

Oregon Daily



EMERALD

The Oregon Daily Emerald is published five days a week during the school year, except during examinations and vacation periods, by the Student Publications Board of the University of Oregon. Entered as second class matter at the post office, Eugene, Ore. Subscription: \$5 per school year, \$2 per term.

BILL MAINWARING, Editor

MARCIA MAUNEY, Editorial Page Editor; CHUCK MITCHELMORE, Managing Editor; DOROTHY BARKER, Adv. Mgr.; SALLY JO GREIG, SAM VAHEY, Asst. Editors; CORNELIA FOGLE, News Editor; JACK WILSON, Sports Editor; GLEN GRAVES, Asst. Adv. Mgr.; CHARMION FORD, Office Manager

Opinions expressed on the editorial page are those of The Emerald and do not pretend to represent the opinion of the ASUO or the University. Unsigned editorials are written by the editor; initialed editorials by members of the editorial board.

KEN NIEHANS, Business Manager

On Behalf of Social Justice

A living example of some of the journalistic profession's best traditions will be on campus today to deliver the 11th annual Eric W. Allan Memorial Lecture, as a part of the Oregon Press Conference here this weekend.

Hodding Carter, publisher of the Greenville (Miss.) Delta Democrat-Times and a former Pulitzer Prize winner for editorial writing, will address the conference Friday afternoon at 2 p.m.

Nationally-known Carter will follow some distinguished names to the Allen Lecture rostrum, including publisher Henry Luce, columnist Marquis Childs, Wall Street Journal Publisher Bernard Kilgore and St. Louis Post-Dispatch Editor Irving Dillard.

Owning a daily newspaper in a hotbed of racial tension is not an easy thing at best, but to openly advocate compliance with the Supreme Court decision on segregation is another, especially in the Mississippi Delta region.

Carter has stood firm as an opponent to any unlawful or violent action aimed at continuing segregation in the South. His editorial stands have been blunt enough to involve him with trouble aplenty.

He wrote an article in a 1955 issue of

Look magazine exposing the true purpose of "Citizen Councils" in the Delta area, as he saw it, and was condemned as a liar by the state legislature for his trouble.

Not an outsider from integration-minded Northern areas, Carter has been publishing newspapers in Louisiana and Mississippi for 25 years. His presence has spelled trouble for extremist groups in the South.

Carter's actions with his newspaper have been in fulfillment of a publisher's (or rather editor's) obligations to his readers. Pressure groups and economic attempts to halt the Delta Democrat-Times have proved ineffectual.

National magazines such as Time, Saturday Review and the New Yorker have applauded Carter's efforts on behalf of social justice and proper procedure in a doubt-ridden area of the nation.

Remarks from such a speaker will be informative and inspiring to sympathetic ears, dogmatic and stubborn to unfriendly listeners. But the newspaperman with responsibility to his readers will absorb Carter's words and take them to heart.

Integration is a burning issue of the times. To witness a Southern resident with the courage of his convictions and the moral attitude of his newspaper will be rewarding. (A.J.)

The 'Multi'versity

Three weeks from the end of winter term — the "study" term, they call it — and what can we say? This is the term when we were going to forget all the sideline action, the distractions, the irrelevant items; this is the term we were going to go all out for an education. And what happened?

The same thing happened this term that happened every "study" term: everything but studying. There were so many "aids to effective study" (and other term we call them "sideline action, distractions and irrelevant items") that it was impossible to study.

Not that we didn't enjoy these aids. We

like concerts (two string quartets and two symphonies) and basketball games (nine home conference tilts and the Globetrotters' exhibition show) and dramatic productions (two University Theater plays and a few etceteras) and conferences (two big ones overflowing the SU this weekend), not to mention the usual run of lectures, panels, Fridays-at-Four, house dances, rallies, and on and on. But some times these free time diversions get to be just too much — in short, we think we know now what the Religious Evaluation Week speaker meant when he talked about the transformation of the university into the "multi"versity. (C.H.M.)

Interpreting the News

Dulles 'Vague' About Mid-East Support

By JAMES MARLOW
AP News Analyst

WASHINGTON (AP)—Confusion at times has spread around Secretary of State Dulles like oil around a torpedoed ship. This is one of the times, although he's still afloat and shows no signs of sinking yet.

The Eisenhower administration, which appears anxious to make everybody happy in the Middle East, seems to be making nobody happy. It's in a box over Egypt and Israel. Dulles came up with a key which so far has opened nothing.

Israel, despite United Nations' resolutions, has refused to withdraw its troops from the Gaza

Strip and an area around the Gulf of Aqaba. It gives two reasons:

Before it withdrew from either place, it wanted guarantees that Egypt would not be able to raid Israel across Gaza, or blockade Israeli shipping in the Gulf of Aqaba.

The U.N. offered no guarantees. It just said: "Get out."

Israel's refusal was getting Dulles and American foreign policy into a jam. Israel is an American friend. The United States helped create Israel. But the United States also wants to win the friendship of the Arabs, including Egypt.

This week the Arab states were ready to ask the U.N.—including the United States—to put pressure on Israel through sanctions. This would have been hypocritical for the U.N. didn't

invoke sanctions against Russia when it refused to get out of Hungary.

Before the sanctions move could be started, however, Dulles sought a way out with a proposal. It was so vague that he seemed to be shooting from the hip without taking time to aim.

He said if Israel would withdraw its troops, this government would support the principle of free navigation for Israel in the Gulf of Aqaba and would favor the use of U.N. forces between Israel and Egypt to prevent raids across Gaza.

One of the troubles immediately apparent in this idea was the word "support." What did that mean? Would the United States use armed force to make Egypt let Israeli ships use the gulf? If so, Dulles cer-

tainly didn't say so, and other officials have carefully avoided any suggestion that force might be used.

But what right would the United States have to use force—if that's what Dulles had in mind, and he probably didn't—against Egypt to make her give Israeli ships clear sailing in the gulf? None.

If force wasn't to be used, then what would "support" for Israel mean—pious speeches in the U.N.? That could hardly be called a guarantee for Israel, although Israel has been asking for guarantees before pulling her troops back.

The Israelis' reaction could have been predicted. They want to know what Dulles means by "support." And now Egyptian officials have attacked the Dulles proposal.

B-minus Barrage

