

Oregon Daily EMERALD

The Oregon Daily Emerald is published five days a week during the school year, except during examinations and vacation periods, by the Student Publications Board of the University of Oregon. Entered as second class matter at the post office, Eugene, Ore. Subscription: \$5 per school year, \$2 per term.

BILL MAINWARING, Editor

MARCIA MAUNEY, Editorial Page Editor CHUCK MITCHELMORE, Managing Editor DOROTHY BARKER, Adv. Mgr.
SALLY JO GREIG, SAM VAHEY, Associate Editors CORNELIA FOGLE, News Editor
JACK WILSON, Sports Editor GLEN GRAVES, Ass't. Adv. Mgr. CHARMION FORD, Office Manager
EDITORIAL BOARD: Bill Mainwaring, Marcia Maune, Sally Jo Greig, Sam Vahey, Chuck Mitchelmore, Cornelia Fogle, Jack Wilson, Al Johnson

Opinions expressed on the editorial page are those of The Emerald and do not pretend to represent the opinion of the ASUO or the University. Unsigned editorials are written by the editor; initialed editorials by members of the editorial board.

KEN NIEHANS, Business Manager

Ethical Dilemma Faces Governor

Oregon education has lost a friend, it was said of Gov. Robert Holmes recently by Democratic Representative Monaghan, a Milwaukie schoolteacher.

The legislator's outburst followed the Governor's speech to an Oregon Education Association meeting, at which he said teachers should concentrate on teaching and leave financial matters to their representatives. This courageous and candid view, plus the Governor's apparent willingness to settle for an increase of about \$10 million, ired the legislator.

Last October the Governor was only talking, and more money for all combined with inferred tax reductions sounded good to uncritical ears. But now the Governor is governing, and he must face the facts of life squarely. No matter how you figure, reductions don't add up to a balanced budget, which is required by the Oregon Constitution.

While The Emerald would like to see increases made in basic school support (after increases in professorial salaries), we have no argument with the Governor's decision. We are highly critical, however, of the irresponsible program upon which he ran for election. It was a platform that only a dreamer could expect would

ever be fully carried out.

Public school teachers, incidentally, should have been the first to see the glaring inconsistencies of that program. They should have accepted such "promises" as mere talk, to be adjusted by further negotiations. If teachers really thought they'd been unconditionally promised an increase of \$40 million in basic school support, they were extremely naive.

Gov. Holmes could go all out in urging such a legislative appropriation. He would thus keep faith with the teachers, but in doing so would necessarily greatly increase taxes. This would almost surely result in his defeat in 1958.

Because his program did not face realities, the Governor finds himself in a serious ethical dilemma. By fulfilling one "promise" he would probably be defeated, and would be breaking at least an inferred "promise" to reduce taxes.

What did the people vote for, anyway? Apparently higher expenditures and lower taxes. As long as people will vote for inconsistent and irresponsible programs it is perhaps too much to expect that candidates will present the bitter truth. Thus "campaign promises" too often are nothing more than hollow generalities describing things as the voter would like to see them.

Well-rounded Education?



"FORGET ABOUT WHAT I WANT YOU TO TEACH - CAN YOU DRIVE A BUS? TEACH SUNDAY SCHOOL? MAKE MINOR REPAIRS - ?"

Interpreting the News

Americans, British Ask: 'Secrets' at Bermuda?

By JAMES MARLOW
AP News Analyst

WASHINGTON (AP)—Within less than a week after the Bermuda conference—between President Eisenhower and British Prime Minister Macmillan—Americans and British are disagreeing on what they agreed to.

If this keeps up, the Bermuda meeting will look like a farce which may have done more damage than it was intended to repair.

To the Republicans two of the dirtiest words in the language are "secret agreements." They made as much political hay as they could out of denouncing Roosevelt's Yalta agreements with Stalin. The last thing they want wrapped around their necks is a charge that Eisenhower and Macmillan reached secret agreements.

When Eisenhower flew home Sunday, Macmillan and his party remained in Bermuda.

On Monday and again yesterday American correspondents who also remained at Bermuda have written stories—based on information given them by the British—that Eisenhower and Macmillan reached accord on documents described variously as directives, agreements and protocols, more than 25 of them in writing.

Four times now in those two days the Eisenhower administration has denied there were any secret agreements.

The primary purpose of the Bermuda meeting was to mend the split—due to a loss of confidence—between the United States and Britain. The split occurred last fall when Britain, joining France and Israel, attacked Egypt.

The British did so without consulting this country, in whose handling of Egyptian President Nasser it had lost confidence. The British were for direct action. The Eisenhower administration wanted to go easy.

Before Eisenhower and Mac-

millan parted Sunday they issued a public statement on what they discussed and agreed to. It was full of trite opinions and showed the two men hadn't agreed on much of specific import.

After Eisenhower left, Macmillan held a press conference where he said he and Eisenhower had agreed on the policies the United States and Britain must take to obtain interim and long-term settlements in the Middle East.

The public statement had said no such thing. Yesterday at his news conference Secretary of State Dulles said there had been no agreements on the Middle East. Dulles also said there had been no written understandings not made public, except one.

He said there was a "procedural" understanding for "reviewing the intelligence arrangements which we have concerning alerts."

On Monday at his White House conference with congressional leaders—to tell them what had happened at Bermuda—Eisenhower reportedly said there had been no secret agreements.

His White House press secretary, James Hagerty, repeated that statement later Monday. Yesterday again Hagerty said Eisenhower and Macmillan had made no secret agreements.



Who's Best-dressed?

Poll Says Co-eds Outdress Men

MINNEAPOLIS (ACP)—In terms of neatness, color, and overall appearance, coeds are deemed the better dressers by both college men and women. Naturally the coeds feel somewhat stronger about this than do the men, but even a majority of the men are in agreement with the coeds.

Here is the exact question asked by Associated Collegiate Press of a representative national cross-section of college students

Who do you feel are the better dressers, in terms of neatness, color, and overall appearance, College men or College women?

The results:

	Men	Wom.	Tot.
College Men	26%	15%	22%
College Women	56%	66%	60%
Undecided	18%	19%	18%

With students believing coeds are better dressers the feeling is generally that coeds are more "clothes-conscious," are more interested in their appearance than men. Others think women have better taste for color. Some feel the coeds are under a sort of social pressure to keep themselves neat and stylish. Here are a few representative comments:

A freshman coed at Rochester Institute of Technology (Rochester, N.Y.) feels "women have more of a 'need' to dress up," while a male freshman attending the University of Denver (Colo.) simply says: "The girls are more conscious of clothes than men." And a University of Maryland (College Park) junior coed puts it this way: "The majority of men

just don't care, while the social pressure is on every woman to look neat at all times."

"Judgment is more often passed on girls rather than boys in regard to their dress," is the way a Wake Forest College (Winston-Salem, N.C.) male senior feels. A male graduate student at Syracuse University (Syracuse, N.Y.) has this to say: "College men look sort of factory produced in the black and grey flannels. Girls have a much better sense of imagination with combinations, tones, and shades of color." But it takes a Tyler Junior College (Tyler, Texas) freshman coed to come up with the thought held by many college men: "College women are trying to make a good impression on college men."

As far as college men go, a good number of both coeds and men feel they dress too sloppy and casual, or as one coed said: "Men are generally sloppy creatures." However, there is a considerable amount of opinion among both college men and women that men are indeed better dressers. Here are a few typical remarks:

"Men's clothes are tailored more simply, without frills, and are usually neat," is the feeling of a Mississippi College (Clinton) freshman coed. But a male freshman attending the College of Steubenville (Steubenville, Ohio) feels this way: "Men take pride in themselves and their clothes every day, while the girls keep most of their good clothes for dances and parties instead of school."

A male junior at Juniata College (Huntington, Pa.) looks at the situation like this: "It is easier for the fellows to look nice; the girls have to 'do too much' in order to look 'dressy.'" But a Wake Forest College senior coed sees it as a matter of taste, in favor of the men: "Women too often take it for granted that they are better dressed, but the men display better taste most of the time." "College men are more conscious of their everyday attire, whereas college women only dress up for special occasions," is the way a male freshman at Ohio University (Athens) feels.

Students finding themselves undecided on the issue have several reasons. Some feel the men and coeds have separate standards and can't be compared. Some believe that both have their good and bad points. Others feel it depends upon the school or location or condition. Here are a couple of typical replies:

"It's a personal thing; no stereotype is fair," is the comment of a male junior at the College of Physicians and Surgeons (San Francisco). A male sophomore at Syracuse University sees it this way: "I think each sex dresses in a mediocre fashion. Both college men and women are neat at times, remarkably sloppy at others." And a senior male attending the University of Maryland has this opinion: "Some of my fraternity brothers are sticklers for neatness in appearance and some of the guys are slob, but so are some of the girls!"

Letters to the Editor

Emerald Editor:

I wish to commend the industry of the writer who prepared the lengthy article explaining the choices available to male students who are facing the draft. Unfortunately he omitted a significant method provided under the law by which a young man may honorably serve his community and nation.

For those young men who hold the religious belief that it is wrong to kill other men even in a war the law provides for

(Continued on page 3)