three reports, however, could be influential.

We also hope that the senate will make a real effort to determine the desires of its constituents on the matter of student discipline. If there is any one area where students can benefit by a united front of strong support, it is in the area of student conduct and a discipline code. We are convinced that a sampling of student sentiment would produce almost unanimous endorsement of a more liberal discipline code. The individual senators should attempt to find out for themselves, however.

We hope that the Senate meeting this Thursday night will produce the same thoughtful and informed discussion that last Thursday night's meeting did. It is important to each student at this University that he is represented adequately by his student government in the area of student discipline this Thursday. Special efforts should be made by each senator to do so.

Letters to the Editor

Leftist Organization

Emerald Editor:

The following are some facts that I know many University students were completely unaware of at the time of the recent election on the proposal to join NSA. I am sorry that these facts were not made known previous to the election. If they had been, there is no doubt in my mind that the proposal would have been easily defeated.

Since August 1961, a total of 32 colleges and Universities have withdrawn from the NSA. (Attached is a list of these schools). These 32 schools have a total of 206,000 students. During this same period, 14 schools with 112,000 students have rejected proposed affiliation. (Also attached is a list of these). Today NSA represents less than 1/5 of the institutions available for membership. Obviously the prestige and popularity of NSA must be suffering considerably. I venture to guess that in the near future a substantial number of present members will decide to disaffiliate also.

Colleges and Universities which have withdrawn from NSA since 1961:

U. of Texas
Northwestern U.
U. of Missouri
Los Angeles State
U. of Oklahoma
U. of Nebraska
U. of Kansas
Utah State U. of Ag.
Ball State College
Hillyer College
U. of Bridgeport
Vanderbilt U.
Canisius College
Cal. Western U.
Denison U.
College of St. Catherine
Arkansas A & M
William Jewell
Earlham College
Bates College
Western Maryland
Lynchburg College
Simpson College
Stonehill College
Tennessee Wesleyan
Kansas Wesleyan
Shelton College
Indiana U.
Schools which have rejected proposed NSA affiliation since 1961:
Purdue U.
George Washington U.
U. of Virginia
U. of Kentucky
Fordham U.
American U.

Illinois Inst. of Tech.
Texas Christian
Tufts University
U. of Chattanooga
Franklin and Marshall
Gettysburg College
Washington and Lee
U. of New Hampshire

Another interesting point is the fact that this organization was praised not too long ago in an article in a magazine called **Communist Viewpoint**. This article was written by Mortimer D. Rubin, National Youth Director of the Communist Party. Rubin also received permission from NSA to set up a display booth representing the party at the last NSA convention.

Two other resolutions passed by the NSA at its convention but not mentioned in a previous letter to the editor are 1. Calls for repeal of the McCarran-Walter Act. 2. Protests against the firing of Communist teachers.

I personally do not want to see my compulsory student fees used to send delegates to such an obviously leftist organization. I know a mistake was made, and I know also that many people are having second thoughts about the way they voted.

Lael Prock,
Senior in Business.

Letters to the Editor

US and UN

Emerald Editor:

The patronizing and pretentious manner of Mr. William A. Rusher may have obscured for some of his listeners his appalling lack of information and understanding of the organization and operation of the United Nations and the role of the United States in the United Nations.

Unless Mr. Rusher was resorting to the "deceitful methods," of which he accused all Communists and Communist sympathizers, he must be sadly uninformed about the interests and activities of the Afro-Asian bloc in the United Nations. His comments regarding the disinterest of the Afro-Asian bloc in a commitment to freedom is a colossal effrontery to millions of people who have been in the forefront of the struggle for human freedom. Furthermore, apparently Mr. Rusher is quite unaware of the frequency with which the votes of the Afro-Asian nations have coincided with the votes of the United States in the United Nations and also the great number of times when these nations have not voted as the monolithic bloc which he implied they always constitute.

For the students who would like an honest account of the United States in the United Nations, and for Mr. Rusher (if he reads anything besides his own publication), I commend a recent report from the United States Senate Committee on Foreign Relations entitled: "Review of United States Participation in the United Nations." The report (obtainable at the Documents desk in the library or from me) consists mainly of testimony by Ambassador Adlai Stevenson before eight members of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, along with questions put to him by the members of the Committee. Of course, if students prefer to believe that the United Nations is useful only for "regulating radio frequencies and plane schedules," then they should continue to read only Mr. Rusher and comparable ill-informed writers and speakers.

John Gange,
Director, Institute of
International Studies and
Overseas Administration.

Reformation

Emerald Editor:

For the past few days, the editorial page has been filled with outraged comments from out-of-state students over the raise in tuition. Actually these students don't know what a favor has been done for them. I am a poor, ignorant Oregonian, and I shall have to return next year to hand over \$330 for tuition. For that \$330, plus \$700,

next year's freshmen will receive the same great dorm treatment I got this year. They will humbly receive from Arthur Flemming and Ted McReynolds a new outlook on life. They will be shown that liquor has no place in the life of a college educated intellectual. If one is a woman, (as this University hesitatingly calls them) she can be assured that for \$250 per term, she won't be seduced by some social outcast living in an apartment. I am so thankful that I was reformed by this great dormitory system; I'm sure it will last all my life.

Dennis Kerr,
Freshman, B.A.

About Letters

Letters to the editor must be signed and should include, if from a student, the writer's year and major. If not from a student they should contain the writer's position at the University or his address.

There is no maximum length for letters but those under 300 words will be given preferential treatment. Letters should be typewritten and double spaced.

The Emerald will also publish intelligently-written columns of campus or more general interest either by students or faculty members. Columns submitted should also be double spaced. Preferred length is between two and three typewritten pages.

OREGON DAILY EMERALD

The Oregon Daily Emerald is published seven times in September and five days a week during the school year, except during examination and vacation periods by the Student Publications Board of the University of Oregon. Entered as second class matter at the post office, Eugene, Oregon. Subscription rates: \$5 per year; \$2 per term.

Opinions expressed on the editorial page are those of The Emerald and do not pretend to represent the opinions of the ASUO or the University.

RON BUEL, Editor
MARTY KETELS, Business Manager
TED MAHAR, Managing Editor
EVERETTE DENNIS, News Editor
PHIL COGSWELL, Editorial Page Editor
LARRY WILLIAMS, Advertising Manager
JOHN BUCHNER, Sports Editor
DULCY MORAN, Assistant Managing Editor
RON COWAN, JIM FRAKE, JANET GOETZE, Assistant News Editors
GEORGE BIGHAM, Photo Editor
LINDA BROWN, Entertainment Editor
CATHY NEVILLE, Associate News Editor
DICK RICHARDSON, Feature Editor
EDITORIAL BOARD:
Ron Buel, Phil Cogswell, Ted Mahar, Everette Dennis, Dulcy Moran, Linda Brown, Bob Forsyth, Hal Snow, Grant Ledgerwood, Doug Ragen, Janet Goetze, and Bill Hutchison