

# Oregon Daily EMERALD

The Oregon Daily Emerald is published five days a week during the school year, except during examinations and vacation periods, by the Student Publications Board of the University of Oregon. Entered as second class matter at the post office, Eugene, Ore. Subscription: \$5 per school year, \$2 per term. BILL MAINWARING, Editor

Opinions expressed on the editorial page are those of The Emerald and do not pretend to represent the opinion of the ASUO or the University. Unsigned editorials are written by the editor; initialed editorials by members of the editorial board.

LORETTA MEYER, Business Manager

## Wanted: Another Queen

This University, which already boasts of 1,217 Queens of Something-or-Other, may be showered with honors and prominence again soon. The Eugene Junior Chamber of Commerce has asked our coeds, if single and aged 20-25, to enter the local eliminations of the Queen of the Canadian Rockies contest.

According to the pages of our favorite campus newspaper, "selection of the royalty on all levels will be made on the basis of beauty, charm, deportment and personality."

If The Emerald's primary function was to win friends and influence people we would immediately predict a campus triumph. Why obviously, we could reason, in beauty, charm, deportment (?) and person-

ally our gals are unmatched this side of the Canadian Rockies.

So far this editorial hasn't actually said we believe all this. If we did, perhaps we'd be first to get into the race with a Miss Emerald Press Photographer contest, or some such fabulous event. We'll just sit on the fence and wait and see what our gals can do.

Far be it from us to throw cold water on any girl's chance to be Queen of Most Anything. We urge all those with queenly experiences, and the minority who have thus far struggled through life uncrowned, to drop your books and run . . . don't walk . . . to the Jaycee office.

We look forward in 1958 to see a Canadian Pacific Railroad calendar decorated by a young lovely in Kwama sweater.

## Weeds of Compromise

Reprinted from the University of Detroit Daily News

To contribute something of worth to the world in which they live is perhaps the goal of most of the young of today, of yesterday and it will probably be the goal of tomorrow's young as well.

The aspiring novelist desires to write the great American novel, one that will carry the cry of the times—tolerance, peace, understanding, or whatever.

The inventor wants to build a better mouse-trap. The scientist and doctor merely wish to take away all of humanity's ills.

But somewhere between the idealism of youth and the practicalism of maturity, there lies a sort of sterilizing field.

This field is covered with the weeds of

compromise, rationalism, and intellectual hide-and-seek.

It is quite necessary for everyone to cross this lot in his short trip from one phase of life to another. However, not everyone walks out from this morass unscratched.

Almost everyone is marked to the extent that he has modified his goal a little; he shortens his sights and lessens the distance between him and his final aim.

Perhaps the reason for this is that the young idealist is disillusioned upon finding that his elders are only men like himself; men who do not epitomize the golden rule of right and wrong that they have given him as his inheritance.

## Students Polled

### Ike's Popularity Reflects Faith . . .

MINNEAPOLIS (ACP) — Many Republican politicians have attempted to interpret President Eisenhower's tremendous popular vote last November 6 as an equal endorsement for the Republican party. Democratic politicians are prone to believe the people voted for the man Eisenhower more than for the Republican party.

In order to get some opinion on the matter, as far as collegians are concerned, Associated Collegiate Press asked the following question of a representative national cross-section of college students:

President Eisenhower received an overwhelming popular vote on Nov. 6 but the voters still re-elected a Democratic senate and house. Do you feel that Ike's re-election was more indicative of the people's faith in him as an individual, or their faith in the Republican party, or both, or neither?

The results:

	Men	Wom.	Tot.
Faith in Ike	90%	91%	90%
Faith in Republican party	1%	1%	1%
Faith in both	4%	4%	4%
Faith in neither	4%	3%	4%
Undecided	1%	1%	1%

The figures show that nine out of ten college students believe the people voted for Ike and not the Republican party. And the great majority of this

ninety per cent justify their response by pointing out that the people also elected a Democratic Senate and House. Here are a few typical remarks:

"They voted for the individual, or else they would have selected a Republican Congress also," is the statement of a freshman coed attending Moorhead State Teachers College (Moorhead, Minn.).

And a Villanova University (Villanova, Pa.) sophomore puts it this way: "I believe that Ike must have won because of his popularity since the people still re-elected a Democratic House and Senate." An Ohio University (Athens) sophomore states: "It's so obvious when the House and Senate are both Democratic."

Other students stress the point that Ike was re-elected because of his personal popularity, or because of his ability to handle the world crisis, his background as a military man, etc. Here are a few representative comments:

A Rochester Institute of Technology (Rochester, N.Y.) freshman feels that there is "very little difference in the issues of the two major parties and people tend to vote for the individual," while a University of Nebraska (Lincoln) sophomore coed believes Ike's re-election is "indicative of the 'personality cult.'" And a senior at Brooklyn College (Brooklyn, N.Y.) says

simply: "Ike represents the 'father image'."

Faith in Ike is due "mostly to the world situation," is the feeling of an Arkansas University (Fayetteville) junior. A freshman at Jacksonville University (Jacksonville, Florida) says: "I believe people voted for Ike because they felt that he could keep the United States out of war."

The very small number of students who felt Ike's overwhelming popular vote was reflective of faith in the Republican party can best be represented by the statement of a freshman coed at the College of St. Catherine (St. Paul, Minn.): "It's a good party and they have proven that they can do a good job of running the country."

Some students feel the vote indicated a faith in both Ike and the Republican party. A junior at Northern Illinois State College (DeKalb) thinks the vote reflects "a faith of what Ike represents in the Republican party; he is something new in the Republican party and that is what the people want."

A few students felt the large vote was not indicative of faith in either Ike or the Republican party. For example, a Barnard College New York City freshman coed puts it this way: "The vote is due to a fear of change in the administration because of the Middle East crisis, plus a lack of interest in long range plans."

## No Swell-Head He



"AND NOW, DR. CLODPATE, WHO WILL RELATE HIS EXPERIENCES AMONG THE HEAD SHRINKING NATIVES OF CENTRAL AMERICA!"

## Interpreting the News

### King Saud's Visiting Group From Undemocratic Nation

By JAMES MARLOW  
AP News Analyst

WASHINGTON (AP)—Nothing illustrates better the mixed-up condition of the world than the plane load of 50 people flying here Wednesday. They came straight out of the Arabian nights into the 20th century.

In the war against communism this country has had strange bedfellows. None is stranger than King Saud of Saudi Arabia, heading his party of 50. Saudi Arabia is one of the most undemocratic nations on earth.

King Saud is absolute monarch. His seven million people—90 per cent illiterate—know no law except his wishes and that of their religious teachings. There a thief has his hands cut off and a runaway slave is beheaded.

President Eisenhower will meet him at the airport. King Saud will get the red carpet treatment while he's here because this country is anxious to keep him friendly to the West and away from Russia.

But Russia and communism are just about the last things he wants. The majority of his people are homeless nomads, wandering around, looking for water and food for themselves and their herds.

King Saud is neither homeless nor hungry. He has 28 palaces and acres of food freezers stocked with frozen foods estimated to be worth \$250,000.

He doesn't have to travel by camel. He has at least one air-conditioned airplane and a fleet of Cadillacs. Besides liking Cadillacs for himself, he gives them to friends, relatives and various sheiks.

His income is 300 million dollars a year, his share of the profits from the oil which the Arabian-American Oil Co. (Armco)

takes out of the earth of Saudi Arabia.

Four American companies make up Aramco. They produce around a million barrels a day. The oil reserves of Saudi Arabia, one of the richest storehouses in the world, have been placed at 30 to 35 billion barrels.

King Saud, who has developed a liking for American steaks and foam rubber mattresses, has four wives, probably somewhere between 50 and 100 concubines, 40 sons, and daughters whose number no one here will guess at.

It has been estimated that there are 300 princes of Saudi Arabia: sons, grandsons and great-grandsons of old King Ibn Saud, who died in 1953.

They have to be taken care of too. It has been reported each gets a whopping allowance, plus expenses.

This writer asked one government official if any of the 300 million dollars trickles down to the people. He said

"Perhaps not trickles down directly to the 'people,' as you call them. But remember, every time King Saud hands out a Cadillac, that means a job for someone. Someone has to be hired to drive it."

But Saudi Arabia reportedly is 300 million dollars in debt.

The United States built an air base at Dhahran at a cost of at least 50 million dollars. The agreement ran out last June. King Saud is said to want some more millions from this government to permit continued use of the base.

The blocking of the Suez Canal has given King Saud no reason to like Egyptian President Nasser, who blocked the canal. He shut off the flow of oil to Europe and in so doing cut into King Saud's profits.

Because King Saud never had it so good, with American money, he should be one Arab this country can deal with reasonably at a time when it is trying to persuade the Arab world to be reasonable.

